Interconnect-Based Design Methodologies for Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits

by Vasileios F. Pavlidis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree Doctor of Philosophy

Supervised by Professor Eby G. Friedman

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering The College School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

> University of Rochester Rochester, New York 2008

Dedication

To the memory of my beloved grandfathers, Athanasios Theophilidis and Vasileios Pavlidis

Curriculum Vitae

Vasileios F. Pavlidis was born in Kavala, Greece, in 1976. He received the B.S. and M.Eng. in electrical and computer engineering from the Democritus University of Thrace, Xanthi, Greece, in 2000 and 2002, respectively. From 2000 to 2002, he was with INTRACOM S.A., Athens, Greece. In August 2002, he joined the electrical and computer engineering department at the University of Rochester, where he is working toward the Ph.D. degree under the supervision of Professor Eby G. Friedman. He received the M.Sc. from the electrical and computer engineering department at the University of Rochester in 2003. During summer 2007, he was with Synopsys Inc. as a summer intern. His present research interests are in the area of interconnect modeling, 3-D integration, networks-on-chip, and related design issues in VLSI.

Acknowledgments

First and above all, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Professor Eby G. Friedman for his guidance and encouragement during the ragged path of the PhD program. I firmly believe that next to him, I was taught the fundamentals to perform cutting edge research and prepare myself for a successful professional career, hopefully, as an academician. His perspicacity, patience, persistence, intellectuality, and, in general, his thirst for perfection have immensely contributed to my evolution as a young researcher. I consider myself lucky to work for him and I honestly would not exchange my years as his advisee for any other Professor around this globe. Thank you Professor!

I would also like to thank Professors David Quesnel, Joel Seiferas, and Michael Huang for their service on my proposal and defense committees and their helpful suggestions. I am grateful to Professor Paul Ampadu for his assistance and encouragement during my job search process. Special thanks to Professors Volkan Kursun, Yehea Ismail, and Dimitrios Soudris who generously provided me with valuable letters of recommendation. I am also particularly thankful to Dr. Khalid Rahmat, my manager while I was interning at Synopsys, for introducing me to the basics of timing analysis and sharing much of his knowledge and experience on design automation. The indispensable support of Dr. Yunliang Zhu, Lin Zhang, and Professor Hui Wu during the testing of the 3-D circuit is greatly appreciated.

In addition, I would like to thank a few people who significantly helped me over these years. My lab mates with whom I want to believe that we have opened paths of friendship rather than "race tracks" as the modern abusive research culture would dictate. Dr. Mikhail Popovich, Dr. Guoging Chen, Jonathan Rosenfeld, Emre Salman, Renatas Jakushokas, Ioannis Savidis, and Selcuk Kose, I thank you and I hope you had as much fun as I had. Next to them, I am indebted to the one and only, Ms. RuthAnn Williams (the pillar of the HPIC lab) for her constant willingness to help me with non-technical issues and, more importantly, for being the thesaurus of the American slang and the myriads of philosophical discussions we had through these years.

Last but not least, I would like to thank Dr. Dimitrios Velenis for prodding me at certain difficult moments of my PhD experience and his encouragement to participate in professional activities, which otherwise I would completely ignore. His advice and, primarily, his friendship are deeply acknowledged.

This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Contract No. CCF-0541206, grants from the New York State Office of Science, Technology & Academic Research to the Center for Advanced Technology in Electronic Imaging Systems, and by grants from Intel Corporation, Eastman Kodak Company, and Freescale Semiconductor Corporation, and foundry support from the MIT Lincoln Laboratories.

Abstract

Three-dimensional (3-D) or vertical integration is a potent design paradigm to overcome the existing interconnect bottleneck in integrated systems. The major advantages of this emerging technology are the inherent reduction in wirelength and the ability to integrate heterogeneous circuits within a multi-plane system. To exploit these advantages, however, several challenges across different design abstraction levels, such as the architecture, physical, and technology levels, need to be overcome. In this dissertation, several issues affecting the design of 3-D circuits, primarily at the physical and architecture levels, are addressed.

Considering the importance of the interplane communication within a 3-D circuit, novel design methodologies and algorithms for the interplane interconnects are proposed in this dissertation. Algorithms for placing the interplane vias are proposed to lower the interconnect delay. Both two- and multi-terminal nets are considered. The proposed methodologies are the first to consider the heterogeneous nature of 3-D circuits; specifically, the impedance characteristics of the interplane vias.

Low latency interconnect, as compared to the long global wires, and the added design freedom due to the third dimension enable innovative topologies for on-chip networks. Several topologies for 3-D networks-on-chip that exhibit considerably enhanced performance as compared to 2-D topologies are proposed. Accurate analytic models that describe the interconnect delay and power within these networks have been developed.

The important global signaling issue of synchronization in 3-D circuits has been investigated for the first time. Several commonly used 2-D clock distribution architectures are combined into new 3-D synchronization network topologies. The design of these clock distribution networks and measurements from a 3-D test circuit are presented in the last part of this dissertation. Experimental results demonstrate successful operation at 1.4 GHz.

Summarizing, throughout this research thesis, many interconnect related problems in 3-D circuits have been addressed. Efficient and accurate solutions for these issues are proposed. These solutions are supported by results from an experimental 3-D test circuit. The proposed design methodologies described in this dissertation are intended to strengthen 3-D design capabilities, making this fascinating technology a promising solution for future integrated systems.

Contents

Dedication	ii
Curriculum Vitae	iii
Acknowledgments	iv
Abstract	vi
Contents	viii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xxvi
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1. From the Integrated Circuit to the Computer	3
1.2. Interconnects; an old Friend	6
1.3. Three-Dimensional or Vertical Integration	10
1.3.1. Opportunities for Three-Dimensional Integration	11
1.3.2. Challenges for Three-Dimensional Integration	14
1.4. Dissertation Organization	17
Chapter 2. Manufacturing of 3-D Packaged Systems	21
2.1. Three-Dimensional Integration	21
2.1.1. System-in-Package	23
2.1.2. Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits	24
2.2. System-on-Package	25
2.3. Technologies for System-in-Package	29
2.3.1. Wire Bonded System-in-Package	
2.3.2. Peripheral Vertical Interconnects	

2.3.3.	Area Array Vertical Interconnects	35
2.3.4.	Metallizing the Walls of an SiP	37
2.4.	Cost Issues for 3-D Integrated Systems	39
2.5.	Summary	42
Chapter 3.	3-D Integrated Circuit Fabrication Technologies	44
3.1.	Monolithic 3-D ICs	45
3.1.1.	Stacked 3-D ICs	46
3.1.2.	3-D Fin-FETs	54
3.2.	3-D ICs with Through Silicon or Interplane Vias	57
3.3.	Contactless 3-D ICs	63
3.3.1.	Capacitively Coupled 3-D ICs	63
3.3.2.	Inductively Coupled 3-D ICs	66
3.4.	Vertical Interconnects for 3-D ICs	67
3.4.1.	Electrical Characteristics of Through Silicon Vias	74
3.5.	Summary	76
Chapter 4.	Interconnect Prediction Models	78
4.1.	Interconnect Prediction Models for 2-D Circuits	79
4.2.	Interconnect Prediction Models for 3-D ICs	82
4.3. I	Projections for 3-D ICs	88
4.4.	Summary	94
Chapter 5.	Physical Design Techniques for 3-D ICs	95
5.1. I	Floorplanning Techniques	95
5.1.1.	Single versus Multi-Step Floorplanning for 3-D ICs	97
5.1.2.	Multi-Objective Floorplanning Techniques for 3-D ICs	. 101
5.2.	Placement Techniques	. 104

5.2.1.	Multi-Objective Placement for 3-D ICs	. 105
5.3. R	Routing Techniques	. 108
5.4. L	ayout Tools	. 113
5.5. T	Chree-Dimensional FPGAs	. 115
5.6. S	Summary	. 123
Chapter 6.	Thermal Management Techniques	. 125
6.1. T	Thermal Analysis of 3-D ICs	. 126
6.1.1.	Closed-Form Temperature Expressions	. 127
6.1.2.	Compact Thermal Models	. 135
6.1.3.	Mesh Based Thermal Models	. 137
6.2. T	Thermal Management Techniques without Thermal Vias	. 139
6.2.1.	Thermal-Driven Floorplanning	. 140
6.2.2.	Thermal-Driven Placement	. 147
6.3. T	Thermal Management Techniques Employing Thermal Vias	. 151
6.3.1.	Region Constrained Thermal Via Insertion	. 151
6.3.2.	Thermal Via Planning Techniques	. 155
6.3.3.	Thermal Wire Insertion	. 161
6.4. S	Summary	. 163
Chapter 7.	Timing Optimization for Two-Terminal Interconnects	. 166
7.1. I	nterplane Interconnect Models	. 167
7.2. T	Swo-Terminal Nets with a Single Interplane Via	. 173
7.2.1.	Elmore delay model of an interplane interconnect	. 173
7.2.2.	Interplane Interconnect Delay	. 176
7.2.3.	Optimum Via Location	. 178
7.2.4.	Improvement in Interconnect Delay	. 182

7.3. 1	Wo-Terminal Interconnects with Multiple Interplane Vias	. 185
7.3.1.	Two-Terminal Via Placement Heuristic	. 190
7.3.2.	Two-Terminal Via Placement Algorithm	. 194
7.3.3.	Application of the Via Placement Technique	. 195
7.4. S	Summary	202
Chapter 8.	Timing Optimization for Multi-Terminal Interconnects	204
8.1. 7	iming-Driven Via Placement for Interplane Interconnect Trees	204
8.2. N	Aulti-Terminal Interconnect Via Placement Heuristics	208
8.2.1.	Interconnect Trees	209
8.2.2.	Single Critical Sink Interconnect Trees	210
8.3. V	/ia Placement Algorithms for Interconnect Trees	212
8.3.1.	Interconnect Tree Via Placement Algorithm (ITVPA)	212
8.3.2.	Single Critical Sink Interconnect Tree Via Placement Algorithm (SCSVPA)	213
8.4. V	/ia Placement Results and Discussion	214
8.5. S	Summary	219
Chapter 9.	3-D Topologies for Networks-on-Chip	221
9.1. 3	-D NoC Topologies	223
9.2. Z	Zero-Load Latency for 3-D NoC	224
9.3. F	Power Consumption in 3-D NoC	230
9.4. F	Performance and Power Analysis for 3-D NoC	233
9.4.1.	Parameters of 3-D Networks-on-Chip	233
9.4.2.	Performance Tradeoffs for 3-D NoC	235
9.4.3.	Power Consumption in 3-D NoC	245
9.5. S	Summary	251
Chapter 10.	Case Study: Clock Distribution Networks for 3-D ICs	253

10.1. N	AITLL 3-D IC Fabrication Technology	
10.2. 3	-D Circuit Architecture	
10.3. C	Clock Signal Distribution in 3-D Circuits	
10.3.1.	Timing Characteristics of Synchronous Circuits	
10.3.2.	Clock Distribution Network Structures within the Test Circuit	
10.4. E	Experimental Results	
10.5. S	Summary	
Chapter 11.	Conclusions	
Chapter 12.	Future Work	
12.1. F	Power Distribution Networks for 3-D ICs	
12.2. S	Signal Integrity in the Vertical Direction	
12.3. 7	Thermal-Aware Packet Routing for 3-D NoC	
12.4. S	Summary	
References.		
Appendix A	Enumeration of Gate Pairs in a 3-D IC	
Appendix B	: Formal Proof of Optimum Single Via Placement	
Appendix C	Proof of the Two-Terminal Via Placement Heuristic	
Appendix D	Proof of Condition for Via Placement of Multi-Terminal Nets	

List of Figures

Fig. 1-1	History of transistor generations and logic styles [8]
Fig. 1-2	The first planar integrated circuit [9]
Fig. 1-3	The 4004 Intel microprocessor [9]
Fig. 1-4	Interconnect architecture including local, semi-global, and global tiers. The metal
	layers on each tier are of different thickness
Fig. 1-5	Repeaters are inserted at specific distances to improve the interconnect delay
Fig. 1 - 6	Interconnect shielding to improve signal integrity, (a) single-sided and (b) double-
	sided shielding. The shield and signal lines are illustrated by the grey and white
	color, respectively
Fig. 1 - 7	Cross-section of a JMOS inverter [35]
Fig. 1-8	Reduction in wire length where the original 2-D circuit is implemented in two and
	four planes
Fig. 1 - 9	An example of a heterogeneous 3-D system-on-chip comprising sensor and
	processing planes
Fig. 2-1	Three-dimensional stacked inverter [34]
Fig. 2-2	Examples of SiP, (a) wire bonded SiP [42], (b) solder balls at the perimeter of the
	planes [43], (c) area array vertical interconnects, and (d) interconnects on the faces
	of the SiP [44]
Fig. 2-3	Various communication schemes for 3-D ICs [45], (a) short through silicon vias, (b)
	inductive coupling [36], and (c) capacitive coupling [46]25
Fig. 2-4	(a) A typical SoP [48] and (b) relation of SoP, SiP, 3-D IC, and SoC27
Fig. 2-5	Manufacturing and design challenges for three-dimensional integration28

Fig. 2-6	System miniaturization through the integration of sophisticated 3-D ICs [48]28
Fig. 2-7	Wire bonded SiP, (a) dissimilar dies with multiple row bonding, (b) wire bonded
	stack delimited by spacer, (c) SiP with die-to-die and die-to-package wire bonding,
	and (d) top view of wire bonded SiP [42]
Fig. 2-8	SiP with peripheral connections, (a) solder balls [43], (b) through-hole via and
	spacers [53], and (c) through-hole via in a PCB frame structure [54]
Fig. 2-9	Basic manufacturing phases of an SiP, (a) interposer bumping and solder ball
	deposition, (b) die attachment, (c) plane stacking, and (d) epoxy underfill for
	enhanced reliability
Fig. 2-10	Cross-section of the SiP after removing the mold, (a) the SiP encapsulated in epoxy
	resin, (b) sawing to expose the metal traces, and (c) sawing to expose the bonding
	wires [63]
Fig. 3-1	Typical interconnects paths for (a) wire bonded SiP, (b) SiP with solder balls, and
	(c) 3-D IC with through silicon vias (TSVs)
Fig. 3-2	Cross-section of a stacked 3-D IC with a planarized heat shield (PHS) used to avoid
	degradation of the transistor characteristics on the first plane due to the temperature
	of the fabrication processes [67]47
Fig. 3-3	Cross-section of a stacked 3-D IC with a PMOS device on the bottom plane and an
	NMOS device in recrystallized silicon on the second plane [68]
Fig. 3-4	Processing steps for laterally crystallized TFT based on Ge-seeding. (a) Deposition
	of amorphous silicon, (b) creating seeding windows, (c) deposition of seeding
	materials, (d) producing silicon islands, and (e) processing of TFTs [73]
Fig. 3-5	Processing steps for vertical and lateral growth of 3-D SOI devices [74]
Fig. 3-6	Basic processing steps for a 3-D inverter utilizing the local clustering approach
	[76]

Fig. 3-7	3-D stacked Fin-CMOS device [80]5
Fig. 3-8	Processing steps for 3-D stacked fin-CMOS, (a) formation of the gate mask, (b
	etching step to form the stacked fin, (c) deposition of oxide and polysilicon layers
	(d) ion implantation to form drain and source regions, (e) etching step to generate
	contact openings, and (f) metallization of the contact openings [80]
Fig. 3-9	Typical fabrication steps for a 3-D IC process, (a) wafer preparation, (b) TSV
	etching, (c) wafer thinning, bumping, and handle wafer attachment, (d) wafe
	bonding, and (e) handle wafer removal
Fig. 3-10	Metal-to-metal bonding; (a) square bumps and (b) conic bumps for improved
	bonding quality [91]6
Fig. 3-11	Capacitively coupled 3-D IC; the large plate capacitors are utilized for power
	transfer, while the small plate capacitors are used for signal propagation [46]6
Fig. 3-12	Inductively coupled 3-D ICs. The galvanic connections are used for power delivery
	[99]67
Fig. 3-13	Through silicon via formation and filling after wafer thinning ("via last" approach)
	68
Fig. 3-14	Through silicon via shapes, (a) straight and (b) tapered
Fig. 3-15	A schematic representation of the scallops formed due to the time-multiplexed
	nature of the BOSCH process
Fig. 3-16	Schematic representation of poor TSV filling resulting in void formation (a) large
	void at the bottom and (b) seam void
Fig. 3-17	Structure of partial TSV and related materials [111]73
Fig. 3-18	Electrical model of a TSV [113]
Fig. 4-1	An example of the method used to determine the distribution of the interconnec
	length. Group N_A includes one gate, group N_B includes the gates located at a distance

	smaller than l (encircled by the dashed curve), and N_C is the group of gates at
	distance l from group N_A (encircled by the solid curve). In this example, $l = 4$ (the
	distance is measured in gate pitches) [120]
Fig. 4-2	An example of the method used to determine the interconnect length distribution in
	3-D circuits, (a) partial manhattan hemisphere and (b) cross-section of the partial
	manhattan hemisphere along <i>e-e</i> '. The gates in N_B and N_C are shown with light and
	dark gray tones, respectively [125]
Fig. 4-3	Example of starting and non-starting gates. Gates P and Q can be starting gates
	while S is a non-starting gate [125]
Fig. 4-4	Possible vertical interconnections for two cells with each cell containing n gates
	[129]
Fig. 4-5	Interconnect length distribution for a 2-D and 3-D IC [122]
Fig. 4-6	Variation of gate pitch, total interconnect length, and interconnect power
	consumption with the number of planes [138]
Fig. 5-1	Area and volume upper bounds for two- and three-dimensional slicing floorplans are
	depicted by the solid and dashed curve, respectively, for different shape aspect ratio.
	V_{total} (A_{total}) and V_{max} (A_{max}) are the total and maximum volume (area) respectively of
	a 3-D (2-D) system [152]
Fig. 5-2	Floorplanning strategies for 3-D ICs, (a) single step approach and (b) multi-step
	approach [155]
Fig. 5-3	Design flow of the microarchitectural floorplanning process for 3-D
	microprocessors [158]
Fig. 5-4	White space detection is illustrated by the white regions [171]
Fig. 5-5	Block placement of an SOP (a) an initial placement and (b) an increase in the total
	area in the x and y directions to extend the area of the white spaces [171]

Fig. 5-6	Channel alignment procedure to create the interplane routing channels [179] 109
Fig. 5-7	An SOP consisting of n planes. The vertical dashed lines correspond to vias between
	the routing layers and the thick vertical solid lines correspond to through vias that
	penetrate the device layers [182]
Fig. 5-8	Stages of a 3-D global routing algorithm [182]112
Fig. 5-9	Layout windows where different area markers are illustrated; (a) layout window for
	plane 1 and (b) layout window for plane 2 (windows are not of the same scale)114
Fig. 5-10	Typical FPGA architecture, (a) a 2-D FPGA, (b) a 2-D switch box, and (c) a 3-D
	switch box. A routing track can connect three outgoing tracks in a 2-D SB, while in
	a 3-D SB, a routing track can connect five outgoing routing tracks [189] 116
Fig. 5-11	Interconnects that span more than one logic block. L_i denotes the length of these
	interconnects and <i>i</i> is the number of LBs traversed by these wires
Fig. 5-12	Interconnect delay for various number of physical planes [191], (a) average length
	wires and (b) die edge length interconnects
Fig. 5-13	Power dissipated by 2-D and 3-D FPGAs [191]120
Fig. 5-14	Design flow of a three-dimensional FPGA-based placement and routing tool [197]
Fig. 6-1	Thermal model of a 3-D circuit where one-dimensional heat transfer is assumed
	[206]
Fig. 6-2	Temperature increase in a 3-D circuit for different number of planes and power
	densities [206]
Fig. 6-3	An example of the duality of thermal and electrical systems
Fig. 6-4	Different vertical heat transfer paths in a 3-D IC [209]133
Fig. 6-5	Maximum temperature vs. power density for 3-D ICs, SOI, and bulk CMOS [209].
	The difference among the curves for the 3-D ICs is that the first curve (3-D

	horizontal and vertical) includes thermal paths with a horizontal interconn	ect
	segment while the second curve includes only interplane vias (only 3-D verti	cal
	vias)	34
Fig. 6-6	Thermal model of a 3-D IC, (a) a 3-D tile stack, (b) one pillar of the stack, and	(c)
	an equivalent thermal resistive network. R_I and R_p correspond to the therm	nal
	resistance of the thick silicon substrate of the first plane and the thermal resistance	nce
	of the package, respectively [211].	37
Fig. 6-7	A four plane 3-D circuit discretized into parallelepipeds.	38
Fig. 6-8	Fundamental parallelepiped used to model thermal effects in a 3-D IC based	on
	FEM1	39
Fig. 6-9	Temperature cost function [217]	41
Fig. 6-10	A bucket structure example for a two plane circuit consisting of twelve blocks [21	7],
	(a) a two plane 3-D IC, (b) a 2×2 bucket structure imposed on a 3-D IC, and (c)	the
	resulting bucket indices.	42
Fig. 6 - 11	Interplane moves, (a) an initial placement, (b) a z-neighbor swap between block	s a
	and h, and (c) a z-neighbor move for block l from the first plane to the second plan	ne.
		43
Fig. 6-12	Mapping of a task graph onto physical PEs within a 3-D NoC [220]	47
Fig. 6-13	Thermal conductivity vs. thermal via density [227].	54
Fig. 6-14	Multilevel routing flow with thermal via planning [229].	58
Fig. 6-15	Various heat propagation paths within a 3-D grid [229].	58
Fig. 6 - 16	Routing grid for a two plane 3-D IC. Each horizontal edge of the grid is associa	ted
	with a horizontal wire capacity. Each vertical edge is associated with an interpla	ane
	via capacity.	61

- Fig. 6-18 Flowchart of a temperature aware 3-D global routing technique [234]......163

- Fig. 7-4 Capacitance extraction for an interplane via structure; (a) interplane via through layers of dielectric and the bonding interface, surrounded by eight interplane vias and (b) capacitance values for various via sizes and spacings. For all of the layers, the same dielectric material is assumed (*i.e.*, $\varepsilon_d = \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{siO_2}$)......171

Fig. 7-8 S	SPICE measurements of 50% propagation delay of a 600 μm line versus the via
lo	ocation l_1 for various values of r_{21} . The interconnect parameters are $r_1 = 79.5$
S	Ω/mm, r_{vI} = 5.7 Ω/mm, c_{vI} = 6 pF/mm, c_2 = 439 fF/mm, c_{12} = 1.45, l_v = 20 µm, and
n	$r = 2$. The driver resistance and load capacitance are $R_s = 50 \Omega$ and $C_L = 50 \text{ fF}$,
r	espectively
Fig. 7-9 S	SPICE measurements of the 50% propagation delay for a 600 μ m line versus the via
lo	ocation l_1 for various values of r_{21} . The interconnect parameters are $r_1 = 79.5 \ \Omega/\text{mm}$,
r,	$c_{vl} = 5.7 \ \Omega/\text{mm}, c_{vl} = 6 \text{ pF/mm}, c_2 = 439 \text{ fF/mm}, c_{12} = 0.46, l_v = 20 \mu\text{m}, \text{ and } n = 2.$
Т	The driver resistance and load capacitance are $R_S = 50 \ \Omega$ and $C_L = 50 \ \text{fF}$,
re	espectively
Fig. 7-10 D	Decrease in the delay improvement caused by the non-optimal placement of the
iı	nterplane via for a 500 μ m interconnect. The interconnect parameters are $r_1 = 23.5$
S	2/mm, $r_{vl} = 270$ Ω/mm, $c_{vl} = 270$ fF/mm, $c_2 = 287$ fF/mm, $l_v = 15$ µm, and $n = 2$.
Т	The driver resistance and load capacitance are $R_S = 30 \Omega$ and $C_L = 100 \text{ fF}$,
re	espectively
Fig. 7-11 D	Decrease in the delay improvement due to the non-optimal placement of the
iı	nterplane via for a 500 μ m interconnect. The interconnect parameters are $r_1 = 23.5$
S	2/mm, r_{vl} = 6.7 Ω/mm, c_{vl} = 270 fF/mm, c_2 = 287 fF/mm, l_v = 15 µm, and n = 2. The
d	river resistance and load capacitance are $R_s = 100 \Omega$ and $C_L = 100$ fF, respectively.
Fig. 7-12 I	nterplane interconnect consisting of m segments connecting two circuits located n
р	lanes apart
Fig. 7-13 In	nterplane interconnect model composed of a set of non-uniform distributed RC
S	egments

- Fig. 7-16 Pseudocode of the proposed Two-Terminal Net Via Placement Algorithm (TTVPA).

- Fig. 8-2Different interplane via moves, (a) type-1 move (allowed), (b) type-2 move(allowed), and (c) type-3 move (prohibited).206

Fig. 8-6	A symmetric tree including two interplane vias. The interconnect parameters are r_1
	= 10.98 Ω /mm, r_2 = 11.97 Ω /mm, r_3 = 96.31 Ω /mm, c_1 = 147.89 fF/mm, c_2 = 202
	fF/mm, $c_3 = 388.51$ fF/mm, and allowed interval $l_{di,v2} = 75 \mu m$
Fig. 9-1	Various NoC topologies (not to scale), (a) 2-D IC - 2-D NoC, (b) 2-D IC - 3-D
	NoC, (c) 3-D IC – 2-D NoC, and (d) 3-D IC – 3-D NoC
Fig. 9-2	Typical interconnect structure for intermediate metal layers
Fig. 9-3	Zero-load latency for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} = 0.81 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 332.6$
	fF/mm, (b) $A_{PE} = 4 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 332.6 \text{ fF/mm}$
Fig. 9-4	Zero-load latency for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} = 0.64 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 192.5$
	fF/mm, (b) $A_{PE} = 2.25 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 192.5 \text{ fF/mm}$
Fig. 9-5	Improvement in zero-load latency for different network sizes and PE areas (i.e.,
	buss lengths). (a) 2-D IC – 3-D NoC and (b) 3-D IC – 2-D NoC
Fig. 9-6	Zero-load latency for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} = 1 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 332.6 \text{ fF/mm}$,
	(b) $A_{PE} = 4 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 332.6 \text{ fF/mm}$
Fig. 9-7	n_3 and n_p values for minimum zero-load latency for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} =$
	1 mm ² and $c_h = 332.6$ fF/mm, (b) $A_{PE} = 4$ mm ² and $c_h = 332.6$ fF/mm
Fig. 9-8	Power consumption with delay constraints for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} = 1$
	mm ² , $c_h = 332.6$ fF/mm, and $T_0 = 500$ ps, (b) $A_{PE} = 4$ mm ² , $c_h = 332.6$ fF/mm, and
	$T_0 = 500 \text{ ps.}$
Fig. 9 - 9	Power consumption with delay constraints for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} = 0.64$
	mm ² , $c_h = 192.5$ fF/mm, and $T_0 = 1000$ ps, (b) $A_{PE} = 2.25$ mm ² , $c_h = 192.5$ fF/mm,
	and $T_0 = 1000 \text{ ps.}$
Fig. 9-10	Power consumption with delay constraints for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} = 1$
	mm ² , $c_h = 332.6$ fF/mm, and $T_0 = 500$ ps, and (b) $A_{PE} = 4$ mm ² , $c_h = 332.6$ fF/mm,
	and $T_0 = 500 \text{ ps.}$

Fig. 10-1	Three wafers are individually fabricated with an FDSOI process255
Fig. 10 - 2	The second wafer is front-to-front bonded with the first wafer
Fig. 10-3	The 3-D vias are formed and the surface is planarized with CMP
Fig. 10 - 4	The backside vias are etched and the backside metal is deposited on the second
	wafer
Fig. 10 - 5	The third wafer is front-to-back bonded with the second wafer and the 3-D vias for
	that plane are formed
Fig. 10 - 6	Backside metal is deposited and glass layers are cut to create openings for the pads.
Fig. 10 - 7	Layer thicknesses in the 3-D IC MITLL technology [139]258
Fig. 10 - 8	Block diagram of the 3-D test IC. Each block has an area of approximately 1 mm ² .
	The remaining area is reserved for the I/O pads (the grey shapes)
Fig. 10 - 9	Block diagram of the logic circuit included in each plane of each block
Fig. 10-10	Physical layout of a pseudorandom number generator
Fig. 10-11	Physical layout of six \times six crossbar switch with 16-bit wide ports
Fig. 10-12	Cascoded current mirror with an additional control transistor
Fig. 10-13	Four stage cascoded current mirrors
Fig. 10-14	Physical layout of the test circuit. Some decoupling capacitors are highlighted265
Fig. 10-15	Two-dimensional four level H-tree
Fig. 10-16	A data path depicting a pair of <i>sequentially-adjacent</i> registers268
Fig. 10-17	Two-dimensional H-trees constituting a clock distribution network for a 3-D IC. 268
Fig. 10-18	Various 3-D clock distribution networks within the test circuit, (a) H-trees, (b) H-
	tree and local rings/meshes, (c) H-tree and global rings, and (d) trunk based270
Fig. 10-19	Physical layout of the clock distribution networks in the 3-D IC, (a) H-trees, (b) H-
	tree and local rings/meshes, (c) H-tree and global rings, and (d) trunk based271

Fig. 10-20	Clock signal probes with RF pads
Fig. 10-21	Open drain transistor and circuit model of the probe
Fig. 10-22	Top view of the fabricated 3-D test circuit
Fig. 10-23	Magnified view of one block of the fabricated 3-D test circuit
Fig. 10-24	Die assembly of the 3-D test circuit with RF probes
Fig. 10-25	Clock signal input and output waveform from the topology illustrated in Fig.
	10-18c
Fig. 10-26	Maximum measured clock skew between two planes within the different clock
	distribution networks
Fig. 10-27	Part of the clock distribution networks illustrated in Figs. 10-18a and 10-18b. (a)
	The local clock skew is individually adjusted within each plane for the H-tree
	topology and (b) the local skew is simultaneously adjusted for all of the planes for
	the local mesh topology
Fig. 10-28	Measured power consumption at 1 GHz of the different circuit blocks
Fig. 12-1	Power distribution grid commonly used in high performance integrated circuits288
Fig. 12-2	Decoupling the power distribution networks can reduce the design complexity of the
	power delivery task in 3-D ICs. Each power distribution grid is treated as carrying
	only the amount of current consumed by the corresponding plane
Fig. 12-3	Number of immediate aggressors for a signal in vertical and horizontal busses. The
	number of aggressors in a vertical buss (a) can be considerably larger than in the
	horizontal buss (b)
Fig. 12-4	Top view of different shielding topologies (G) for a vertical interconnect (S) in a 3-
	D IC. (a) Single shield, (b) two shields, (c) four shields, and (d) eight shields291
Fig. 12-5	Different topologies for a vertical 16-bit buss in a 3-D IC. (a) Four \times four array
	arrangement, (b) double row arrangement, and (c) three row arrangement. The

	number of neighboring signals and the total area of each topology differ. Each of
	these topologies results in different amounts of crosstalk noise
Fig. 12-6	Different routing paths for propagating data between nodes A and B. If the path
	shown by the solid line is congested, alternative paths depicted by the dashed and
	dotted lines are used. These paths have a negligible effect on the latency of the
	network, but further increase the temperature of the interconnect busses within the
	path shown by the solid line
Fig. C-1	Interplane interconnect consisting of m segments connecting two circuits located n
	planes apart
Fig. D-1	A portion of an interconnect tree

List of Tables

Table 2-1	High performance dielectric materials [49].	29
Table 2-2	Loop overhang requirements vs. die thickness [42]	31
Table 2-3	Required bonding wire pitch for multiple row bonding [42].	32
Table 2-4	Cost performance comparison of 3-D technologies [65].	41
Table 3-1	Characteristics of fabrication techniques for 3-D ICs	59
Table 3-2	Several materials used for wafer bonding	62
Table 3-3	Resistance of partially filled TSV [111].	74
Table 3-4	Dimensions and electrical characteristics of the through silicon vias	74
Table 3-5	Parameters of the electrical TSV model shown in Fig. 3-18 [113]	75
Table 4-1	Characteristics of 2-D circuits [140].	92
Table 4-2	Characteristics of 3-D circuits [140].	92
Table 4-3	Clock skew and power consumption [140].	93
Table 5-1	Solution space for 2-D and 3-D IC floorplanning [155].	99
TABLE 5-2	MULTI-STEP FLOORPLANNING RESULTS [150].	. 101
TABLE 5-3	PLACEMENT FOR A FOUR PLANE SOP WITH DIVERSE DESIGN OBJECTIVES [169].	108
Table 5-4	Area, wirelength, and channel density improvement in 3-D FPGAs [191]	.118
Table 5-5	Improvement ratios normalized to the SA-TPR output for 2-D FPGAs [197]	. 122
Table 6-1	Definition of the symbols used in (6-7).	. 131
Table 6-2	Temperature decrease through thermal driven floorplanning [217].	. 144
Table 6-3	Thermal driven floorplanning for four plane 3-D ICs [169]	. 148
Table 6-4	Average per cent change of various thermal objectives for the case with no the	ermal
	vias [227]	. 155
Table 6-5	Comparison of TSV planning techniques.	. 159

Table 6-6	Different solutions for distributing TSVs in 3-D ICs	159
Table 6-7	Comparison among the required numbers of TSVs.	160
Table 7-1	SPICE simulation results for two-terminal interconnects with a single inter-	erplane
	via	182
Table 7-2	Notation for two-terminal interplane interconnects.	188
Table 7-3	SPICE simulation results demonstrating the delay savings achieved by near of	optimal
	via placement. The resistance and capacitance per unit length of the vias a	re $r_{vi} =$
	6.7 Ω /mm and $c_{vi} = 6$ pF/mm, respectively. The length of the vias is $l_{vi} = 2$	20 µm.
	The driver resistance is $R_s = 15 \Omega$ and the load capacitance is $C_L = 100 f$	F. The
	length of the allowed intervals is $\Delta x_i = 200 \ \mu m$.	197
Table 7-4	Optimization results for various two-terminal interplane interconnects and m	umbers
	of physical planes <i>n</i>	198
Table 8-1	Notation for two-terminal nets and interconnect trees	207
Table 8-2	Optimization results for various interplane interconnect trees for different r	ıumber
	of sinks and physical planes <i>n</i>	216
Table 8-3	Optimal via location, direction of move, and type of move for via v_2 shown	in Fig.
	8-6, as determined from ITVPA for various values of w_1 and w_2	216
Table 8-4	Optimization results for various single critical sink interconnect trees for di	ifferent
	number of sinks and physical planes <i>n</i>	219
Table 9-1	Interconnect and design parameters, 45 nm technology.	229
Table 9-2	Interconnect parameters.	234
Table 9-3	Network parameters.	235
Table 10-1	Layer resistances of the 3-D FDSOI process [139]	259
Table 10-2	Contact and via resistances of the 3-D FDSOI process [139]	259
Table 10-3	Pad connectivity of the 3-D test circuit (pad index shown in Fig. 10-8)	266

Table 10-4	Measured clock skew among the planes of each block	276
Table 10-5	Measured power consumption of each block operating at 1 GHz.	279

Chapter 1. Introduction

...as she was packing the light and conveniently small *laptop* the maps were downloaded from the *internet* onto the *PDA*. For a moment she glanced at the *satellite* images on the weather broadcast. She sighed, thinking that it would be a hard and long drive, yet the eight gigabyte *MP3 player* would make the trip less tiresome. She double checked that the *cell phone* was fully charged, asked the *home appliance control system* to turn off the lights, and punched in the security code before locking the door...

In 2007, such a situation can be part of a daily routine; sixty years ago, however, the same scene would have been an excerpt of a science fiction script in a Hollywood production. Popular consumer products such as PDAs, cell phones, MP3 players, and many more devices would appear as imaginary concoctions of a skilled science fiction writer. Today, however, these gadgets constitute a minuscule fraction of the electronics market. The seed that bore this transformation – almost metamorphosis – of electronics was a grain of germanium on which the point contact transistor was fabricated for the first time in 1947 by J. Bardeen, W. Brattain, and W. Shockley [1]. The behavior of this primitive device was similar to a switch, allowing a current to flow between two terminals whenever a controlling voltage was applied to a third terminal.

This invention appealed to the interests of scientists and engineers, resulting in focused research efforts on developing semiconductor devices to replace the bulky, power hungry, and low performance vacuum tubes and electro-mechanical relays on which much of the electronics of the pre-transistor era were based [2]. This quest led to the emergence of a new branch of electronics, namely, the semiconductor industry, which experienced tremendous growth over the following decades. An important engine behind this explosive evolution was the plethora of

semiconductor-based applications. Semiconductor products have ultimately affected every component of our society.

For example, manufacturing was considerably advanced due to automation, reducing the cost and time to market and offering more reliable products, while increasing employee safety through highly sophisticated electronic security systems that can recognize and warn of critical equipment failures [3]. Office automation also significantly simplified the painstaking process of writing letters, memos, and reports, while facilitating filing by offering a variety of storage media [4]. Additionally, medical procedures were simplified and invasive diagnostic methods, which were often dangerous to patients, were replaced by safe and effective non-invasive techniques. Furthermore, minute electronics devices, such as the pacemaker and hearing aid, enhanced the treatment of myriads of patients [5]. Communications is another component of our society that has passed through a revolution during the past several decades. Satellite communications, geographical positioning systems, cell phones, and the internet are some of the salient achievements in the communications field. Without the robust and powerful transistor, most of these capabilities would be rather primitive if not impossible.

Science and engineering perhaps benefited the most from the microelectronics revolution and the flourishing semiconductor industry [6]. Once formidable, computational tasks are now solved in fractions of a second. Numerous computer programs, measurement instrumentation, and observation apparatus have been developed, expanding our knowledge of the environment, nature, and the universe. Information processing and propagation capabilities have been improved by orders of magnitude as compared to the beginning of the twentieth century, making knowledge available in almost any place around the globe. The electronics industry has been, in turn, assisted by these developments, both intellectually and financially. Novel applications further boosted the semiconductor revolution, producing colossal revenues which helped establish and fuel industrial R&D. Some of the milestones of this stupendous progress are described in the following section. Interconnect related problems since the earliest days of the integrated circuit industry and the impending performance bottleneck caused by the interconnect are discussed in Section 1.2. A promising solution and an important next step in the evolution of the microelectronics field, namely three-dimensional integration, is introduced in Section 1.3. An outline of this dissertation is presented in Section 1.4.

1.1. From the Integrated Circuit to the Computer

During the years that followed the genesis of the point contact transistor in 1947, several different types of semiconductor devices were fabricated to satisfy a variety of important applications in control systems, military, medicine, and a host of other areas. A timeline of these inventions is shown in Fig. 1-1. These transistors were discrete components connected together with traces of metal which implemented different circuit functions. Although these innovative devices could perform better and more reliably at greater frequencies than vacuum tubes or other electromechanical equipment, a system that exclusively consisted of discrete components would be of limited performance and could not exploit the full potential of semiconductor devices. Not until the development of the planar process in 1960 which resulted in the familiar integrated circuit, as shown in Fig. 1-2, could the capabilities of the transistor begin to be fully utilized [7]. This achievement reinforced the growth of the semiconductor industry, offering a large number of devices integrated within the silicon, implementing a vast variety of circuit functions.



Later improvements in the fabrication process and integration on inexpensive silicon resulted in integrated circuits (IC) with higher yield and hence lower cost, greater performance, and enhanced reliability. Within the next decade, several logic style families such as transistortransistor logic (TTL), emitter-coupled logic (ECL), and complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) were proposed. As the complexity of the ICs increased, a profound need developed for circuits suitable for generalized applications. Indeed, thus far, each IC was designed to serve a single application, requiring companies to design a variety of low cost components to maintain profitability. The answer to this profound need was provided by M. E. Hoff Jr., an engineer at Intel. He envisioned a more flexible way to utilize the capabilities of these integrated circuits. Encouraged by the founders of Intel, Moore and Noyce, the result of this effort was the first microprocessor, namely the 4004, which is illustrated in Fig. 1-3. This 0.11×0.15 square inch IC could execute addition and multiplication with four-bit numbers, while a bank of registers was used for storage purposes. Although this capability seems trivial today, the 4004 microprocessor fundamentally altered the way that computers were perceived and used.



Fig. 1-2 The first planar integrated circuit [9].

Since the 4004 was announced in 1971, microprocessors and ICs in general have steadily improved, demonstrating higher performance and reliability. This fascinating trajectory was essentially driven by the maturation of the semiconductor manufacturing process, supported by

the continued scaling of the transistors. The merits of this evolution were foreseen quite early by Moore and Noyce, as discussed in the following section, where the physical limitations of technology scaling are also described.



Fig. 1-3 The 4004 Intel microprocessor [9].

1.2. Interconnects; an old Friend

During the infancy of the semiconductor industry, the connections among the active devices of a circuit presented an important obstacle for increasing circuit performance. The significant capacitance of the interconnects necessitated large power drivers and hindered a rapid increase in performance that could be achieved by the transistors. Noyce had already noticed the importance of the interconnects, such as the increase in delay and noise due to coupling with neighboring interconnects [10]. The invention of the integrated circuit considerably alleviated these early interconnect related problems by bringing the interconnects on-chip. The interconnect length was significantly reduced, decreasing the delay and power consumption while reducing the overall cost. From a performance point of view, the delay of the transistors dominated the overall delay characteristics. Over the next three decades, on-chip interconnects were not the major focus of the IC design process, as performance improvements reaped from scaling the devices were much greater than any degradation caused by the interconnects.

With continuous technology scaling, however, the interconnect delay, noise, and power grew in importance [11], [12]. A variety of methodologies at the architectural, circuit, and material levels have been developed to address these interconnect design objectives. At the material level, manufacturing innovations such as the introduction of copper interconnects and low-k dielectric materials helped to prolong the improvements in performance gained from scaling [13]-[17]. This situation is due to the lower resistivity of the copper as compared to aluminum interconnects and the lower dielectric permitivity of the new insulator materials as compared to silicon dioxide.

Multi-tier interconnect architectures [18], [19], shielding [20], wire sizing [21], [22], and repeater insertion [23] are only a handful of the many methods employed to cope with interconnect issues at the circuit level. Multi-tier interconnect architectures, for example, support tiers of metal layers with different cross-sections [19], as illustrated in Fig. 1-4. Each tier typically consists of multiple metal layers routed in orthogonal directions and with the same cross-section. The key idea of this structure is to utilize wires of decreasing resistance to connect those circuits located farther away. Thus, the farther the distance among the circuits, the thicker the wires used to connect these circuits. The increase in the cross-section of the wires is shown in Fig. 1-4. The thickness of the tiers, however, is limited by the fabrication technology and related reliability and yield concerns.



Fig. 1-4 Interconnect architecture including local, semi-global, and global tiers. The metal layers on each tier are of different thickness.

Varying the width of the wires, also known as wiring sizing, is another means to manage the interconnect characteristics. Wider wires lower the interconnect resistance, decreasing the attenuative behavior of the wire. Although wire sizing typically has an adverse effect on the power consumed by the interconnect, proper sizing techniques can also decrease the power consumption [22], [24].

Other practices do not modify the physical characteristics of the propagation medium. Rather, by introducing additional circuitry and wire resources, the performance and noise tolerance of an interconnect system can be enhanced. For instance, in a manner similar to the use of repeaters in telephone lines systems, a properly designed interconnect system with buffers (also known as repeaters) amplifies the attenuated signals, recovering the originally transmitted signal that is propagated along a line. Repeater insertion effectively converts the square dependence of the delay on the interconnect length to a linear function of length, as shown in Fig. 1-5.



Fig. 1-5 Repeaters are inserted at specific distances to improve the interconnect delay.

Shielding is an effective technique to reduce crosstalk among adjacent interconnects. Single- or double-sided shielding, as depicted in Fig. 1-6, is commonly utilized to improve signal integrity. Shields can also improve interconnect delay and power, particularly in buss architectures, in addition to mitigating noise. Careful tuning of the relative delay of the propagated signals [25] and signal encoding schemes [26] are other strategies to maintain signal integrity. Despite the
benefits of these techniques, issues arise such as the increase in power consumption, greater routing congestion, the reduction of wiring resources, and an increase in area.

At higher abstraction levels, pipelining the global interconnects and employing error correction mechanisms can partially improve the performance and fault tolerance of the wires. The related cost of these architecture level techniques in terms of area and design complexity, however, increases considerably. Other interconnect schemes such as current mode signaling [27], wave pipelined interconnects [28], and low swing signaling [29] have been proposed as possible solutions to the impeding interconnect bottleneck. These incremental methods, however, have limited ability to reduce the length of the wire, which is the primary cause of the deleterious behavior of the interconnect.



Fig. 1-6 Interconnect shielding to improve signal integrity, (a) single-sided and (b) double-sided shielding. The shield and signal lines are illustrated by the grey and white color, respectively.

Novel design paradigms are therefore required that do not impede the well established and historic improvement in performance in next generation integrated circuits. Canonical interconnect structures that utilize internet-like packet switching for data transfer [30], optical interconnects [31], and three-dimensional integration are possible solutions for providing communication among devices or functional blocks within an IC.

On-chip networks can considerably enhance the communication bandwidth among the individual functional blocks of an integrated system, since each of these blocks utilizes the

resources of the network. In addition, noise issues are easier to manage as the layered structure of the communication protocols utilized within on-chip networks provide error correction. The speed and power consumed by these networks, however, are eventually limited by the delay of the wires connecting the network links.

Alternatively, on-chip optical interconnects can greatly improve the speed and power characteristics of interconnects within an integrated circuit, replacing the critical electrical nets with optical links [32], [33]. On-chip optical interconnects, however, remain a technologically challenging problem. Indeed, integrating a modulator and detector onto the silicon within a standard CMOS process is a difficult task [31]. In addition, the detector and modulator should exhibit performance characteristics that ensure the optical links outperform the electrical interconnects [33]. Furthermore, an on-chip optical link consumes larger area as compared to a single electrical interconnect line. To limit the area consumed by the optical interconnect, multiplexing of the optical signals (wavelength division multiplexing (WDM)) can be exploited. On-chip WDM, however, imposes significant challenges.

Volumetric integration by exploiting the third dimension greatly improves the interconnect performance characteristics of modern integrated circuits while the interconnect bandwidth is not degraded. In general, three-dimensional integration should not be seen as competitive but rather synergistic with on-chip networks and optical interconnections. The unique opportunities that three-dimensional integration offers to the circuit design process and the challenges that arise from the increasing complexity of these systems are discussed in the following section.

1.3. Three-Dimensional or Vertical Integration

Successful fabrication of vertically integrated devices dates back to the early 80's [34]. The structures include 3-D CMOS inverters where the PMOS and NMOS transistors share the same

gate, considerably reducing the total area of the inverter, as illustrated in Fig. 1-7. The term JMOS was used for these structures to describe the joint use of a single gate for both devices [35]. In the following years, research on three-dimensional integration remained an area of limited scientific interest. Due to the increasing importance of the interconnect and the demand for greater functionality on a single substrate, vertical integration has recently become a more prominent research topic. Over the last five years, three-dimensional integration has evolved into a design paradigm manifested at several abstraction levels, such as the package, die, and wafer levels. Alternatively, different manufacturing processes and interconnect schemes have been proposed for each of these abstraction levels [36]. The salient features and important challenges for three-dimensional systems are briefly reviewed in the following subsections.



Fig. 1-7 Cross-section of a JMOS inverter [35].

1.3.1. Opportunities for Three-Dimensional Integration

The quintessence of three-dimensional integration is the drastic decrease in the length of the longest interconnects across an integrated circuit. To illustrate this situation, consider the simple example structure shown in Fig. 1-8. A common metric to characterize the longest interconnect is to assume that the length of a long interconnect is equal to twice the length of the die edge.

Consequently, assuming a planar integrated circuit with an area *A*, the longest interconnect in a planar IC has a length $L_{\max,2-D} = 2\sqrt{A}$. Implementing the same circuitry onto two bonded dies requires an area A/2 for each plane while the total area of the system remains the same. Hence, the length of the longest interconnect for a two plane 3-D IC is $L_{\max,3-D} = 2\sqrt{A/2}$. Increasing the number of dies within a 3-D IC to four, the area of each die can be further reduced to A/4, and the longest interconnect would have a length of $L_{\max,3-D} = 2\sqrt{A/4}$. Consequently, the wire length exhibits a reduction proportional to \sqrt{n} , where *n* is the number of dies or physical planes that can be integrated into a 3-D system. Although in this simplistic example the effect of the connections among circuits located on different dies is not considered, *a priori* accurate interconnect prediction models adapted for 3-D ICs also demonstrate a similar trend caused by the reduction in wire length [37]. This considerable decrease in length is a promising solution for increasing the speed while reducing the power dissipated by an IC.



Fig. 1-8 Reduction in wire length where the original 2-D circuit is implemented in two and four planes.

Another characteristic of 3-D ICs of greater importance than the decrease in the interconnect length is the ability of these systems to include disparate technologies. This defining feature of 3-D ICs offers unique opportunities for highly heterogeneous and multi-functional systems. A real-time image processing system where the image sensor on the topmost plane captures the light, the

analog circuitry on the plane below converts the signal to digital data, and the remaining two planes of digital logic process the information from the upper planes is a powerful example of a heterogeneous 3-D system-on-chip (SoC), with considerably improved performance as compared to a planar implementation of the same system [38], [39]. Another example where the topmost plane can include other types of sensors such as seismic and acoustic sensors and an additional plane with wireless communications circuitry is schematically illustrated in Fig. 1-9. A vast pool of applications, in the military, medical, and wireless communication domains, as well as low cost consumer products exists for vertical integration, as the proximity of the system components due to the third dimension is suitable for either the high performance or low power ends of the SoC application spectrum.



Fig. 1-9 An example of a heterogeneous 3-D system-on-chip comprising sensor and processing planes.

1.3.2. Challenges for Three-Dimensional Integration

Developing a design flow for 3-D ICs is a complicated task with many ramifications. A number of challenges at each step of the design process have to be met for 3-D ICs to successfully evolve into a mainstream technology. Design methodologies at the front end and mature manufacturing processes at the back end are required to effectively provide large scale 3-D systems. Several of the primary challenges to the successful development of 3-D systems are summarized below.

Technological/Manufacturing Limitations

Some of the fabrication issues encountered in the development of 3-D systems concern the reliable lamination of several ICs, possibly from dissimilar technologies. The stacking process should not degrade the performance of the individual planes, while guaranteeing the planes remain bonded throughout the lifetime of the 3-D system. Furthermore, packaging solutions that accommodate these complicated 3-D structures need to be developed. In addition, the expected reduction in wirelength depends upon the vertical interconnects utilized to propagate signals and power throughout the planes of a 3-D system.

The technology of the interplane interconnects is a primary determining factor in circuit performance. Consequently, providing high quality and highly dense vertical interconnects is of fundamental importance in 3-D circuits; otherwise, the performance or power gains achieved by the third dimension will diminish [40]. Alternatively, the density of this type of interconnect dictates the granularity at which the planes of the system can be interconnected, directly affecting the bandwidth of the interplane communication.

Testing

Manufacturing a 3-D system typically includes bonding multiple physical planes. The stacking process can occur either in a wafer-to-wafer or die-to-wafer manner. Consequently, novel testing

methodologies at the wafer and die level are required. Developing testing methodologies for wafer level integration is significantly more complicated than die level testing techniques. The considerable reduction in turnaround time due to the higher integration, however, may justify the additional complexity of these testing methods.

An important distinction between 2- and 3-D IC testing is that in the latter case only part of the functionality of the system is tested at a given time (typically, only one plane is tested at a time). This characteristic requires additional resources, for example, scan registers embedded within each plane. Furthermore, additional interconnect resources, such as power/ground pads, are necessary. These extra pads supply power to the plane during testing. In general, testing strategies for 3-D systems should not only include methodologies for generating appropriate input patterns for each plane of the system, but also minimize the circuitry dedicated to efficiently test each plane within a 3-D stack.

Interconnect Design

Design-for-test strategies are only a portion of the many design methodologies that need to be developed for 3-D ICs. Interconnect design and analysis of 3-D circuits are also challenging tasks. This capability is primarily due to the inherent heterogeneity of these systems, where different fabrication processes or disparate technologies are combined into a 3-D circuit. Consequently, models that consider the particular traits of 3-D ICs are necessary. In these diverse systems, global interconnect, such as clock and power distribution, grow in importance. Furthermore, well developed noise mitigation techniques may not be suitable for 3-D circuits. Noise caused by capacitive and inductive coupling of the interconnections between adjacent planes needs to be considered from a 3-D perspective [41]. For example, signal switching on the topmost metal layer of a digital plane can produce a noise spike in an adjacent analog plane bonded in a front-to-front fashion with the digital plane. Considering the different forms of 3-D

integration and the various manufacturing approaches, the development of interconnect design techniques and methodologies is a primary focus in high performance 3-D systems.

Thermal Issues

A fundamental concern in the design of 3-D circuits is thermal effects. Although the power consumption of these circuits is expected to decrease due to the considerably shorter interconnects, the power density will greatly increase since there is a larger number of devices per unit area as compared to a planar 2-D circuit. As the power density increases, the temperature of those planes not adjacent to the heat sink of the package will rise, resulting in degraded performance, or accelerated wear out mechanisms. Exploiting the performance benefits of vertical integration while mitigating thermal effects is a difficult task. In addition to design practices, packaging solutions and more effective heat sinks are additional approaches to alleviate thermal effects.

CAD Algorithms and Tools

Other classic problems in the IC design process, such as partitioning, floorplanning, placement, and routing, will need to be revisited in an effort to develop efficient solutions that can support the complexity of three-dimensional systems. To facilitate the front end design process, a capability for exploratory design is also required. For example, design entry tools that provide a variety of visualization options can assist the designer in more easily comprehending and managing the added complexity of these circuits. In addition, as diverse technologies are combined within a single 3-D system, algorithms that include behavioral models for a larger variety of components are needed. Furthermore, the computational power of the simulation tools will need to be significantly extended to ensure that the entire system can be efficiently evaluated.

In this dissertation, emerging 3-D technologies and design methodologies are analyzed and solutions for certain critical problems are discussed. In the next section, an outline of the dissertation is provided.

1.4. Dissertation Organization

A brief description of the challenges that 3-D integration faces is provided in the previous section. Several important problems are considered and innovative solutions are presented in this dissertation. In the next chapter, various forms of vertically integrated systems are discussed. Different implementations of three-dimensional circuits at the package and die integration levels are reviewed. Some of these approaches, such as a wire bonded stacked die, have become commercially available, while others have not yet left the R&D phase. Although vertical integration of packaged or bare die offers substantial improvements over planar multi-chip packaging solutions, the increasing number of I/Os hampers potential performance advancements. This situation is primarily due to manufacturing limitations hindering aggressive scaling of the off-chip interconnects in order to satisfy future I/O requirements.

Consequently, in the third chapter, emphasis is placed on those technologies that enable 3-D integration, where the interconnections between the non co-planar circuits are achieved through the silicon by short vertical vias. These interconnect schemes provide the greatest reduction in wire length and, therefore, the largest improvement in performance and power consumption. Specific fabrication processes that have been successfully developed for three-dimensional circuits are reviewed.

A theoretical analysis of interconnections in 3-D ICs is offered in the fourth chapter. This investigation is based on *a priori* interconnect prediction models. These typically stochastic models are used to estimate the length of the on-chip interconnects. The remaining sections of

this chapter apply the interconnect distributions to demonstrate the opportunities and performance benefits of vertical integration.

The following four chapters focus on issues related to the physical design of 3-D ICs. The complexity of the 3-D physical design process is discussed in Chapter 5. Several approaches for classical physical design issues, such as floorplanning, placement, and routing, from a 3-D perspective, are reviewed. Other important issues, such as the allocation of decoupling capacitance, are also presented.

In the sixth chapter, physical design techniques for 3-D ICs are extended to thermal design and management. Modeling and design methodologies of thermal effects are analyzed. Design techniques that utilize additional interconnect resources to increase the thermal conductivity within a multi-plane system are emphasized.

Beyond the reduction in wirelength that stems from three-dimensional integration, the delay of those interconnects connecting circuits located on different physical planes of a 3-D system (*i.e.* the interplane interconnects) can be further improved by optimally placing the through silicon vertical vias. Considering the highly heterogeneous nature of 3-D ICs that results from the non-uniform impedance characteristics of the interconnect structures, a methodology is described in Chapter 7 to minimize the delay of the interplane interconnects. An interconnect line that includes only one through silicon via is initially investigated. The location of the through silicon via that minimizes the delay of a line is analytically determined. The degradation in delay due to the non-optimum placement of the 3-D vias is also discussed. In order to incorporate the presence of physical obstacles, such as logic cells and pre-routed interconnects (for example, segments of the power and clock distribution networks), the discussion in this chapter proceeds with interconnects that include more than one through silicon via. An accurate heuristic is described to implement an

efficient algorithm for placing the through silicon vias to minimize the overall delay of a multiplane interconnect.

By extending the heuristic for two-terminal interconnects, a near-optimal heuristic for multiterminal nets in 3-D ICs is described in Chapter 8. Necessary conditions for locating the through silicon vias are described. An algorithm that exhibits low computational time is also presented. The improvement in delay that can be achieved by placing the through silicon vias for different via placement scenarios is investigated. For the special case where the delay of only one branch of a multi-terminal net is minimized, a simpler optimization procedure is described. Based on this approach, a second algorithm is presented. Finally, the sensitivity of this methodology to the interconnect impedance characteristics is demonstrated, depicting a significant dependence of the delay on the interconnect parameters.

Another promising design paradigm to appease the foreseen interconnect problems is networks-on-chip (NoC), where information is communicated among circuits within packets in an internet-like fashion. The synergy between these two design paradigms, namely NoC and 3-D ICs, can be exploited to significantly improve the performance and decrease the power consumption of future communication limited systems. Thus, the ninth chapter is dedicated to topologies that merge vertical integration with on-chip interconnection networks.

As noted earlier, the distribution of the clock signal in a 3-D IC is an important and difficult task. In Chapter 10, a variety of clock networks, such as H-trees, rings, tree-like networks, and trunk based networks, are explored in terms of clock skew and power consumption to determine an effective clock distribution network for 3-D ICs. A prototype test circuit composed of these networks has been designed and manufactured with the 3-D fabrication process developed at MIT Lincoln Laboratories. A description of the design process and related experimental results are also included in the chapter.

Research on the design of 3-D ICs has only recently begun to emerge. Many of the challenges remain unsolved and significant effort is required to provide effective solutions to the issues encountered in the design of 3-D ICs. The primary conclusions of this dissertation are summarized in Chapter 11. Further directions for research that will contribute to the maturation of this exciting solution to next generation multi-functional systems-on-chip are presented in Chapter 12.

Chapter 2. Manufacturing of 3-D Packaged Systems

With the ongoing demand for greater functionality resulting in polylithic (multiple IC) products, longer off-chip interconnects plague the performance of microelectronic systems. The advent of the system-on-chip (SoC) in the mid-90's primarily addressed the increasing delay of the off-chip interconnects. By integrating all of the components on a monolithic substrate, the overall speed of the system is enhanced while the power consumption is decreased. To assimilate disparate technologies, however, several difficulties must be surmounted to achieve high yield for the entire system while mitigating the greater noise coupling among the dissimilar blocks within the system. Additional system requirements for the RF circuitry, passive elements, and discrete components, such as decoupling capacitors, which are not easily integrated due to performance degradation or size limitations, have escalated the need for technological innovations. Threedimensional or vertical integration and system-on-package (SoP) have been proposed to overcome many of the inherent SoC constraints. The concepts of vertical integration and SoP are described in Sections 2.1 and 2.2, respectively, and the commonality between these innovative paradigms is also discussed. Several three-dimensional technologies for 3-D packaging are reviewed in Section 2.3. The technological implications of 3-D integration at the package level are summarized in Section 2.5.

2.1. Three-Dimensional Integration

One of the first initiatives demonstrating three-dimensional circuits was reported in 1981 [34]. This work involved the vertical integration of PMOS and NMOS devices with a single gate to create an inverter, considerably reducing the total area and capacitance of the inverter. A crosssection of this inverter is illustrated in Fig. 2-1. Several other approaches to three-dimensional integration, however, have been developed both at the package and circuit level where bare or packaged die are vertically integrated, permitting a broad variety of interconnection strategies. Each of these vertical interconnection techniques has different advantages and disadvantages. Other more esoteric technologies for 3-D circuits have also been proposed. To avoid confusion, therefore, a crucial differentiation among the various 3-D integration approaches is maintained in this chapter. Two primary categories of 3-D systems are discerned, namely system-in-package (SiP) and three-dimensional integrated circuits (3-D IC). The criterion to distinguish between SiP and 3-D IC is the interconnection technology that provides communication for circuits located on different planes of a 3-D system. In SiP, through silicon vias (TSV) with high aspect ratios are typically utilized. Due to the size of these vias, a high vertical interconnect density cannot be achieved. Hence, these interconnects provide coarse grain connectivity among circuit blocks located on different planes. Alternatively, in 3-D ICs, fine grain interconnection among devices on different planes is achieved by narrow and short through silicon vias.



Fig. 2-1 Three-dimensional stacked inverter [34].

2.1.1. System-in-Package

A system-in-package is described henceforth as an assemblage of either bare or packaged die along the third dimension, where the interconnections through the *z*-axis are primarily implemented through the following means:

- Wire bonding
- Vertical interconnects along the periphery of the die/package
- Long and wide, low density vertical interconnects (in an array arranged across the die/package)
- Metallization between the faces of a 3-D stack

Die or package bonding can be implemented by utilizing a diverse collection of materials, such as epoxy and other polymers. Some examples of SiP structures are illustrated in Fig. 2-2, while each one of these manufacturing techniques is discussed in Section 2.3.



Fig. 2-2 Examples of SiP, (a) wire bonded SiP [42], (b) solder balls at the perimeter of the planes [43], (c) area array vertical interconnects, and (d) interconnects on the faces of the SiP [44].

2.1.2. Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits

3-D ICs can be conceptualized either as a sequential or a parallel process. In the case of a sequential process, the devices and metal layers of the upper planes of the stack are grown on top of the first plane. Hence, the 3-D system can be treated as a monolithic structure. Alternatively, a 3-D IC can be created by bonding multiple wafers or bare die. The distinctive difference between an SiP and a 3-D IC is the vertical interconnect structure. Communication among different die within a 3-D IC is implemented by

- High density short and thin through silicon vias (TSV) (placed at any point across the die not occupied by transistors)
- Capacitive coupling among parallel metal plates located on vertically adjacent die
- Inductive coupling among inductors located on vertically adjacent die

Some characteristic examples of these communication mechanisms for 3-D ICs are illustrated in Fig. 2-3. Although 3-D ICs can be characterized as a subset of SiP, the advantages of 3-D vertical interconnects as compared to the interconnect mechanisms utilized in SiP are substantially greater. In addition, 3-D ICs face fewer performance limitations as compared with the SiP approach, unleashing the potential of three-dimensional integration. Various technologies for 3-D ICs are investigated in Chapter 3.



Fig. 2-3 Various communication schemes for 3-D ICs [45], (a) short through silicon vias, (b) inductive coupling [36], and (c) capacitive coupling [46].

2.2. System-on-Package

As portability has become a fundamental characteristic in many consumer products, systems with a small footprint, low weight, long battery life, and equipped with a variety of features typically attract a significant market share. Communications is the most remarkable feature of these products. Wireless communication, in turn, requires RF circuitry that includes passive components, such as resistors and high Q inductors that cannot be easily and cost effectively fabricated on the silicon. In addition, off-chip capacitors of different sizes are required to manage the voltage droop and simultaneous switching noise at the package level [47].

Implementing all of these components within a package and not as discrete components on a printed wiring board (PWB) contributes significantly to system miniaturization. System-on-package (SoP) is a system level concept that includes passive components manufactured as thin

films. High performance transceivers and optical interconnects can also be embedded within a package [48]. State-of-the-art microvia provide communication among the embedded circuits and the mounted sub-systems [49]. Microvias are vertical interconnects that connect the various components of an SoP through the laminated layers of the SoP substrate. Consequently, SoP supports wiring among the various sub-systems as in an SiP, and can also contain system components integrated in the package.

A wide spectrum of sub-systems that gather, process, and transmit data can be included in an SoP. Sensors and electromechanical devices that record environmental variables, analog circuits that process and convert the analog signals to digital data, digital circuits, such as ASICs, DSP blocks, and microprocessors, memory modules, and RF circuits that provide wireless communication, is a short and not exhaustive list of components that can be mounted on an SoP. Some of these sub-systems can be vertically integrated, making an SoP a hybrid technology that combines planar ICs and 3-D circuits, as illustrated in Fig. 2-4a. The set of functions that can be implemented by an SoP, SiP, 3-D IC, and SoC are qualitatively represented in Fig. 2-4b. As expected, since an SoP is a system integrating technology, an SoP can simultaneously include an SiP, 3-D IC, and SoC, providing significant functionality. An SiP is typically used in those applications that include memory modules and microprocessors or ASICs. 3-D ICs are currently more limited than an SiP (shown as a subset of an SiP in Fig. 2-4b). Finally, SoCs can be manifested both as a planar system in an SoP and a three-dimensional component as an SiP or 3-D IC.

Several manufacturing and design challenges, as illustrated in Fig. 2-5, need to be circumvented to ensure that the various forms of three-dimensional integration can be economically produced. From a technology perspective, a 3-D system should exhibit yield similar to a 2-D circuit. Since each plane within a stack can be separately prepared with a high yield

process, the primary challenge is to guarantee a high yield bonding process. The bonding process should ensure reliable interconnectivity of circuits located on different planes. In addition, the fabrication process of the vertical vias is a critical component contributing to the total system yield. Finally, advanced packaging technologies provide highly efficient heat transfer from the 3-D system to the ambient environment.



Fig. 2-4 (a) A typical SoP [48] and (b) relation of SoP, SiP, 3-D IC, and SoC.

Overcoming these manufacturing challenges results in improved SiP systems where reliable interconnect mechanisms that are more efficient than wire-bonding can be employed, improving the performance of this form of three-dimensional integration. The potential of vertical integration, however, can be best exploited if various design challenges, as shown in Fig. 2-5, are also met, resulting in general purpose 3-D circuits. Design methodologies for 3-D circuits, however, barely exist. In addition, thermal management techniques for these high density circuits are extremely important. The development of specific CAD tools integrated into a design flow that can support the complexity of three dimensions is therefore an imperative need.

Mitigating these manufacturing and design issues will greatly promote the evolution of 3-D ICs, considerably expanding the application pool for this important manifestation of vertical integration. These technological developments will establish 3-D ICs as the primary representative of three-dimensional integration. Otherwise, vertical integration will primarily

become an interconnection architecture (as in most SiPs) rather than an innovative design paradigm. An example of the predicted evolution of 3-D IC is illustrated in Fig. 2-6.



Fig. 2-5 Manufacturing and design challenges for three-dimensional integration.



Fig. 2-6 System miniaturization through the integration of sophisticated 3-D ICs [48].

The development of a reliable package substrate that enables the embedding of both passive and active components is a challenging task. Minimum warpage and a low coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) are basic requirements for package substrates in an SoP. Materials with a low CTE in the range of 8 to 12 ppm/°C have been developed [49]. In order to enhance the on-chip global interconnect, which are responsible for the longest on-chip delays, with low loss off-chip wiring in the package, microstrip lines are fabricated with a 50 Ω impedance. Consequently, refined conductor geometries and dielectrics with low losses are necessary [49], [50].

Dielectric materials play an important role in an SoP to provide both high performance and reliability. Dielectrics with high permittivity increase the amount of charge stored within a capacitor, while a low permittivity is required for those dielectrics that provide electrical isolation

among neighboring interconnects, thereby reducing the coupling capacitance. A metric characterizing the loss of a dielectric material is the loss tangent ($tan \delta$). This metric describes the ratio of the energy dissipated by the capacitor formed between the conductors and the energy stored in the capacitor. Low tangent loss for achieving low latency signal transmission and high modulus for minimum warpage across the package is desirable. In addition, dielectrics should exhibit excellent adhesion properties to avoid delamination and withstand, without any degradation in material properties, the highest temperature used to manufacture the package. Some of the dielectric materials that have been developed for SoP with the relevant material properties are listed in Table 2-1.

TABLE 2-1HIGH PERFORMANCE DIELECTRIC MATERIALS [49].

Dielectric material	Dielectric constant	Loss tangent @ 1GHz	Modulus GPa	X,Y, CTE ppm/°C
Polyimide	2.9-3.5	0.002	9.8	3-20
BCB	2.9	< 0.001	2.9	45-52
LCP	2.8	0.002	2.25	17
PPE	2.9	0.005	3.4	16
Poly-norbornene	2.6	0.001	0.5-1	83
Ероху	3.5-4.0	0.02-0.03	1-5	40-70

2.3. Technologies for System-in-Package

A system-in-package constitutes a significant and widely commercialized variant of vertical integration. The driving force towards SiP is the increase in packaging efficiency, which is characterized by the die to package area ratio, the reduced package footprint, and the decreased weight. Homogeneous systems consisting of multiple memory dies and heterogeneous stacks including combinations of memory modules and an ASIC or a microprocessor are the most common type of SiP, which has been commercialized by several companies [44], [51]. The typical communication mechanism among different planes of the stack is realized through wire bonding, as discussed in the following subsection.

2.3.1. Wire Bonded System-in-Package

Wire bonding for a system-in-package is a commonplace technique. Due to the simplicity of the process, the required time to fabricate such an SiP is substantially shorter as compared to the turnaround time for an SiP that utilizes other interconnect techniques. Various wire bonded SiP structures are depicted in Fig. 2-7. The parameters that determine the packaging efficiency of these structures are the thickness of the bonded die and spacers, thickness of the adhesive materials, capability of providing multiple rows of wire bonding, and the size of the bumps used to mount the bottom die of the stack onto the package substrate. Alternatively, the performance of the stack is determined from the length of the bonding wires and the related parasitic impedances. Communication among the die of the SiP is primarily achieved through the package substrate and, as shown in Fig. 2-7c, through wire bonding from one IC substrate to another IC substrate.



Fig. 2-7 Wire bonded SiP, (a) dissimilar dies with multiple row bonding, (b) wire bonded stack delimited by spacer, (c) SiP with die-to-die and die-to-package wire bonding, and (d) top view of wire bonded SiP [42].

The manufacturing process for the structures shown in Fig. 2-7 comprises the following key steps. All of the stacked dies or wafers are thinned with grinding and polished to a thickness of 50 μ m to 75 μ m [42]. Although aggressive wafer thinning is desirable, the die or wafer must be of sufficient thickness to avoid curling and cracking during the bonding process. The length of the bonding wires or, equivalently, the loop overhang also depends upon the thickness of the attached wafers. In Table 2-2, the overhang requirement as a function of the die thickness is reported.

TABLE 2-2LOOP OVERHANG REQUIREMENTS VS. DIE THICKNESS [42].

Die thickness [µm]	Overhang [mm]
100	2.0
75	1.0
63	0.7

The bottom die of the stack is bumped and mounted in a flip chip manner onto the package substrate. The remaining die are successively adhered to the stack through thin film epoxies. In certain cases, spacers between subsequent die are required. These spacers provide the necessary clearance for the bonding wire loop. Epoxy pastes and other thick film materials are utilized with a thickness ranging from 100 μ m to 250 μ m [42]. A uniform gap between the attached die is required across the area of the spacer and, therefore, spheres of spacer material can be utilized for this purpose. With these spacers, similar die or dies with quite diverse areas can be stacked on an SiP.

A wire bonded SiP including up to four or five dies have been demonstrated [42], while two die stacking is quite common [52]. Increasing the number of die on an SiP is hampered by the parasitic impedance of the bonding wires and the available interconnect resources. For example, bonding wires can exhibit an inductance of a few nH. Consequently, a low loop profile is required to control the impedance characteristics of the wire. Additionally, multiple rows for bonding can be utilized to increase the interconnect bandwidth. For multiple row wiring, low height bonding wires are also necessary. The wire pitch for three bonding rows is listed in Table 2-3. Although

the off-chip interconnects are decreased with wire bonding, limitations due to parasitic interconnect impedances and constraints on the number of die that can be wire bonded have led to other SiP approaches that provide greater packaging efficiency while exhibiting higher performance. These improved characteristics are enabled by replacing the bonding wires with shorter through-hole vias or solder balls [52]. This SiP technique is discussed in the following subsection.

TABLE 2-3Required bonding wire pitch for multiple row bonding [42].

Row no.	Wirebond pitch [µm]				
1	40				
2	25/50				
3	20/60				

2.3.2. Peripheral Vertical Interconnects

To overcome the constraints of wire bonding, SiPs are implemented with peripheral interconnects formed with solder balls and through-hole vias. Several approaches based on this type of interconnect have been developed, some of which are illustrated in Fig. 2-8 [44]. A greater number of die can be integrated with this approach as the constraints due to the wire parasitic impedance and the length are considerably relaxed. An interconnect structure utilized to provide a reduced pitch connection between the die and the package, known as an interposer, is included in each plane of an SiP, as shown in Fig. 2-8. The interposer also provides the wiring between the die and the solder balls or through-hole vias at the periphery of the system. Several companies have developed similar SiP technologies. Micron Corporation uses the method shown in Fig. 2-8b to produce high density SRAM and DRAM memory chips [53]. In another technique developed by Hitachi, vertical pillars are attached onto the PCB, called "PCB frames," to accommodate the vertical interconnects [54]. The I/Os of the IC are transferred through tape adhesive bonding (TAB) to the PCB frames, as illustrated in Fig. 2-8c. High density memory systems also use this method.

The basic steps of a typical process for SiP with peripheral interconnects are depicted in Fig. 2-9 [43]. Initially, bumps are deposited on the interposers, while solder-plated polymer spheres are attached on the periphery of the interposers and provide the communication among the dies of the stack. Next, the IC is attached to the interposer employing a flip chip technology. Vertical stacking of the interposers through the solder balls follows. The solder balls constitute an important element of the stacking process as these joints provide both the electrical connections as well as the mechanical support for the SiP. In addition, the height of the solder ball core provides the necessary space between successive interposers where the die is embedded. Solder balls with a height greater than 200 µm can therefore be utilized. If the solder balls collapse, the reliability of an SiP can be severely affected. Finally, in order to further reinforce the durability of this SiP structure, an epoxy underfill between adjacent interposers is applied. A similar technique has been developed by IMEC where the 3-D stack is achieved by direct soldering two contiguous solder balls ("ball on ball" bonding) [55].



Fig. 2-8 SiP with peripheral connections, (a) solder balls [43], (b) through-hole via and spacers [53], and (c) through-hole via in a PCB frame structure [54].

Further enhancements of this bonding technique results in a decreased diameter of the vertical interconnects by utilizing through-hole electrodes and bumps with a diameter and depth ranging from 20 μ m to 30 μ m and 30 μ m to 50 μ m, respectively [56]. The through-hole electrodes are etched and gold (Au) bumps are grown on the front and back side of the interposers to bond the IC. An important characteristic of this method is that only low temperatures are used to compress the stack. In addition, the thickness of the interposers is smaller than 50 μ m, permitting greater packaging efficiency. The expected height of a ten plane SiP implemented with this method is approximately 1 mm, a significant reduction in height over wire bonding techniques.



Fig. 2-9 Basic manufacturing phases of an SiP, (a) interposer bumping and solder ball deposition, (b) die attachment, (c) plane stacking, and (d) epoxy underfill for enhanced reliability.

Although through-hole vias yield higher packaging efficiency and mitigate certain performance issues related to wire bonding, a higher density vertical interconnect is required as interconnect demands increase. Indeed, with peripheral interconnects, the wire pitch decreases linearly with the number of interconnects. Alternatively, providing interconnects arranged as an array across the die of an SiP greatly increases wiring resources, while the interconnect pitch decreases with the square root of the number of interconnects [52].

2.3.3. Area Array Vertical Interconnects

An SiP with peripheral interconnects are inherently limited by the interconnect density and the number of components that can be integrated within a stack. Area array vertical interconnects improve the interconnect density among the planes of a 3-D system, offering opportunities for greater integration. Solder bumps or through-hole vias can be utilized for the area array interconnects; however, the processing steps for these two types of interconnects differ considerably.

In a manufacturing process developed by IBM and Irvine Sensors, the memory chips are bonded together to produce a 3-D silicon cube [57], [58]. The wafers are processed in order to interconnect the I/O pads of the die to the bumps on the face of the cube. This metallurgical step is followed by wafer thinning, dicing, and die lamination to create the silicon cube. The stacking process is achieved through controlled thermocompression. An array of controlled collapse chip connect (C4) bumps provides communication among the planes of the cube. The interconnect density achieved with this technology is 1400 C4 bumps, consuming an area of 260 mm². Note that with this technique, all of the planes within the cube share a common C4 bump array.

A higher contact density has recently been developed by 3D-PLUS and THALES [59]. A heterogeneous wafer is formed by attaching the individual ICs onto an adhesive tape that provides mechanical support. The I/O pads from each IC are redistributed with two different techniques into coarser pads whose size depends upon the alignment accuracy prior to stacking the ICs. The first technique for pad redistribution utilizes two layers of copper embedded in benzocyclobutene (BCB). The average measured contact resistance is ~ 2 Ω . Another technique for developing the vertical interconnects between the planes includes laminated films (as a dielectric) and copper. Despite the large number of steps, test vehicles have shown no signs of delamination among the adhered planes within the SiP.

Prior to bonding, the wafer thickness is reduced to 150 µm with grinding and mechanical polishing. Once the target thickness is achieved, the wafer is diced and tested for IC failures or open contacts during connection of the die I/Os with the coarser pads of the package. Only known good die (KGD) are therefore bonded, guaranteeing high yield. The 3-D system is connected through liquid adhesive and compression. The resulted SiP can contain up to six ICs with a height less than a millimeter.

In these two techniques, each plane of the stack is designed and fabricated without any constraints imposed by the assembly process of the SiP, as the vertical interconnects are implemented on one face of the plane and not through the plane. Alternatively, the vertical interconnects are implemented with copper bumps and electrodes formed through the stacked circuits within the SiP [60]. The stacked die are aggressively thinned to a thickness of 50 μ m and copper (Cu) electrodes with a 20 μ m pitch are formed. Square Cu bumps with a sidelength of 12 μ m and a height of 5 μ m are electroplated and covered with a thin layer of tin (Sn) (< 1 μ m) for improved bonding. The bonding is further enhanced by a non-conductive particle paste (NCP), which is also used to encapsulate the Cu bumps.

Two bonding scenarios with different temperature profiles have been applied to stack four 50 μ m thick dies on a 500 μ m interposer [60]. In the first case, a bonding force is applied at high temperature (245 °C), while in the second scenario, the temperature is reduced to 150 °C accompanied by a thermal annealing step at 300 °C. Both of these scenarios demonstrate good adhesion among the die, while the backside warpage is less than 3 μ m for a square die with a side length of 10 mm.

With array structured interconnects, the throughput among the die of the stack can be improved over an SiP with peripheral interconnect and bonding wires. The solder ball or bump size, however, constitutes an obstacle for vertically integrated systems with ultra high interconnect density. Another concern is the re-wiring that is necessary in some of these techniques, which prevents achieving the maximum possible reduction in the length of the interplane interconnects.

2.3.4. Metallizing the Walls of an SiP

Another SiP approach entails several ICs mounted on thin substrates with wiring or stacked PCBs to yield a 3-D system. Interconnects among the circuits on different dies are implemented through metal traces on the individual faces of the cube. The interconnect density achieved with this technique is similar to that of a wire bonded SiP; however, a higher number of monolithic structures can be integrated within the cube.

One application of this type of SiP is a high density SRAM memory stack manufactured with a process invented at Irvine Sensors [61]. In this process, each module is separately tested before the stacking step. In this way, the KGD problem is significantly appeased as the faulty parts can be excluded or reworked in the initial steps. Fully operational ICs are selected and glued with a thin layer of adhesive material between each pair of ICs. The bonded stack undergoes a baking step with a specific curing profile. In order to reveal the buried metal leads from each memory module, the stack is plasma etched. If required, more than one face of the cube can be etched. The dimensions of the leads are 1 µm thick and 125 µm wide. The etching step exposes not only the metal leads but also the substrate of the stacked memory planes. Passivation is required to isolate the substrates from the metal traces created on the sidewall of the stack. Several layers of polyimide are deposited, where the thickness of each layer is greater than the exposed metal leads. Lift-off photolithography and sputter deposition are utilized to create the interconnects, including the busses, and the pads on the face of the cube with a titanium-tungsten/gold (Ti-W/Au) alloy. The pads on the sidewall of the cube connected to the metal leads of each IC form a T-shape interconnect, which is referred to as a "T-connection." The resistance of a T-connection

has been measured to be approximately 25 m Ω [61]. Finally, a soldering step is used to attach the stack onto a silicon substrate or PCB, permitting the stack to be connected to external circuitry.

Prototypes with as many as seven stacked ICs demonstrate a considerably higher integration as compared with a wire bonded SiP. These prototypes are diced from an initial stack consisting of 70 identical ICs. Producing shorter stacks from a taller cube contributes to a reduction in the overall fabrication time. In addition to memory products, an image capturing and processing system fabricated with a similar technique has been introduced [62], [63]. The entire fabrication process consists of seven basic steps. Each IC is attached onto laminated films or, if necessary, a PCB along with discrete passive components. The substrate contains metal tracks, such as copper lines plated with gold, and the I/Os of the IC are wire bonded to these tracks. Each mounted IC is tested before bonding. This testing step improves the total yield as bonding the KGD is guaranteed. Testing includes validation of the interconnections connecting the IC to the laminated film or PCB. The test structures are placed in a plastic mold and encapsulated with an epoxy resin. After removal of the mold, a sawing step is applied to expose the metal tracks of each PCB within the stack. Alternatively, a deeper sawing can be applied to reveal the bonding wires rather than the metal tracks of the PCB, as shown in Fig. 2-10. The cubical structure is plated with conventional electroplating techniques. The plated surfaces of the cube are patterned by a laser to produce the interconnects connecting the planes of the SiP. The typical dimensions for these sidewall interconnects are six to seven micrometers thick and 500 μ m wide. In the last step, the SiP can be soldered to a PCB on one face of the cube.



Fig. 2-10 Cross-section of the SiP after removing the mold, (a) the SiP encapsulated in epoxy resin, (b) sawing to expose the metal traces, and (c) sawing to expose the bonding wires [63].

Although the methods used in each step of this technique are well known and relatively low cost, there are several design and efficiency concerns regarding the reliability of the surface contacts. These issues include the reliability of the internal contacts of each IC to the external interconnections on the cube faces, and the thermo-mechanical performance of a dense SiP. Finally, a particularly long interconnect path may be required to connect two circuits from different planes within an SiP. Consequently, utilizing thin and short vertical interconnects for communication among the planes within a 3-D system can result in higher performance. Different 3-D technologies result in dissimilar performance enhancements for an integrated system, while imposing a specific cost overhead due to the complexity of the fabrication process. A comparison of the manufacturing cost of the 3-D technologies, discussed in this chapter, is provided in the following section.

2.4. Cost Issues for 3-D Integrated Systems

Both circuit and packaging performance is considerably enhanced by the third dimension. A significant increase in speed and decrease in power consumption are the primary improvements in circuit performance. Additionally, the packaging density is greatly improved by efficiently

exploiting the unused volume within the package. Alternatively, the fabrication cost of these technologies should be considered when selecting the target technology to satisfy the performance goals of an integrated system.

Two primary components of the manufacturing cost of an integrated circuit are the silicon area and the number of lithography steps required to fabricate a circuit. In 3-D systems, the footprint of the system is reduced. Multi-plane structures, however, complicate the manufacturing process, primarily due to the need to bond the individual planes. The efficiency of the bonding process therefore directly affects the overall yield and varies according to the 3-D technology and the type of inter-plane communication, for example, wire bonds or through silicon vias. An analytic model that characterizes the cost of a 3-D system consisting of n planes is described by [64]

$$C = \frac{1}{Y_{W}^{n}Y_{B}^{n-1}} \frac{A_{design}}{A_{wafer}} [nM_{W} + (n-1)M_{B}], \qquad (2-1)$$

where Y_W and Y_B are the yield of the process to fabricate each wafer and the yield of each bonding phase, respectively. Assuming wafer scale integration, A_{wafer} is the wafer area and A_{design} is the maximum footprint of the bonded planes. M_W and M_B are, respectively, the number of steps to fabricate each plane/wafer and the steps of the bonding process.

From this model, 3-D technologies decrease the cost of a system by reducing the physical footprint of the circuit. This improvement however is counterbalanced by the exponential decrease in the overall yield of the manufacturing process due to the multiple bonding and lithography steps. This situation suggests that the complexity of the 3-D manufacturing process can result in significantly higher cost for multi-plane multi-functional systems as compared to a standard 2-D CMOS process typically used in systems-on-chip. A comparison of a multi-window display application and a graphics processor based on this model demonstrates that 3-D technologies produce a moderate increase of 49% and 43%, respectively, in the manufacturing cost [64]. The significant decrease in wirelength and, consequently, interconnect resources and

the increase in the performance, however, justify the choice of a 3-D technology for high performance applications.

The model of (2-1) is biased towards 2-D systems-on-chip since the manufacturing implications of combining different types of circuits, such as memory and logic, onto a single die such as in an SoC are not considered. These yield limitations are removed in 3-D technologies, since each type of circuit can be placed on an individual plane and fabricated with the appropriate high yield process. More accurate analytic models that consider these issues suggest that 3-D technologies can offer economical fabrication of multi-plane systems for high performance systems [65]. A theoretical comparison of a wireless sensor node and a third generation mobile terminal implemented with different 3-D technologies is listed in Table 2-4. As listed in this table, when multiple aspects of a system, such as cost, performance, and temperature, are considered, 3-D integration can outperform planar systems-on-chip. 3-D integration is therefore an excellent candidate for high performance and heterogeneous systems. Furthermore, wafer scale integration of 3-D circuits with high density vertical interconnects exhibits considerably higher performance as compared to a 3-D system-in-package. This attractive 3-D technology is discussed in the following chapter.

Parameter	Wireless sensor node				3G mobile terminal					
	SoC	2-D SoP	3-D SiP	3-D wafer to wafer	3-D die to wafer	SoC	2-D SoP	3-D SiP	3-D wafer to wafer	3-D die to wafer
Normalized area	1.00	3.92	0.78	0.71	0.71	1.00	1.94	0.75	0.71	0.71
Overall yield	0.95	0.98	0.98	0.92	0.94	0.56	0.98	0.98	0.71	0.94
Normalized cost	1.00	4.11	4.04	1.14	2.96	1.00	0.40	0.40	0.38	0.33
Delay [ps]	127.37	176.36	148.33	83.9	83.9	317.88	205.37	168.34	259.63	259.63
$\Delta T (^{\circ}C)$	39.16	12.39	52.8	312.74	312.74	26.38	14.67	36.9	73.96	73.96

TABLE 2-4COST PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF 3-D TECHNOLOGIES [65].

2.5. Summary

The technological progress and related issues regarding three-dimensional integration at the package level can be summarized as follows:

- Three-dimensional packaging includes bare or packaged die vertically integrated using a variety of interconnection schemes.
- A system-in-package (SiP) and three-dimensional integrated circuits (3-D ICs) are currently the primary methods used for 3-D systems. A major difference between these approaches is the type of vertical interconnects used to connect the circuits located on different planes.
- A system-in-package is an assemblage of either bare or packaged die connected along the third dimension, where the interconnections through the *z*-axis are primarily implemented by wire bonding, metallization on the faces of the 3-D stack, vertical interconnects along the periphery of the die/package, and low density vertical interconnects arranged as an array across the die/package.
- These different SiP interconnect structures are sorted in descending order of vertical interconnect density.
- 3-D ICs are interconnected with high density short and thin TSVs, supporting low level integration rather than die or package level integration as in an SiP.
- An SoP is a hybrid technology that combines planar ICs and 3-D circuits within a compact system. The primary difference as compared to an SiP is that an SoP supports more than wiring, containing system components within the package.
- An SiP has higher packaging efficiency and a smaller footprint and weight as compared to a conventional 2-D system.
- Wire bonded SiP are limited by the impedance of the bonding wires.

- An SiP with peripheral interconnects are implemented by solder balls and through-hole vias. SiP are inherently limited by the interconnect density as the wire pitch decreases linearly with the number of interconnects.
- An SiP with array structured interconnects can improve the interconnect density as compared to an SiP with peripheral interconnects and bonding wires as the interconnect pitch decreases with the square root of the I/O (or pin) requirements.
- 3-D technologies increase the manufacturing cost of an integrated system. This additional manufacturing cost, however, is counterbalanced by the decrease in the area, increase in the die yield, and increase in the performance of the overall system.

Chapter 3. 3-D Integrated Circuit Fabrication Technologies

A system-in-package (SiP) offers a large number of advantages over traditional 2-D SoC, such as shorter off-chip interconnect lengths, increased packaging efficiency, and higher density. These advantages provide significant performance improvements over SoC. Manufacturing issues, however, limit the scaling of the interchip interconnects, such as the wire bonds and solder balls, within an SiP. Additionally, the inevitable increase in the delay of on-chip interconnects is not alleviated by SiP interconnect technologies. Although, an SiP employing coarse grain through silicon vias can improve this delay, the lower density and impedance characteristics of these vertical interconnects limit the interplane interconnect bandwidth. The full potential of vertical integration is therefore not fully exploited. Consider, for instance, the various SiPs depicted in Fig. 3-1, and assume that blocks A and B, located on different dies, are connected. The arrows represent typical interconnect paths connecting blocks A and B for each type of SiP shown in Figs. 3-1a and 3-1b. A 3-D IC, shown in Fig. 3-1c, provides the shortest interconnection between blocks A and B by utilizing through silicon vias. Consequently, 3-D ICs can achieve the greatest improvement in speed and power by decreasing the length of the long global interconnects as compared to other vertical integration technologies.


Fig. 3-1 Typical interconnects paths for (a) wire bonded SiP, (b) SiP with solder balls, and (c) 3-D IC with through silicon vias (TSVs).

3-D ICs can be fabricated in either a batch (sequential) or parallel process. In the former case, the devices on the upper planes of a 3-D stack are grown on top of the first plane, resulting in a purely monolithic system. Fabrication processes based on this type of 3-D circuit structure are discussed in Section 3.1. Alternatively, some ICs are prepared separately before the bonding process and bonded to form a 3-D system. Such a 3-D IC is a polylithic structure and related manufacturing processes are described in Section 3.2. Other techniques that provide contactless interplane communication have also been developed. Technologies for contactless 3-D ICs are reviewed in Section 3.3. One of the most important characteristics of polylithic 3-D ICs is the through silicon via, which provide electrical connection among the circuits on different planes, *i.e.* the interplane interconnects. Due to the significance of these vertical interconnects, Section 3.4 is dedicated to discussing the manufacturing process of the vertical vias and related issues. The technological implications of 3-D integration are summarized in Section 3.5.

3.1. Monolithic 3-D ICs

Monolithic 3-D ICs include two main types of circuits, stacked 3-D ICs and fin-FETs. Stacked 3-D ICs include layers of planar devices successively grown on a conventional CMOS or siliconon-insulator (SOI) plane. Alternatively, fin-FETs employ quasi-planar devices with certain advantages and limitations as compared to planar transistors. These two approaches are discussed in subsections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, respectively.

3.1.1. Stacked 3-D ICs

The first 3-D ICs to be fabricated were stacked bulk CMOS or silicon-on-insulator (SOI) devices with simple logic circuitry which supported transistor level integration. The devices that comprise a logic gate can be located on different layers and, more importantly, implemented with different technologies such as CMOS or SOI. Independent of the technology utilized for the first device layer, these transistors are fabricated with conventional and mature processes. For the devices on the upper planes, however, different fabrication methods are required. Several techniques, based on laser recrystallization or seed crystallization, are used to produce CMOS or SOI devices on the upper planes.

3.1.1.1. Laser Crystallization

After the work described in [66], several techniques using beam recrystallization have been developed to successfully fabricate 3-D ICs. In all of these techniques, the first device layer is fabricated with a traditional CMOS or SOI process. Note that only a device layer is fabricated on the first plane. The interconnect layers are not fabricated at this initial stage. Fabrication of the transistors on the upper planes should satisfy a twofold objective. The devices on the upper planes should exhibit satisfactory transistor electrical characteristics, such as field mobility, threshold voltages, and leakage currents. Alternatively, the characteristics of the transistors on the lower plane should not be degraded by the high temperatures incurred during the manufacturing process.

The first plane of devices is formed with a common MOS process. Depending upon the fabrication process, this plane can include both [67] or only one type of device; either PMOS or NMOS [68]. In the latter case, the complementary type of devices is fabricated exclusively on the upper planes. Alternatively, the upper planes can include only SOI devices [69].

Prior to the development of the upper device layer, an insulating layer of SiO_2 is deposited [67]. In order to protect the devices of the first plane from the elevated temperatures developed during the recrystallization phase, a thick layer with an approximate thickness of one micrometer is deposited on top of the insulating SiO_2 layer. This layer is a standard feature of each recrystallization technique and is composed of various materials, such as polysilicon [67], phosphosilicate glass (PSG) [68], and silicon nitride (Si₃N₄).

To grow the devices on the upper planes, polysilicon islands or thin polysilicon films are crystallized to single grains by an Argon laser [70]. The resulting 3-D IC based on this technique is shown in Fig. 3-2, where the various layers are also indicated. The temperature during the recrystallization phase can be as high as 950 °C [69], while device formation with a lower temperature of approximately 600 °C has been demonstrated [67].



Fig. 3-2 Cross-section of a stacked 3-D IC with a planarized heat shield (PHS) used to avoid degradation of the transistor characteristics on the first plane due to the temperature of the fabrication processes [67].

Since the temperature used in these manufacturing steps approaches or exceeds the melting point of the metals commonly used for interconnects, doped semiconductor materials are used to interconnect the devices located on different planes. These materials can include n^+ doped polysilicon [67] or phosphorous-based polysilicon [69]. Alternatively, the interconnect layers can be fabricated after the upper plane devices are formed, where the contact holes are produced through reactive ion etching (RIE). The interplane interconnects are implemented by sputtering aluminum [68]. A cross-section of a 3-D IC fabricated with aluminum interconnects is shown in Fig. 3-3.



Fig. 3-3 Cross-section of a stacked 3-D IC with a PMOS device on the bottom plane and an NMOS device in recrystallized silicon on the second plane [68].

The major drawbacks of the laser recrystallization technique are the quality of the grown devices on the upper planes and the effect of the high temperatures on the electrical characteristics of the devices on the lowest plane. Comparison of the device characteristics for the two planes show that the threshold voltage is sufficiently controlled, while the mobility of the devices on the upper plane is slightly worse than the transistors on the bottom plane [68]. Since the mobility of the devices on the upper planes is degraded, the devices with lower mobility, namely the PMOS transistors, are fabricated on the lower plane [35]. In addition, simple shift registers [67] and ring oscillators [68] have been shown to operate correctly, demonstrating that the devices on the first plane remain stable despite the high temperature steps utilized to manufacture the devices on the upper plane.

More advanced recrystallization techniques can provide up to three device layers, where the lowest plane is a CMOS plane while the other two planes are composed of SOI devices [69]. Since there are three device planes in this structure, two isolation layers are required to protect the devices on the lower planes. Measurements from sample structures demonstrate that the threshold voltage of the devices is well controlled with low subthreshold currents for the devices on the upper planes [69]. The mobility of these transistors, however, can vary significantly.

Alternatively, E-beam has been used to recrystallize the polysilicon to form the devices on the upper planes [70]. A three-plane prototype 3-D IC performing simple image processing functions has been demonstrated [71]. On the topmost plane, consisting of amorphous-Si (a-Si), the light is captured and converted to a digital signal and stored on the bottom plane composed of the bulk-Si transistors. The intermediate plane which includes the SOI transistors compares the digital data from one pixel to the digital data in adjacent pixels in order to implement an edge detection operation. Measurements show a narrow distribution of the threshold voltage of the devices on the SOI plane with satisfactory *I-V* device characteristics. Although correct operation of the circuitry is confirmed, demonstrating the capabilities of a stacked 3-D IC, a large number of masks, thirty four, is required, including five layers of polysilicon and two layers of aluminum interconnects. Although several recrystallization approaches have been developed, none of these techniques has demonstrated the capability of implementing complex, high performance 3-D circuits, primarily due to the inferior quality of the devices on the upper planes of the 3-D stack.

3.1.1.2. Seed Crystallization

Another technique to fabricate multiple device layers on bulk-Si is crystallizing a-Si into polysilicon grains. Thin film transistors (TFT) are formed on these grains. The seed utilized for recrystallization can be a metal, such as nickel (Ni), or another semiconductor, such as germanium (Ge).

The basic processing steps of this technique are illustrated in Fig. 3-4. A film of amorphous silicon is deposited with low pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD). A second film of low temperature oxide (LTO), SiO₂, is deposited and patterned to form windows at the drain or both drain and source terminals of the devices for Ge or Ni seeding. Consequently, two kinds of TFTs are produced. One type is seeded only at one terminal while the second type is seeded at both terminals. The deposition of the LTO SiO₂, patterning, and the deposition of the seed are additional steps as compared to a conventional process for TFTs. Thermal annealing is necessary to completely crystallize the channel films. Finally, the LTO and seeds are etched, permitting the TFTs to be fabricated with a standard process. A thermal layer of SiO₂ is used as the gate dielectric and boron and phosphorous doping is used for the junctions. The gate electrode is formed by *in situ* doped polysilicon and the interconnections are implemented by modified interconnect and plug technologies from conventional 2-D circuits. The peak temperature of the process is 900 °C.

Certain factors can degrade the quality of the fabricated TFTs, such as the size of the grains and the presence of defects within the channel region. Controlling the size and distribution of the grains contributes significantly to the quality of the manufactured devices. Comparisons among unseeded devices, produced by crystallizing a-Si, single-seeded, and dual-seeded TFTs, have shown that seeded devices exhibit enhanced device characteristics, such as higher field effect mobility and lower leakage currents. The greatest improvement in these characteristics is achieved by dual-seeded TFTs. The improvement in the characteristics of dual-seeded devices as compared to single-seeded transistors diminishes with device size. Thus, for small devices where the grain size of a single-seeded device is approximately the entire channel region, the performance of those TFTs is close to double-seeded TFTs as grain boundaries are unlikely to appear within the channel. Grain sizes over 80 µm have been fabricated [72]. High performance TFTs formed by lateral crystallization on the upper planes exhibit low leakage currents and high mobility as compared to the SOI devices on the first plane, while significantly reducing the total area of the logic gates [72], [73].



Fig. 3-4 Processing steps for laterally crystallized TFT based on Ge-seeding. (a) Deposition of amorphous silicon, (b) creating seeding windows, (c) deposition of seeding materials, (d) producing silicon islands, and (e) processing of TFTs [73].

Selective epitaxial growth (SEG) and epitaxial lateral overgrowth (ELO) have also been combined to fabricate multiple planes of SOI devices [74], [75]. The various steps of these techniques are summarized in Fig. 3-5. The first device plane is formed on a thick layer of SiO₂. The oxide is patterned with photolithography and etching procedures to define the SOI islands (Fig. 3-5a). A thinner SiO₂ layer, acting as the insulator, is deposited (Fig. 3-5b). With photolithography, a window for SEG is opened within the silicon island patterns (Fig. 3-5c). Using SEG and ELO, the patterns are filled by vertical and lateral growth of silicon within the SEG window (Fig. 3-5d). The redundant silicon is etched with chemical mechanical planarization (CMP) (Fig. 3-5e). A second layer of devices is fabricated with the same process, unlike the oxide deposition which is achieved with plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD). The transistors are fabricated on islands using conventional SOI techniques (see Figs. 3-5f to 3-5h). The smaller island has an area of 150 nm \times 150 nm, enabling an extremely high degree of integration on a single die. The photolithography and etching steps limit the dimensions of the SOI islands. Satisfactory device characteristics have been reported with stacking faults only appearing on the first device layer [74].



Fig. 3-5 Processing steps for vertical and lateral growth of 3-D SOI devices [74].

3.1.1.3. Other Fabrication Techniques for Stacked 3-D ICs

Similar to 2-D circuits where standard cell libraries include compact physical layouts of individual logic gates or more complex logic circuits, standard cell libraries for 3-D circuits include "volumetric" logic cells with minimum volume and a smaller load as compared to 2-D cells. Fabrication techniques that use local clusters of devices to form standard 3-D cells have

been developed [76] based on double-gate MOSFETS [77], [78]. The major fabrication stages for a 3-D inverter cell are illustrated in Fig. 3-6, where a decrease of 45% in the total capacitance is achieved. Similar improvements are demonstrated for more complex circuits, such as a 128-bit adder, where a 42% area reduction is observed [76].

An SOI wafer is used as the first plane of the 3-D IC. The top silicon layer is thinned by thermal oxidation and oxide etching. A thin layer of oxide and a layer of nitride are deposited as shown in Fig. 3-6a. The wafer is patterned and shallow trench etching is used to define the active area (Fig. 3-6b). A layer of LTO is deposited to fill the trench, which is planarized with CMP, where the nitride behaves as the stop layer (Fig. 3-6c). The nitride film is removed and LTO is utilized as a dummy gate. The LTO, silicon, and oxide are patterned and etched, followed by depositing a nitride film (Fig. 3-6d). The drain and source regions for the first device layer are created with an As⁺ implantation. As depicted in Fig. 3-6e, a via is opened at the drain side to connect the terminals of the NMOS and PMOS transistors located on the second plane. The contact is implemented as a tunneling ohmic contact. A polysilicon layer is deposited and planarized by CMP utilizing the nitride film as the stop layer. The nitride film is etched to expose the silicon for the channel region (Fig. 3-6f). Boron doping is used to implement the source and drain regions of the PMOS transistor on the top layer (Fig. 3-6g). The active area for the devices is defined by removing the LTO and the buried oxide (Fig. 3-6h). Before forming the gates for the stacked transistors, the gate oxide is grown by thermal oxidation, as shown in Fig. 3-6i. Finally, *in situ* deposition of doped polysilicon forms the gate electrodes. Note that for the gate below the channel region of the PMOS transistor (see Fig. 3-6j), a slow deposition rate is required to fully fill this region.



Fig. 3-6 Basic processing steps for a 3-D inverter utilizing the local clustering approach [76].

3.1.2. **3-D** Fin-FETs

3-D fin-FETs are based on quasi-planar fin-FETs [79] where the devices are stacked on top of each other, thereby sharing the same gate. A schematic of a 3-D fin-FET CMOS inverter is shown in Fig. 3-7 [80], [81]. The second device layer can be either grown on top of the first plane or, alternatively, can be bonded from a second wafer. The most attractive feature of this technology

is the approximately 50% reduction in gate area and routing resources to connect the devices within the gate. The drive current of the devices is not controlled by the width of the devices, W_n and W_p , but rather from the corresponding fin height notated as H_n and H_p for a NMOS and PMOS transistors, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3-7.

The major steps of a 3-D fin-CMOS process are illustrated in Fig. 3-8. A second oxide layer behaves as the buried oxide for the second plane. A silicon film is grown on top of the buried oxide layer. A mask for the gate, which can endure ion etching, is formed and inductively plasma enhanced (ICP) deep reactive ion etching (DRIE) is applied to produce the fin structure, as shown in Fig. 3-8b. The manufactured fins are almost vertical ($89.5^\circ - 90^\circ$) [80]. A polysilicon film is deposited, surrounding the entire fin, and doped to form the gate electrode. Ions of boron and phosphorous in appropriate dosages are implanted to define the source and drain regions of both device layers. In this process, the NMOS transistors are located on the second plane. A portion of the boron ions is therefore present on the top device layer. This percentage of boron however is low, about 10% of the phosphorous concentration, resulting in negligible degradation in the device performance of the NMOS transistors. A critical fabrication factor is the contact between the drain regions of the top and bottom device layers. This connection is achieved with a surface-sidewall contact, slightly increasing the total active area of the devices on the bottom plane.



Fig. 3-7 3-D stacked Fin-CMOS device [80].

Fabricated devices include inverters, NAND gates, and SRAM cells [80], [81], with satisfying characteristics, such as a high subthreshold slope and voltage transfer curves with sufficient noise margins. A disadvantage of this technology is that several additional design rules are required. Furthermore, stacking more than two planes with multiple metal interconnection layers is not straightforward; a common issue in all of the aforementioned techniques for stacked 3-D ICs. In addition, most 3-D manufacturing technologies are sequential and can therefore result in long manufacturing turnaround times. The requirement for high quality devices for each plane of the 3-D system is an important limitation in stacked 3-D ICs. Furthermore, the insulator layers typically used as heat shields for several of these fabrication processes greatly impede the flow of heat during normal circuit operation, resulting in unacceptably high temperatures in the upper planes.

Alternatively, fabricating a 3-D system with vertically bonded ICs or wafers that are individually processed can reduce the total manufacturing time without sacrificing the quality of the devices on the upper planes of the stack. Such techniques are discussed in the following sections.



Fig. 3-8 Processing steps for 3-D stacked fin-CMOS, (a) formation of the gate mask, (b) etching step to form the stacked fin, (c) deposition of oxide and polysilicon layers, (d) ion implantation to form drain and source regions, (e) etching step to generate contact openings, and (f) metallization of the contact openings [80].

3.2. 3-D ICs with Through Silicon or Interplane Vias

Wafer or die level 3-D integration techniques, which utilize through silicon vias, are appealing candidates for 3-D circuits. Interplane vias offer the greatest possible reduction in wirelength with vertical integration. In addition, each plane of a 3-D system can be processed separately, decreasing the overall manufacturing time. As each IC of the 3-D stack is fabricated individually,

the yield for each individual IC can be high. A broad spectrum of fabrication techniques for 3-D ICs has been developed. Although none of these techniques has been standardized, nearly all of these methods share similar fabrication stages, which are illustrated in Fig. 3-9. The order of these stages, however, may not be the same or some stages may not be used.



Fig. 3-9 Typical fabrication steps for a 3-D IC process, (a) wafer preparation, (b) TSV etching, (c) wafer thinning, bumping, and handle wafer attachment, (d) wafer bonding, and (e) handle wafer removal. Initially, CMOS or SOI wafers are separately processed producing the physical planes of the 3-D stack, while a certain amount of active area is reserved for the through silicon vias. The

interplane vias are etched and filled with metal, such as tungsten (W) or copper (Cu), or even low resistance polysilicon. In order to decrease the length of the through silicon vias, the wafers are attached to an auxiliary wafer, usually called a "handle wafer," and thinned to a different thickness depending upon the technique. The alignment and bonding phase follows, as shown in Fig. 3-9d. Finally, the handle wafer is removed from the thinned wafer and the appropriate side of the wafer is processed and attached to another plane, if required. A broad gamut of materials and methods exist for each of these phases, some of which are listed in Table 3-1.

Process from	IC technology	Interplane vias material	Wafer thinning thickness	Alignment accuracy [µm]	Plane bonding material	Bumps	Handle wafer
[82], [83]	SOI	W	500 nm	±3	Cu-Cu pads	Yes	Yes
[45], [84]	SOI	Cu	~10 µm	1-2	polymers	No	No
[85]	SOI/CMOS	W	~10 µm	N/A	Cu/Sn	Yes	Yes
[86]	CMOS	Cu		<2	polymer	No	Yes
[87]	SOI/CMOS	n^+ poly –Si/W	7-35 μm	±1	epoxy adhesive	Yes	Yes
[88]	SOI	Ču	<1	<1	oxide fusion	No	Yes

 TABLE 3-1
 CHARACTERISTICS OF FABRICATION TECHNIQUES FOR 3-D ICs.

Several of the proposed techniques for 3-D ICs support the integration of both CMOS and SOI circuits [85], [87]. From a fabrication point of view, however, SOI greatly facilitates the wafer thinning step as the buried oxide (BOX) serves as a natural etching stop layer. This situation is due to the high selectivity of the etching solutions. Solutions with a Si to SiO₂ selectivity of 300:1 are possible [82]. In addition, SOI technology can yield particularly thin wafers or planes (< 10 μ m), resulting in short interplane vias. Alternatively, SOI circuits inherently suffer from poor thermal properties due to the low thermal conductivity of the oxide [89].

The formation of the through silicon or interplane vias is an important issue in the design of high performance 3-D ICs. This fabrication stage includes the opening of deep trenches through the interlayer dielectric (ILD) and the metal and device layers, passivation of the trench sidewalls from the metal layers and conductive substrate (for CMOS circuits), and filling the opening with

a conductive material to electrically connect the planes of the 3-D system. Due to the importance of this fabrication stage, a more detailed discussion of interplane vias follows in Section 3.4.

Before stacking the plane, the wafers are typically thinned to decrease the overall height of the 3-D system and, therefore, the length of the interplane vias. A reduced wafer thickness, however, cannot sustain the mechanical stresses incurred during the handling and bonding phases of the 3-D process. The handle wafer is therefore attached to the original wafer prior to the thinning step. A handle wafer should possess several properties including the following:

- Mechanical durability to withstand the mechanical stresses incurred during the wafer thinning process, due to grinding and polishing, and during bonding due to compressive and thermal forces.
- Thermal endurance to processing temperatures during wafer bonding and bumping.
- Being chemically inert to the solutions employed for wafer thinning and polishing.
- Permit simple and fast removal of the thinned wafer with appropriate solvents.
- Enhanced wafer alignment; for example, by being transparent to light.

Thinning can proceed with a variety of methods, such as grinding and etching or both, accompanied by polishing. Various combinations can also be applied, such as a silicon wet etch followed by CMP, mechanical grinding with CMP, mechanical grinding succeeded by a spin etch, and dry chemical etching [84].

Accurate alignment of the thinned wafers is also a challenging task. A variety of techniques are utilized to align the wafer, based on the precise registration of the alignment marks. These mechanisms include infrared alignment, through wafer via holes, transparent substrates, wafer backside alignment, and inter-substrate alignment [90]. Typical alignment precisions range from 1 μ m to 5 μ m (see Table 3-1). Submicrometer accuracies have also been reported [88], [90].

Once the wafers are aligned, the bonding step follows. If die-to-wafer or die-to-die bonding is preferred, in order to avoid the integration of faulty dies, each wafer is diced prior to bonding and the dies are successively bonded. An increase in the turnaround time, however, is inevitable with this approach. Alternatively, the wafer can be diced and each die is separately tested. The known good dies (KGD) are placed on supporting wafers. Wafer level bonding can be applied with high yield for each plane within a 3-D system (the overall yield can be affected by later processing steps, *e.g.*, bonding). Face-to-face plane bonding is illustrated in Fig. 3-9d. Alternatively, back-to-face bonding can be applied, resulting in slightly longer vertical interconnects between the planes. In addition, face-to-face manner. Back-to-back bonding is also possible, resulting, however, in longer TSVs.

Bonding can be achieved with adhesive materials, metal-to-metal bonds, oxide fusion, and eutectic alloys deposited among the planes of the 3-D system [83]. Epoxies and polymers possess good adhesive properties and are widely used. Some of these polymers are listed in Table 3-2. Metal-to-metal bonding requires the growth of square bumps on both candidate planes for stacking and can consist of, for example, Cu, Cu/Ta, In/Au, and Cu/Sn. A portion of these bumps is deposited on the edge of the interplane vias, providing enhanced electrical connection between the planes. An epoxy filler can also be used to reinforce the bonding failure due to differences in the height of the metal bumps. To avoid such failures, pyramid or conic shaped bumps have been proposed [91]. The compressive bonding force causes the bumps to spread, excluding the resin from the bond region, as illustrated in Fig. 3-10. Eutectic solders are also used for plane bonding where tin is plated on the plane surface followed by heating and compression [85]. Additionally, the temperature profile depends upon the material used for the interface and typically ranges from

200 °C to 400 °C to not degrade the copper inteconnections. Other highly refractory metals, such as tungsten or doped polysilicon, can be used if higher temperatures are necessary, increasing however the resistance of the interplane vias.

TABLE 3-2SEVERAL MATERIALS USED FOR WAFER BONDING.



Depending upon the bonding mechanism and material, certain requirements should be satisfied to avoid delamination and cracking of the planes:

- Small mismatch between the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) of the bonding material and the planes
- Mechanical endurance in the later processing steps
- Minimum wafer warpage
- No outgassing of the adhesives from heating which can result in void formation
- No void generation due to the presence of residues on the layer surfaces
- Successful bonding can be verified by razor tests [45], where a razor blade is used to penetrate the interface between the planes, while other tests can include bending forces applied to the bonded wafer.

The final processing step for a 3-D IC with interplane vias is removal of the handle wafer and cleaning the plane surface if subsequent bonding is required. A variety of solutions can be used to detach the handle wafer. The time to accomplish this step also depends upon the size of the wafer [85].



Fig. 3-10 Metal-to-metal bonding; (a) square bumps and (b) conic bumps for improved bonding quality [91].

3.3. Contactless 3-D ICs

Although the majority of the processes that have been developed for 3-D ICs utilize vertical interconnects with some conductive material, other techniques that provide communication among circuits located on different planes through the coupling of electric or magnetic fields have been demonstrated. Capacitively coupled circuits are presented in subsection 3.3.1. Inductive vertical interconnects are discussed in subsection 3.3.2.

3.3.1. Capacitively Coupled 3-D ICs

In capacitively coupled signaling, the interplane vias are replaced with small on-chip parallel plate capacitors that provide interplane communication to the upper plane. A schematic of a capacitively coupled 3-D system is illustrated in Fig. 3-11 [46]. A buffer is used to drive the capacitor. The receiver circuitry, however, is more complex, as the receiver must amplify the low

voltage signal to produce a full swing output. In addition, the receiver circuit should be sufficiently sensitive and fast to detect and respond to the voltage being transferred through the coupling capacitors. The maximum voltage level that can be propagated through a coupling capacitance C_c is [97]

$$\Delta V_{\max} = \frac{C_c}{C_c + C_p + \sum C_{tr}} \Delta V_{in}, \qquad (3-1)$$

where C_p is the capacitance of the capacitor plate to the substrate and adjacent interconnect preceding the receiver. The denominator in (3-1) includes the capacitance of the transistors at the input of the receiver.

A 5 fF capacitance has been used in [97] to transmit a signal to several receiver architectures. This capacitance is implemented with 20 μ m × 20 μ m electrodes with a separation of 2.5 μ m (*e.g.*, dielectric thickness) and a dielectric constant of 3.5. Simulations indicate correct operation for frequencies up to 500 MHz, while measurements show successful operation for signal transmission up to 25 MHz for a 0.5 μ m CMOS technology. Smaller parallel plate capacitor structures and improved transceiver circuitry have also been reported, yielding a communication bandwidth of 1.23 Gb/sec [98]. For a 0.13 μ m CMOS technology, the size of the capacitor electrodes is 8 μ m × 8 μ m. Comparing capacitively coupled 3-D ICs with SiP where the interplane interconnect is implemented by wiring over the chip edge (the total interconnect length is on the order of a centimeter), a 30% improvement in delay is demonstrated. In addition, a significant amount of dynamic power is saved with this interconnect scheme. The static power consumed by the receiving circuitry, however, decreases the overall power savings.



Fig. 3-11 Capacitively coupled 3-D IC; the large plate capacitors are utilized for power transfer, while the small plate capacitors are used for signal propagation [46].

The parasitic capacitance of the devices is greatly reduced with a silicon-on-sapphire (SOS) technology, a type of SOI technology [46]. This situation is particularly advantageous for the power transfer circuitry realized with a charge pump. The transceivers and charge pump circuits have been fabricated in a 0.5 μ m CMOS technology [46], utilizing capacitors with dimensions of 90 μ m × 90 μ m. The distance between the two planes is 10 μ m. Decreasing the separation between the planes can increase the coupling, requiring smaller capacitor plates to propagate a signal. The capacitors used for the power exchange should be large, though, for enhanced coupling. A prototype based on this technique provides approximately 0.1 mA current to the devices on the upper planes. Successful operation up to 15 MHz has been demonstrated [46].

Critical factors affecting this technique are the size of the capacitors, which affects the interconnect density, the interplane distance, and the dielectric constant of the material between the planes, which determine the amount of coupling. Face-to-face bonding is preferable as the distance between the planes is less. Interconnecting a 3-D IC consisting of more than two planes with this approach, however, is a challenging task.

3.3.2. Inductively Coupled 3-D ICs

Inductive coupling can be an alternative for contactless communication in 3-D ICs. Each plane in a two-plane 3-D IC accommodates a spiral inductor, located at the same horizontal coordinates (see Fig. 3-12). The size and diameter of the inductors are based on an on-chip differential transformer structure [99]. Inductors with a diameter of 100 µm are shown to be suitable for signal transmission, where the inductors are separated by a distance of 20 µm. In contrast to capacitively coupled 3-D ICs, the signal propagation is achieved through current pulses. As compared to the capacitive coupling technique, specialized circuitry both for the transmitter and receiver is required, dissipating greater power. A current-mode driver generates a differential signal. The receiver amplifies the transmitted current or voltage pulses, producing a full swing signal. Alternatively, inductive coupling does not require as small a separation of the planes as in the capacitive coupling technique, relaxing the demand for very thin spacing between planes. This advantage supports the integration of more than two planes for inductively coupled 3-D ICs. Simulations indicate a 5 Gb/sec throughput with 70 ps jitter at the output, consuming approximately 15 mW [99].

The main limitations of this technique relate to the size of the inductors, which yields a low interconnect density as compared to the size of the interplane vias, increased power consumption of the transceiver circuitry, and interference among adjacent on-chip inductors. Additionally, power delivery to the upper planes is realized with galvanic connections. Consequently, the fabrication process and cost for this type of 3-D IC is not greatly simplified as the via formation step is not eliminated.



Fig. 3-12 Inductively coupled 3-D ICs. The galvanic connections are used for power delivery [99].

3.4. Vertical Interconnects for 3-D ICs

Increasing the number of planes that can be integrated into a single 3-D system is a primary objective of three-dimensional integration. A 3-D system with high density vertical interconnects is therefore indispensable. Vertical interconnects implemented as through silicon vias produce the highest interconnect bandwidth within a 3-D system, as compared to wire bonding, peripheral vertical interconnects, and solder ball arrays. Other important criteria should also be satisfied by the fabrication process for TSVs. A fabrication process for vertical interconnects should produce reliable and inexpensive TSVs. In addition, a TSV should exhibit low impedance characteristics. A high TSV aspect ratio, the ratio of the diameter of the top edge to the length of the via, may also be required for certain types of 3-D circuits. The effect of forming the TSVs on the performance and reliability of the neighboring active devices should also be negligible.

As shown in Fig. 3-9, TSVs are formed after the active devices on each plane of the 3-D circuit are fabricated and prior to the wafer thinning step ("via first" approach). In this case, the TSVs are formed as blind vias exposed during the wafer thinning step. This method provides the important advantages of compatibility with existent process flows and simplicity in wafer handling [100]. A disadvantage of this approach is the impact on reliability, resulting from wafer

thinning and bonding. Alternatively, the TSVs are fabricated after the wafer thinning step [101]-[103] ("via last" approach), as depicted in Fig. 3-13. This approach alleviates those problems related to back end processing; however, this method requires several processing steps with thin wafer handling, a potential source of manufacturing defects. Despite the disadvantages of the "via first" approach, via first is currently the most popular method for fabricating TSVs.



Fig. 3-13 Through silicon via formation and filling after wafer thinning ("via last" approach).

The technology for TSVs was greatly advanced by the invention of the BOSCH process in the mid-90's, which was initially used to fabricate micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) [104]. The BOSCH process essentially consists of two functions, namely, etching and deposition, applied in successive time intervals of different duration (typically on the order of seconds) [100], [105], [106]. Sulphurhexafluoride (SF₆) is utilized for the etching cycles, while fluorocarbon (C_4F_8) is used to passivate the lateral wall of the TSV [104]-[106]. The BOSCH process is followed first by a barrier, after which a seed layer is deposited. The former layer, typically consisting of TiN or TaN deposition, prevents the Cu from diffusing to the silicon as in a conventional damascene process. The latter layer is utilized for the filling step of the TSV. Copper is mostly used for via filling. Although tungsten or low resistance poly-Si can be used for the TSV, copper has the inherent advantage of compatibility with back end of the line (BEOL)

processing and the multi-layer interconnects used in modern ICs. Although the BOSCH process is effective in etching silicon, several issues regarding the quality of the TSVs have to be considered [100], such as

- Controllability of the via shape and tapering
- Conformal deposition and sufficient adhesion of the insulation, barrier, and seed layers
- Void-free filling with a conductive material
- Removal of excessive metal deposition along the edges of the TSV

TSVs have either a straight or tapered shape with various aspect ratios, as illustrated in Fig. 3-14. The aspect ratio (*i.e.*, D/W) ultimately depends upon the thickness of the wafer that results from the wafer thinning step, where this ratio can range from 5 to 15. TSVs with a wide range of diameter values have also been manufactured [100], [101], [104]-[109]. Tapered vias are preferred to non-tapered vias, as a lateral wall with a slope and smooth surface facilitates the deposition of the barrier and seed layers and the following filling step [100]. This behavior occurs because the tapered profile decreases the effective aspect ratio of the straight segment of the TSV. Excessive tapering, however, can be problematic, leading to V-shaped vias at the bottom edge. In addition, a specific interconnect pitch is required at the bottom side of the via; consequently, tapering should be carefully controlled.



Fig. 3-14 Through silicon via shapes, (a) straight and (b) tapered.

Although the BOSCH process can etch silicon sufficiently fast, producing a smooth surface, barrier and seed layer deposition is not a straightforward task. The BOSCH process results in a particularly rough surface, producing scallops, as illustrated in Fig. 3-15 [108]. Note that the roughness of the surface decreases with the via depth. The rough sidewall is due to the time multiplexed cycles of the etching and deposition steps of the BOSCH process. A low surface roughness is of particular importance for the steps that follow the via formation process. The scallops not only prevent a conformal barrier and seed layer deposition but also increase the diffusion of the copper into the silicon despite the presence of the barrier layer [107].



Fig. 3-15 A schematic representation of the scallops formed due to the time-multiplexed nature of the BOSCH process.

Various thermal loads can result from the following processing steps, such as wafer bonding, where thermocompression is typically utilized. Finite element analysis of TSVs with a diameter ranging from 3 µm to 10 µm has demonstrated that thermal loads contribute more to the induced stresses on a TSV as compared to those caused by the bonding force. For specific bonding conditions where the applied bonding force is 300 N and the temperature ranges from 300 °C to 400 °C, the thermal loads constitute 84% of the total induced stress [110]. Simulation of the thermal loads imposed on the TSVs has indicated that the thermal stress, due to the CTE mismatch between the dielectric and the metal filling, are more pronounced at the region where the scallops are sharper [108]. These stresses can crack the dielectric layer, increase the current leaking into the silicon substrate, or cause delamination of the copper within the TSV.

Another problem related to deep reactive ion etching concerns the silicon undercut below the mask at the upper and wide edge of the TSV. Uncontrolled undercut can lead to mask overhang, which in turn can accelerate plating at the top of the TSV. Faster plating of the via upper edge can lead to premature closing of the via and to formation of a void inside the TSV [105].

Two key parameters can be used to adjust the surface roughness of the TSV sidewalls; the ratio of the time duration of the etching and passivation steps and the flow of the C_4F_8 [106]. In addition, variations of the BOSCH process or two step processes can be used to adjust the roughness of the TSV surface. For example, manufacturing process parameters, such as the pressure, bias power, and etching cycle time, can be varied in time rather than maintained static throughout the etching step. With this approach, the roughness of the surface has been decreased from ~ 0.05 µm to ~ 0.01 µm [108].

Via formation is followed by deposition of the barrier and seed layers. The primary goal of this step is to achieve a conformal profile throughout the TSV depth, which is increasingly difficult for TSVs with high aspect ratios. Different chemical vapor depositions are typically utilized for this step in order to provide good uniformity with moderate processing temperatures. Metalorganic CVD (MOCVD) can be utilized to deposit the barrier layer. The disadvantage of this method is poor adhesion. Alternatively, physical vapor deposition (PVD) can be applied for layer deposition, which yields fair adhesion at low temperature. PVD, however, results in poor uniformity.

Via filling is achieved by electroplating, where the copper filling is grown laterally on the deposited seed layer. Alternatively, electroplating can be implemented in a bottom-up manner where a contact wafer is attached to the bottom of the device wafer, which includes the via openings. A major problem related to this technique is the difficulty in removing the contact wafer, which provides the seed layer for the via filling [106]. The copper electroplating should

produce uniform and void-free TSVs in order to achieve high quality signal paths. Poor electroplating conditions that result in void formation within the TSV are illustrated in Fig. 3-16. The requirement for void-free via filling can be achieved by maintaining a constant deposition rate throughout the via depth. Due to the tapered shape of the TSV, however, achieving a constant deposition rate requires the continuous adjustment of various parameters of the electroplating process. Parameters that affect the TSV filling profile, which can be altered during copper deposition, include the solution composition, wafer rotation speed, applied current waveform, current pulse duration, and current density [100], [105], [106]. Current waveforms, such as direct current (DC), forward pulse current (PC), and reverse pulse current (PR), can be applied. Since the deposition rate on the top edge of the TSV is larger than at the bottom, a low forward current density and a high reverse current density is used to maintain a constant deposition rate [100], [101], [106]. As filling at the bottom edge progresses, thereby closing the via opening, the current density is appropriately adjusted to maintain a fixed deposition rate.

Another alternative to avoid via filling problems is to utilize partially filled vias [111]. A partially filled via is shown in Fig. 3-17, where the various layers of the structure are also illustrated. The tapering of these TSVs ranges from $75^{\circ} - 80^{\circ}$. The non-filled metal volume of the etched via hole is filled with benzocyclobutene (BCB), while a layer of parylene insulates the silicon substrate from the TSVs. A concern regarding these partially filled TSVs is the electrical resistance due to the reduced amount of plated metal. Experimental results listed in Table 3-3, however, demonstrate that low resistance vias can be achieved. Another important issue related to this type of TSV is that the density of metal within the volume of a 3-D circuit is reduced, while the density of the dielectric (which fills the etched via openings) is increased. This situation increases the thermal resistance in the vertical direction, which is the primary direction of the heat

flow, requiring a more aggressive thermal management policy and possibly reducing the reliability of the circuits.



Fig. 3-16 Schematic representation of poor TSV filling resulting in void formation (a) large void at the bottom and (b) seam void.

Any excessive metal concentrated on the top edge of the TSV should be removed prior to wafer bonding. This metal removal is typically implemented by CMP. A copper annealing step can also be present either before or after the CMP step [100]. After the thick metal residue is removed from the wafer surface, wafer thinning and bonding follows, preceded, if necessary, by a re-wiring step. In addition to manufacturing reliable TSVs, TSVs should exhibit low impedance characteristics, as discussed in the following section.



Fig. 3-17 Structure of partial TSV and related materials [111].

Via bottom diameter [µm]	Via top diameter [µm]	Resistance of one TSV $[m\Omega]$
60	100	30
80	120	28
100	140	24

TABLE 3-3RESISTANCE OF PARTIALLY FILLED TSV [111].

3.4.1. Electrical Characteristics of Through Silicon Vias

The electrical characteristics of a TSV are of primary importance in 3-D ICs. A variety of TSVs with significantly different aspect ratios and electrical parameters have been reported [100], [101], [105]-[109]. Some relevant examples are listed in Table 3-4. The resistance values include the resistance of the contact on which the TSV is placed, if appropriate.

 TABLE 3-4
 DIMENSIONS AND ELECTRICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE THROUGH SILICON VIAS.

Process from	Depth [µm]	Diameter [µm]	Total resistance $[m\Omega]$
[86]	25	4	140
[112]	30	1.2	< 350
[109]	80	5/15	9.4/2.6
[109]	150	5/15	2.7/1.9
[113]	90	75	2.4

Electrical characterization of these interconnect structures is a crucial requirement, since electrical models are necessary to accurately describe the interconnect power and speed of a 3-D circuit. An electrical model of a TSV is illustrated in Fig. 3-18, where the vias are modeled as an *RLC* interconnect. This model is based on fitting measurements of the S-parameters with the parameters of the model. The test vehicle is a ground-signal-ground TSV structure connected to a coplanar waveguide. The height and diameter of the TSVs are 150 μ m and 50 μ m, respectively. The value of the model impedances are listed in Table 3-5. The resistance and inductance of the TSV structure shown in Fig. 3-18 are described, respectively, by [113]



Fig. 3-18 Electrical model of a TSV [113].

$$L_{TSV} = \frac{L_0}{1 + \log(f/10^8)^{0.26}},$$
(3-2)

$$R_{TSV} = R_0 \sqrt{1 + \frac{f}{10^8}}, \qquad (3-3)$$

where the skin effect is considered. f is the maximum signal frequency propagated through the TSV. Comparison of these expressions with measured S-parameters [113] exhibit negligible error for frequencies up to 20 GHz.

TABLE 3-5PARAMETERS OF THE ELECTRICAL TSV MODEL SHOWN IN FIG. 3-18 [113].

Parameter	Definition	Value
Cox TSV	Capacitance between TSV and thin oxide layer	910 fF
$\bar{C_{Si}}$	Capacitance of the silicon substrate	9 fF
C_{ox}	Capacitance of SiO ₂ on the silicon surface and fringing	3 fF
	capacitance between vias	
G_{Si}	Resistive losses between signal and ground TSV through	1.69 m/Ω
	the silicon substrate	
L_{0}	TSV inductance at 0.1 GHz	35 pH
R_{0}	TSV resistance at 0.1 GHz	12 mΩ

3.5. Summary

Various manufacturing technologies and related issues for 3-D ICs can be summarized as follows:

- 3-D ICs can be realized with either a sequential or parallel manufacturing process.
 Sequential processes result in monolithic structures, while parallel processes yield polylithic structures.
- Stacked 3-D ICs are monolithic structures that significantly reduce the total gate area and capacitance. Stacked 3-D ICs can be fabricated by laser or e-beam recrystallization and silicon growth based on semiconductor or metal seeding.
- 3-D Fin-Fets share a fin shaped gate which can reduce the area of the logic gates; however, the design complexity increases and cannot be easily extended to 3-D ICs with more than two planes.
- Wafer or die level 3-D integration techniques, which utilize through silicon vias, are an appealing candidate for 3-D circuits as these vias offer the greatest reduction in wirelength.
- Fabricating 3-D ICs with TSVs typically includes the following steps: wafer preparation, TSV formation, wafer thinning, bumping, handle wafer attachment, wafer bonding, and handle wafer removal.
- Plane bonding can result from adhesive materials, metal-to-metal bonds, oxide fusion, and eutectic alloys.
- The coupling of electric or magnetic fields can be used to communicate among circuits located on different planes, producing contactless 3-D ICs.
- Some limitations of contactless 3-D ICs are the size of the inductors and capacitors, small distance between planes, and power delivery to the upper planes.

- The formation of a TSV is largely based on the BOSCH process, which consists of etching and deposition steps, multiplexed in time. Electroplating is the most common technique for TSV filling.
- Related problems with the BOSCH and electroplating techniques are rough surfaces, mask undercut, and void formation.
- To produce reliable TSVs, certain parameters of the BOSCH and electroplating techniques should be dynamically adjusted. These parameters include the solution composition, wafer rotation speed, applied current waveform, current pulse duration, and current density.

Chapter 4. Interconnect Prediction Models

In deep submicrometer technologies, the interconnect resistance has increased significantly, profoundly affecting the signal propagation characteristics across an IC. On-chip interconnects have, therefore, become the primary focus in modern circuit design. Considering the broad gamut of interconnect issues, such as speed, power consumption, and signal integrity, beginning the interconnect design process early in the overall design cycle can prevent expensive iterations at later design stages, which increase both the design turnaround time and the cost of developing a circuit. Early and accurate estimates of interconnect related parameters, such as the required metal resources, maximum and average wirelength, and wirelength distribution are, therefore, indispensable for deciding upon the nature of the interconnect architecture within a circuit.

Interconnect prediction models aim at providing an interconnect length distribution of a circuit without any prior knowledge of the physical design of the circuit. This *a priori* distribution can be utilized in a general interconnect prediction framework, producing estimates for various design objectives. Interconnect prediction models have existed for a long time. Recently these models have evolved to consider interconnect issues, such as placement and routing for interconnect dominated circuits, interconnect delay, and crosstalk noise. The majority of these models is based on either empirical heuristics or rules [114], [115]. A well known rule, Rent's rule, forms the basis for the majority of interconnect prediction models. This rule and a related interconnect prediction model for 2-D circuits are discussed in the following section. Several interconnect prediction models adapted for 3-D circuits are presented in Section 4.2. Projections for 3-D circuits obtained from these models that demonstrate the opportunities of vertical integration are discussed in Section 4.3. The key points of this chapter are summarized in Section 4.4.

4.1. Interconnect Prediction Models for 2-D Circuits

The cornerstone for the vast majority of wirelength prediction models is an empirical expression known as Rent's rule developed in the early 60's [114]. Although the derivation of this rule was based on partitions of circuits consisting of only tens of thousands of gates, the applicability of this rule has been demonstrated for numerous and more complex circuits containing millions of gates [116]-[118]. Rent's rule is described by a simple expression,

$$T = kN^{p}, \qquad (4-1)$$

correlating the number of I/O terminals of a circuit block with the number of circuit elements that are contained in this block. *T* and *N* are the number of terminals and elements of a circuit block, respectively. *k* is the average number of terminals per circuit element, and *p* is an empirical exponent. A circuit element is an abstraction and does not refer to a specific circuit; consequently, a circuit element can vary from a simple logic gate to a highly complex circuit. In addition, each circuit block has a limited number of terminals and a specific circuit element capacity. The type of circuit and partition algorithm determines the specific value of *k* and *p* [114]. Although the parameter *p* has initially been determined to be in the range of $0.57 \le p \le 0.75$, greater values for *p* have been also observed. In general, the parameter *p* increases as the level of parallelism of a system increases [115], [119].

Determining the interconnect length distribution is equivalent to enumerating the number of connections $i_{2-D}(l)$ for each possible interconnect length l within a circuit. Such an enumeration, however, is a formidable task. To circumvent this difficulty, a less computationally expensive approach can be utilized [120], [121]. Beginning with an infinite homogeneous sea of gates as illustrated in Fig. 4-1, the number of interconnects within an IC with length l is determined as

$$i_{2-D}(l) = M_{2-D}(l)I_{gp}(l), \qquad (4-2)$$



Fig. 4-1 An example of the method used to determine the distribution of the interconnect length. Group N_A includes one gate, group N_B includes the gates located at a distance smaller than l (encircled by the dashed curve), and N_C is the group of gates at distance l from group N_A (encircled by the solid curve). In this example, l = 4 (the distance is measured in gate pitches) [120].

where $I_{gp}(l)$ is the expected number of connected gate pairs at a distance *l* in gate pitches and $M_{2-D}(l)$ is the number of gates resulting in such pairs. In order to obtain the number of gate pairs connected with an interconnect of length *l*, Rent's rule is employed where a circuit element is considered to be equivalent to a simple gate. Additionally, the total number of terminals, including both the I/O terminals and the terminals connecting different circuit blocks for any partition of the original circuit, remains the same. Furthermore, Rent's rule can be applied to any partitioning algorithm, since a different partitioning technique alters the empirical exponents included in Rent's rule, rather than the expression describing this rule. Consequently, the expected number of interconnects between two groups of gates N_A and N_C located at a distance *l* is

$$I_{gp}(l) = \frac{ak}{N_A N_C} \left(\left(N_A + N_B \right)^p + \left(N_B + N_C \right)^p - \left(N_B \right)^p - \left(N_A + N_B + N_C \right)^p \right), \quad (4-3)$$
where N_B is a group of gates within a distance *l* from group N_A . To approximate the number of interconnects between two groups of gates N_A and N_C separated by *l* gate pitches, as shown in Fig. 4-1, the following observation is used.

For a sufficiently large number of gates N, those gates that comprise group N_C form a partial manhattan circle (as the manhattan distance is the metric used to evaluate the distance among the gates or, equivalently, the interconnect length among the gates). This situation applies to most of the gates within a circuit except for those gates close to the periphery of the IC. Consequently, from Fig. 4-1,

$$N_A = 1 , \qquad (4-4)$$

$$N_B(l) = \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} 2k = l(l-1), \qquad (4-5)$$

$$N_C(l) = 2l, \qquad (4-6)$$

where N_B corresponds to the number of gates encircled within the partial manhattan circle formed by the gates in group N_C . The gates included in N_C shape a partial but not full manhattan circle as the crosshatched gates are not considered as part of N_C . This elimination of gates during the enumeration process ensures that a gate is not counted more than one time. With these assumptions and by employing a binomial expansion, an approximate expression for $I_{gp}(l)$ is obtained,

$$I_{gp}(l) \cong ak \, \frac{p}{2} (2 - 2p) l^{2(p-2)}. \tag{4-7}$$

From (4-7), the number of gate pairs connected by an interconnection of length l is a positive and decreasing function of length for p < 1. The factor a in (4-7) considers the number of terminals acting as sink terminals and is

$$a = \frac{f.o.}{1 + f.o.},$$
(4-8)

where *f.o.* is the average fanout of a gate. By incorporating the number of gate pairs $M_{2-D}(l)$, which can be determined by simple enumeration, and using the binomial approximation for $I_{gp}(l)$, the interconnect length distribution $i_{2-D}(l)$ can be obtained as

$$i_{2-D}(l) = \frac{ak}{2} \Gamma \left(\frac{l^3}{3} - 2\sqrt{N}l^2 + 2Nl \right) l^{2(p-2)} \text{ for } 1 \le l < \sqrt{N} , \qquad (4-9)$$

$$i_{2-D}(l) = \frac{ak}{6} \Gamma \left(2\sqrt{N} - l \right)^3 l^{2(p-2)} \text{ for } \sqrt{N} \le l < 2\sqrt{N} , \qquad (4-10)$$

where Γ is a normalization factor. The cumulative interconnect density function (c.i.d.f.) that provides the number of interconnects with a length smaller or equal to *l* is

$$I_{2-D}(l) = \int_{-1}^{l} i_{2-D}(z) dz .$$
 (4-11)

Expressions (4-9) - (4-11) provide the number and length distribution of those interconnects within a homogeneous (*i.e.*, circuit blocks with the same capacity and number of pins) 2-D circuit consisting of *N* gates. By considering more than one device plane where each plane is populated with identical circuit blocks, the wirelength distribution for a homogeneous 3-D circuit can be generated. Various approaches have been followed to determine the wirelength distribution of a 3-D circuit, as described in the following section.

4.2. Interconnect Prediction Models for 3-D ICs

Several interconnect prediction models for 3-D ICs have been developed, providing early estimates of the wirelength distribution in a 3-D system. Although these kinds of models lack any knowledge about the physical design characteristics of a circuit, such models can be a useful tool in the early stages of the design flow to roughly predict various characteristics of the system, such as the maximum clock frequency, chip area, required number of metal layers, and power consumption. Specifically, for 3-D ICs, such models are of considerable importance as a mature commercial process technology for 3-D ICs does not yet exist. Interconnect prediction models

are, therefore, an effective means to estimate the opportunities of this innovative and developing technology.

A wirelength prediction model for 3-D circuits should consider not only the interconnections among gates located within the same plane, but also the interconnections among gates on different device planes. Consequently, in 3-D circuits, the partial manhattan circles formed by the gates in N_c as illustrated in Fig. 4-1 become partial manhattan spheres as gate pairs exist not only within the same plane (intraplane gate pairs) but also among gates located on different planes (interplane gate pairs). These spheres are formed by partial manhattan circles of reduced radius, which enclose the interplane gate pairs. A decreasing radius is utilized for the interplane gate pairs to consider the vertical distance among the physical planes. This decreasing radius produces a spherical region rather than a cylindrical region for enumerating the interplane gate pairs. Such a hemisphere is illustrated in Fig. 4-2, where the vertical distance between adjacent planes is assumed to be equal to one gate pitch. Considering different lengths for the vertical pitch results in hemispheres with different radii.





The partial manhattan spheres are employed to determine the number of gates within groups N_A , N_B , and N_C [122]-[124]. In order to consider the particular conditions that apply to those gates

located close to the periphery of the circuit, which do not result in partial manhattan spheres, the gates are separated into two categories. The first category is the "starting gates," which denotes those gates that can form a hemisphere with radius *l*. Typically, these gates are located close to the center of the circuit and the "non-starting gates," which denotes those gates that cannot form partial spheres of radius *l*, are roughly located close to the periphery of the circuit [125]. An example of starting and non-starting gates for a single plane is shown in Fig. 4-3. Thus, gate P can be a starting gate forming a partial circle with radius l = 2, depicted by the solid line. In addition, gate Q cannot be a starting gate for gate pairs at a distance l = 1, as indicated by the dashed curve. Gate S is a non-starting gate as a circle cannot be formed with a gate located below this gate for any distance *l*. The same definition applies in the case of multiple physical planes where the circles are substituted by spheres.



Fig. 4-3 Example of starting and non-starting gates. Gates P and Q can be starting gates while S is a non-starting gate [125].

As in a 2-D wirelength model, a circuit element consists of only one gate. The gates included in groups N_A , N_B , and N_C , for a 3-D circuit, are [122]

$$N_A = 1$$
, (4-12)

$$N_B(l) = \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} N_C(i) , \qquad (4-13)$$

$$N_{C}(l) = \frac{M_{3-D}(l)}{N_{start}(l)},$$
(4-14)

where $M_{3-D}(l)$ is the number of gate pairs at a distance l in a 3-D circuit,

$$M_{3-D}(l) = M_{2-D}(l) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} M_{2-D}(l - id_{\nu}).$$
(4-15)

The first term in (4-15) considers the intraplane gate pairs, while the second term includes the interplane gate pairs formed in the remaining *n*-1 planes. The necessary expressions to determine the number of starting gates $N_{start}(l)$ are presented in Appendix A.

Evaluating the starting and non-starting gate pairs requires a significant number of summations. Alternatively, this differentiation between the different types of gates can be removed, resulting in a simpler approach to produce an interconnect length distribution for 3-D circuits as discussed in [126]–[128]. In this approach, an infinite sea of gates is considered and the physical constraint that gates located at the periphery of the circuit cannot form a partial manhattan circle is relaxed. The average number of gates that belong to groups N_A , N_B , and N_C for a 3-D circuit is

$$N_{A} = 1, \qquad (4-16)$$

$$N_{2}(l) \approx (l(l-1) + 2(l-d_{A})(l-d_{A}-1) + l(l-1))$$

$$N_{B}(l) \approx (l(l-1)+2(l-d_{v})(l-d_{v}-1)+l(l-1)) + 2(l-2d_{v})(l-2d_{v}-1)+\cdots + l(l-1)) + \dots + 2(l-(n-1)d_{v})(l-(n-1)d_{v}-1)+\cdots + l(l-1))/n,$$

$$N_{C}(l) = (2l+4(l-d_{v})+2l+4(l-2d_{v}))+\cdots + 2l+\cdots + 4(l-(n-1)d_{v})+\cdots + 2l)/n,$$
(4-18)

where *n* is the number of planes within the 3-D system and d_v is the interplane distance between two adjacent planes. The number of gate pairs that are *l* gate pitches apart, $M_{3-D}(l)$, is the sum of the gate pairs within a plane $M_{intra}(l)$ and the gate pairs in different physical planes $M_{inter}(l)$. By removing the distinction between starting and non-starting gates, the boundary conditions of the problem are altered. Consequently, a normalization factor Γ' is required such that the total number of interconnects of a circuit implemented in both two and three dimensions is maintained constant.

A similar distribution for interconnections in 3-D circuits can be determined by changing the number of gates that correspond to a circuit element, simplifying the enumeration process. Thus, for a 3-D circuit consisting of *n* planes, the expected interconnections between cell pairs (and not gate pairs) are enumerated [129], [130]. Due to this modification, the length distribution for the horizontal and vertical wires can be separately determined from the prediction model for 2-D circuits, as described in Section 4.1. Horizontal wires are considered only as intraplane interconnects in each plane of a 3-D circuit. Alternatively, the vertical wires include one or more interplane vias and can also include horizontal interconnect segments.

Since a cell is composed of *n* gates, the expected interconnections between cell pairs and not gate pairs are enumerated. In other words, the groups N_A , N_B , and N_C become

$$N_A = n \,, \tag{4-19}$$

$$N_B(l) = \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} 2nk = nl(l-1), \qquad (4-20)$$

$$N_c(l) = 2nl . (4-21)$$

In order to determine the distribution of the vertical wires, all possible connections between two cells are considered. The possible interconnects between a pair of cells is shown in Fig. 4-4. Using (4-19) - (4-21) and by slightly modifying the expressions for the interconnect length distribution of the model presented in Section 4.1, the distribution of horizontal and vertical wires in 3-D circuits is obtained.



Fig. 4-4 Possible vertical interconnections for two cells with each cell containing *n* gates [129]. In all of the interconnect length distribution models for 3-D circuits presented in this section, an enumeration of the gate pairs is required. This process typically involves several finite series summations. Alternatively, a hierarchical approach can be adopted to generate the wirelength distribution within a 3-D circuit [131]. With such an approach, the 3-D system is partitioned into *K* levels of hierarchy in descending order. The entire system is therefore partitioned into eight subcircuits at level *K*-1, while the lowest level (level 0) consists of single gates. The interconnect distribution of all of the interconnect lengths smaller than the maximum length (*i.e.*, $l \le l_{max}$) is determined by the following relationship,

$$I(l) = S(l)q(l)$$
, (4-22)

where S(l) is the structural distribution of the number of all possible gate pairs. The structural distribution is physically equivalent to the number of gate pairs $M_{2-D}(l)$, as applied to the model presented in Section 4.1. The process of calculating S(l) proceeds, however, with the method of generating polynomials [119]. A generating polynomial of a length distribution is equivalent to the moment generating polynomial function of that distribution and can be described by

$$g(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} S(l)x^{l}$$
, (4-23)

where each term provides the number of gate pairs connected with an interconnect of length l. Generating polynomials can provide a more efficient representation of the length distribution, as more complex interconnections among circuit elements can be generated by combining simple interconnection structures and exploiting symmetries that can exist within different circuit interconnection topologies. Consequently, the polynomials evaluated at the k^{th} level of hierarchy can be used to construct the polynomials of the $(k+1)^{th}$ level. An analysis of the technique of generating polynomials is found in [132], [133]. The occupation probability q(l), which is the probability of a gate pair being connected with an interconnect of length l, is based upon Rent's rule [114] and Donath's approach [134] and, for a 3-D circuit, is

$$q(l) = Cl^{3(p-2)}, (4-24)$$

where C is a normalization constant in proportion to that constant used in the 2-D prediction model, as discussed in the previous section.

Comparing (4-24) with (4-7), a considerable decrease is noted in the number of interconnects, as this number decays faster as a function of length (*i.e.*, a cubic relationship rather than a quadratic function of length). This faster decay illustrates the reduction in the number of global long interconnects in 3-D circuits. Exploiting this decrease in interconnect length, three-dimensional integration is expected to offer significant improvements in several circuit design characteristics, as discussed in the following section.

4.3. Projections for 3-D ICs

A priori interconnect prediction models described in the previous section provide an important tool to estimate the behavior of 3-D systems and, consequently, assess the potential of this novel technology. The introduction of the third dimension considerably alters the interconnect

distribution in ICs. The wire length distribution of a four million gate circuit for different numbers of planes is illustrated in Fig. 4-5 [122]. By increasing the number of planes, the length of the global interconnects decreases. In addition, the number of these global interconnects decreases, while the number of short local interconnects increases such that the total number of interconnects in the IC is essentially conserved.



Fig. 4-5 Interconnect length distribution for a 2-D and 3-D IC [122].

The third dimension can be used to improve various characteristics of an IC [135], [136]. For example, tradeoffs among the maximum clock frequency, the required number of metal layers, and the area of an IC can be explored to achieve different design objectives. Consider a multi-tier interconnect architecture where the metal lines in each tier have a different aspect ratio and pitch. In addition, each tier consists of orthogonally routed multiple metal layers.

As a smaller area per plane is feasible in a 3-D IC, the length of the corner-to-corner interconnects significantly decreases. Consequently, for a constant clock frequency, several global interconnects on the upper tiers can be transferred to the local tier with a smaller aspect ratio. The number of metal layers can therefore be reduced in 3-D circuits. Alternatively, if the number of metal layers is not reduced by transferring the global interconnects to the local tier interconnects, the clock frequency naturally increases as the longest distance for the clock signal to traverse is drastically reduced. For heterogeneous 3-D ICs, the clock frequency is shown to increase as $n^{1.5}$, where n is the number of planes within a 3-D IC [137]. Furthermore, the third dimension can be used to reduce the total area of an IC. Implementing a 2-D circuit in multiple planes results in a decrease in the length of the interconnects, creating a timing slack. If the clock frequency is maintained the same as that of a 2-D IC, the wiring pitch can be reduced, decreasing the total required interconnect area. The decrease in the interconnect pitch results in an increase in the resistive characteristics of the wires, which can consume the added timing slack provided by the introduction of the third dimension. Consider a 100 nm ASIC consisting of 16M gates, a 3-D IC with two planes produces a $3.9 \times$ improvement in clock frequency, an 84% reduction in wirelimited area, and a 25% decrease in the number of metal layers for each plane within a 3-D circuit [123].

Since the introduction of the third dimension results, in general, in shorter interconnects, 3-D ICs consume less power as compared to 2-D ICs. Using (4-12) - (4-15) and the expressions in [138] to generate the interconnect distribution for a 3-D system, a circuit composed of 16M gates is evaluated. In Fig. 4-6, the variation of the gate pitch, total interconnect length, and power consumption are plotted versus the number of planes for two different values of the Rent's exponent. A value of p = 0.5 and p = 0.6 corresponds to a family of solid and dashed curves, respectively. Since higher values of p are related to systems with higher parallelism, a greater

reduction in interconnect length can be achieved for these systems by increasing the number of planes, as indicated by the curves notated by a circle. Alternatively, highly serial systems, or equivalently, small values of the Rent's exponent permit higher device densities, since these circuits require fewer routing resources and, therefore, the gate pitch is significantly reduced with the number of planes. For greater values of p, the decrease in gate pitch is smaller, as illustrated by the dashed and solid curves notated by the square.



Fig. 4-6 Variation of gate pitch, total interconnect length, and interconnect power consumption with the number of planes [138].

The interconnect power consumption depends both on the total interconnect length and the gate pitch. As both of these parameters are reduced with the number of planes, significant savings in power can be achieved with 3-D ICs. The sensitivity of the power consumption with respect to the Rent's exponent depends upon the sensitivity of the gate pitch and the total interconnect

length. Since these two parameters change in opposite directions with respect to the Rent's exponent, the sensitivity of the power consumption to this parameter is less significant (see the curves notated by the diamond in Fig. 4-6). Overall, an improvement in power consumption of 33%, 52%, and 62% is achieved for two, four, and eight planes, respectively [138].

This analysis only considers wire limited circuits and ignores the power dissipated by the devices. A recent analysis for 3-D circuits designed with the MITLL technology [139] also demonstrates the advantages of the third dimension. In this investigation of 3-D circuits [140], a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) and an Open Reduced Instruction Set computer (RISC) Platform System-On-Chip (ORPSOC) [141] representing a low power and a high performance circuit example, respectively, are considered. The circuits are designed in a 180 nm SOI technology node. Predictions for other technology nodes are also extrapolated. The signal delay, power consumption, and silicon area for 2-D and 3-D versions of these circuits are listed in Tables 4-1 and 4-2, respectively. The clock skew and power consumption are reported in Table 4-3.

TABLE 4-1CHARACTERISTICS OF 2-D CIRCUITS [140].

Technology	Circuit	Delay [ns]	Power [mW]	Area [mm ²]
node		2		
180 nm	FFT	25.81	1050	11.61
	ORPSOC	17.80	3298	18.66
00 mm	FFT	21.14	439	4.18
90 mm	ORPSOC	14.82	1944	6.76
15 mm	FFT	14.16	334	2.32
43 nm	ORPSOC	9.16	1215	3.26

TABLE 4-2 CHARACTERISTICS OF 3-D CIRCUITS [140	Table 4-2	CHARACTERISTICS OF 3-D CIRCUITS	[140]
--	-----------	---------------------------------	-------

Technology node	Circuit	Delay [ns]	Power [mW]	Area [mm ²]
180 nm	FFT	21.49	952	4.01
	ORPSOC	14.78	2933	6.72
90 nm	FFT	19.54	394	1.48
	ORPSOC	10.02	1551	2.42
45 nm	FFT	13.01	256	0.82
	ORPSOC	8.33	1029	1.29

Technology	Circuit	Skew	, [ps]	Power [mW]		
node		2-D	3-D	2-D	3-D	
100	FFT	264.3	213.4	240.5	201.9	
180 nm	ORPSOC	469.6	260.6	838.9	482.9	
00 mm	FFT	167.0	88.8	61.0	44.6	
90 mm	ORPSOC	317.6	213.0	694.2	419.1	
15	FFT	106.3	68.1	66.4	48.3	
43 mm	ORPSOC	194.9	115.1	798.1	487.9	

TABLE 4-3CLOCK SKEW AND POWER CONSUMPTION [140].

In addition to ASICs, general purpose systems, such as microprocessors, are also expected to benefit considerably from vertical integration. As the speed and number of cores within a microprocessor system increase, the demand for larger and faster cache memories also grows. Implementing a larger cache memory inherently increases the latency of transferring data to the cores; the memory bandwidth, however, increases. Additionally, cache misses become highly problematic. As the total area of a microprocessor system increases, the required time for transferring data from the processor to the main memory, due to a cache miss, also increases. Three-dimensional integration can significantly reduce the burden of a cache miss [142]. Realizing a microprocessor system in multiple physical planes decreases the length of the data and address busses used for transferring data between the memory and the logic. In addition, by placing the memory on the upper planes, which consumes significantly lower power as compared to the power consumed by the cores, the power density can be confined within acceptable levels that will not exacerbate the overall cost of the system. Considerable improvements in speed and the power consumed during the data transfer process in a RISC processor with various memory planes implemented in a 3-D system have been demonstrated [143]. As compared to PCB and MCM implementations of the same system, a RISC processor and memory system integrated on a three plane 3-D circuit exhibits an improved performance of 61% and 49%, respectively.

4.4. Summary

Interconnect length distribution models for 3-D circuits are analyzed in this chapter. Based on these models, a variety of opportunities that vertical integration offers to the IC design process is discussed. The major points of this chapter are summarized as follows:

- Several *a priori* interconnect prediction models based on Rent's rule have been developed for 3-D ICs, unanimously demonstrating a significant decrease in the interconnect length for such circuits.
- Rent's rule correlates the number of terminals of a circuit with the number of modules that constitute the circuit and the average number of terminals in each module. The granularity of the module can vary from a single gate to an entire sub-circuit.
- The interconnect distribution within a 3-D circuit can be determined by various methods, such as exhaustive enumeration and the method of generating polynomials.
- Vertical integration drastically alters the distribution of the interconnect lengths in a circuit, such that the number and length of the global interconnects decreases while the number of local interconnects increases.
- By introducing the third dimension, a variety of circuit characteristics can be improved. For example, the performance can be increased assuming a constant total area and number of metal layers. The number of required metal layers can be decreased for a fixed clock frequency and total area. Furthermore, the total area can be reduced while maintaining a fixed clock frequency.
- For heterogeneous 3-D ICs, the clock frequency is shown to increase as $n^{1.5}$, where *n* is the number of planes within a 3-D IC.
- Implementing a microprocessor system in multiple physical planes can reduce unacceptably long data transfer times and alleviate the impact of cache misses.

Chapter 5. Physical Design Techniques for 3-D ICs

In Chapters 2 and 3, a variety of recently developed or emerging fabrication processes for 3-D systems are reviewed. An effective design flow, however, for 3-D ICs has yet to be developed. This predicament is due to the additional complexity and issues that emerge from introducing the third dimension to the integrated circuit design process. Existing techniques for 3-D circuits primarily focus on the back end of the design process. Floorplanning, placement, and routing techniques for standard cell 3-D circuits are presented in, respectively, Sections 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3. These techniques constitute early research efforts to tackle these important problems rather than a complete design flow for 3-D circuits. Furthermore, many of these techniques incorporate thermal objectives or are exclusively dedicated to mitigate thermal problems in 3-D ICs. Although all of these physical design techniques have several common characteristics, due to the importance of thermal issues in three-dimensional integration, a discussion of thermal management techniques is deferred to Chapter 6. In addition to physical design techniques, a discussion on layout tools for 3-D circuits is presented in Section 5.4. A short summary of existing design methodologies for 3-D circuits is included in the last section of this chapter.

5.1. Floorplanning Techniques

The predominant design objective for floorplanning a 2-D circuit has traditionally been to achieve the minimum area or, alternatively, the maximum packing density while interconnecting these blocks with minimum length wires. Most floorplanning algorithms can be classified as either slicing [144] or non-slicing [145], [146]. Floorplanning techniques belonging to both of these categories have been proposed for 3-D circuits [148]-[151]. An efficient floorplanning technique for 3-D circuits should adequately handle two important issues; representation of the third dimension and the related increase in the solution space.

Certain algorithms incorporate the 3-D nature of the circuits, such as a 3-D transition closure graph (TCG) [147], sequence triple [148], and a 3-D slicing tree [149] where the circuit blocks are notated by a set of 3-D modules that determine the volume of the 3-D system. Utilizing such a notation for the circuit blocks, an upper bound for 3-D slicing floorplans is determined [152]. In 3-D slicing floorplans, a plane successively bisects the volume of the 3-D system. The upper bound for 2- and 3-D slicing floorplans is illustrated in Fig. 5-1. The coefficient *r* in Fig. 5-1 is the shape flexibility ratio and denotes the maximum ratio of the dimensions of the modules (*i.e.*, max(*width/height, depth/height, width/depth*). In general, 3-D floorplans result in larger unused space as compared to 2-D slicing floorplans primarily due to the highly uneven volume of the 3-D modules. For high flexibility ratios, however, this gap is considerably reduced and in certain cases the upper bound for 3-D floorplans becomes smaller than in 2-D floorplans.

Notating the location and dimensions of these modules typically requires a considerable amount of storage. A 3-D circuit, however, consists of a limited number of planes where the circuit blocks can be placed. Consequently, a 3-D system can be described as an array of two-dimensional planes where the circuit blocks are treated as rectangles that can be placed on any of the planes constituting the 3-D circuit [151]-[154]. The second challenge for 3-D floorplanning is to effectively explore the solution space, where a multi-step approach can often be more efficient for floorplanning 3-D circuits than a flat approach, as discussed in the following subsection.



Fig. 5-1 Area and volume upper bounds for two- and three-dimensional slicing floorplans are depicted by the solid and dashed curve, respectively, for different shape aspect ratio. $V_{total}(A_{total})$ and $V_{max}(A_{max})$ are the total and maximum volume (area) respectively of a 3-D (2-D) system [152].

5.1.1. Single versus Multi-Step Floorplanning for 3-D ICs

In 2-D circuits, a flat single step approach is applied to generate a floorplan. Alternatively, in 3-D circuits, a multi-step floorplanning technique is applied, where the blocks of a 3-D circuit are initially partitioned into planes of a stack [150]. A comparison between these two approaches is illustrated in Fig. 5-2.

In single step floorplanning algorithms, the floorplanning process proceeds by assigning the blocks to the planes of the stack followed by simultaneous intraplane and interplane block swapping, as depicted in Fig. 5-2. Alternatively, a multi-step approach does not allow interplane moves after the partitioning step. The reason for this constraint is that interplane moves among blocks result in a formidable increase in the solution space, directly affecting the computational time of a multi-step floorplanning algorithm. Indeed, assuming N blocks of a 3-D system

consisting of *n* planes, a flat floorplanning approach increases the number of candidate solutions by $N^{n-1}/(n-1)!$ times as compared to a 2-D circuit consisting of the same number of blocks. The solution space for floorplanning 2-D circuits based on the TCG technique [147], and 3-D circuits with a single and multi-step approach are listed in Table 5-1. Consequently, a multi-phase approach can be used to significantly reduce the number of candidate solutions.



Fig. 5-2 Floorplanning strategies for 3-D ICs, (a) single step approach and (b) multi-step approach [155].

TABLE 5-1SOLUTION SPACE FOR 2-D AND 3-D IC FLOORPLANNING [15	55	;]].
--	----	----	----

Characteristic	2-D IC	n-plane 3-D IC					
	TCG	TCG 2-D array	Multi-step				
Solution space	$(N!)^2$	$N^{n-1}(N!)^2/(n-1)!$	$((N / n)!)^{2k}$				
Ratio	1	$N^{n-1}/(n-1)!$	$1/(C_n^{n/k}\cdots C_{n/k}^{n/k})^2$				

The partitioning scheme adopted in the multi-step approach plays a crucial role in determining the compactness of a particular floorplan, as interplane moves are not allowed when floorplanning the planes. Different partitions correspond to different subsets of the solution space which may exclude the optimal solution(s). The objective function for partitioning should therefore be carefully selected. The partitioning can, for example, be based on minimizing the estimated total wirelength of the system [150]. Consequently, a partitioning problem based on minimizing the estimated total wirelength can be described as

$$\operatorname{minimize}_{net} \sum_{net} EL_{net} , \qquad (5-1)$$

subject to
$$TN_{via} \le V_{max}$$
, (5-2)

where EL_{net} is the estimated interconnect length connecting two blocks of a 3-D circuit, which can contain both horizontal and vertical interconnect segments. TN_{via} is the total number of interplane vias and V_{max} is the maximum number of allowed interplane vias in a 3-D system. Optimizing (5-1) is a difficult task as linearity and convexity are not guaranteed and, consequently, combinatorial techniques cannot be easily applied [150]. Hence, a simulated annealing (SA) based algorithm can be utilized to minimize (5-1). The starting point for the SA engine is generated by randomly assigning the blocks to the planes of the system to balance the area of the individual planes. The SA process progresses by swapping blocks between two planes or changing the location of the blocks within one plane. The expected wirelength and number of vertical vias are re-evaluated after each modification of the partition, where the algorithm progresses until the target solution is achieved at the desired low temperature of the SA algorithm.

As shown by (5-2), the allowed number of vias or, alternatively, the cut size, can affect the partition of the blocks. The effect of the cut size on the generated partition and the correlation between the cut size and the total wirelength can vary considerably in 3-D circuits [155]. A 3-D floorplanner based on [153] with a fixed cut size has been applied to the benchmark circuits included in the MCNC/GSRC benchmark suite [156]. Results of this evaluation indicate that partitioning is important for circuits where the interconnects among blocks exhibit a narrow wirelength distribution. Alternatively, circuits that include interconnects with a wide distribution of lengths are least affected by the partitioning step. In addition, results characterizing the relationship between the total wirelength and the allowed number of vertical interconnects across the planes of the stack show that the total interconnect length does not strongly depend on the cut size if the circuit consists of a small number of highly unevenly sized blocks. This behavior can be attributed to the significant computational effort required to optimize the area of the floorplan rather than the interconnect length. Alternatively, in circuits composed of uniformly sized blocks, an inverse relationship between the number of vertical vias and the interconnect length is demonstrated.

In the second phase of this technique, the floorplan of each plane of a 3-D circuit is generated. Note that the floorplan of each of the planes is simultaneously produced. The interconnects among those blocks that belong to different planes contribute to the total wirelength. Simulated annealing is also utilized in the majority of floorplanning algorithms, both 2-D and 3-D circuits. The circuit blocks are represented in three dimensions by the corner block list method [157]. Since the number of vertical vias is constrained by (5-2), the primary goal of the floorplanning step is to minimize the total wirelength and area. To achieve the desired low temperature of the SA algorithm, a candidate solution is perturbed by selecting a plane within the 3-D stack and applying one of the moves described in [157]. The multi-step floorplanning technique is evaluated on the MCNC and GSRC benchmark suites [156] and compared to the TCG-based 2-D array and the combined bucket and 2-D array techniques (CBA) [154]. The results listed in Table 5-2 exhibit a small reduction, on the order of 3%, in the number of vertical vias and a significant reduction of approximately 14% in wirelength, while the total area increases by almost 4% for certain benchmark circuits.

Benchmark	TCG-	based 2-D arra	y		CBA		Multi-step floorplanning			
	Area	Wirelength	Vias	Area	Wirelength	Vias	Area	Wirelength	Vias	
ami33	3.52E+05	23139	106	3.44E+05	23475	111	4.16E+05	21580	108	
ami49	1.49E+07	453083	191	1.27E+07	465053	203	1.42E+07	420636	198	
n100	53295	97066	704	51736	90143	752	54648	74176	733	
n200	51714	198885	1487	50055	175866	1361	55944	142196	1358	
n300	74712	232074	1613	75294	230175	1568	79278	213538	1534	
Avg.	1.00	1.17	1.03	0.96	1.14	1.02	1.00	1.00	1.00	

TABLE 5-2MULTI-STEP FLOORPLANNING RESULTS [150].

5.1.2. Multi-Objective Floorplanning Techniques for 3-D ICs

The complexity of three-dimensional integration requires several dissimilar metrics for evaluating efficient floorplans for 3-D circuits beyond the traditional area and wirelength metrics. These metrics can consider, for example, the communication throughput among the circuit blocks or the number of interplane vias. A communication based metric can be used in the design of microprocessors, resulting in floorplans with a higher number of instructions per cycle (IPC) [158].

In a 3-D system, blocks that communicate frequently are assigned to adjacent planes which decrease the required interconnect length of the interblock connections. The communication throughput is also increased while reducing the power consumed by the system. Alternatively, blocks with high switching activities should not overlap in the vertical direction in order to ensure

that the temperature profile of the system remains within specified limits. Consequently, a 3-D floorplanner should carefully balance the communication throughput with the operating temperature.

In addition to the cut size or, equivalently, the number of interplane vias between planes, the processing technique used to bond the planes of a 3-D circuit also affects the partition step in a multi-step floorplanning methodology. The various bonding mechanisms employed in a 3-D system contribute in different ways to the final floorplan. For example, front-to-front bonding provides a large number of interplane vias with extremely short lengths, improving the performance of those modules with a high switching activity. Furthermore, a block with a large area can be divided into two smaller blocks assigned to adjacent planes and employs front-to-front bonding in order to minimize the impact of the physical separation on the performance and power consumption of the block. Alternatively, interplane vias utilized in front-to-back bonding can adversely affect the performance of a 3-D system. The density of these vias is therefore low. Pairs of blocks that share a small number of interblock connections can be assigned to planes glued with front-to-back bonding.

Such a multi-objective floorplanning approach targeting microprocessor architectures is illustrated in Fig. 5-3 where a variety of tools is utilized to characterize different parameters of the processor functional blocks. The CACTI [159] and GENESYS [160] tools provide an estimate of the speed, power, and area of the processor. The SimpleScalar simulator [161] combined with the Watch [162] framework records the information exchanged across the system to predict the power consumption of each benchmark circuit. Although a hierarchical approach is followed in this technique, the simulated annealing engine is replaced by a slicing algorithm based on recursive bipartitioning [163] to distribute the functional blocks of the processor onto the planes of the 3-D stack in order to decrease the computational time.



Fig. 5-3 Design flow of the microarchitectural floorplanning process for 3-D microprocessors [158].

The additional objectives include area and wirelength (A/W), area and performance (A/P), area and temperature (A/T), and area, performance, and temperature (A/P/T). Based on information gathered from evaluating MCNC/GSRC benchmarks, A/W achieves the minimum area as compared to the other objectives, decreasing by almost 40% the interconnect length over a 2-D floorplan of the microarchitecture. A/P increases the IPC by 18% over A/W, while simultaneously increasing the temperature by 19%. The more complex objective A/P/T generates a temperature close to A/W, while the IPC is increased by 14%. In general, the performance generated by the A/P/T objectives is bounded by the performance provided by the A/T and A/P objectives. In addition, A/P/T achieves higher performance as compared to A/W with similar temperatures [158].

5.2. Placement Techniques

Placement algorithms traditionally target minimizing the area of a circuit and the interconnect length among the cells, while reserving space for routing the interconnect. In vertical integration, a "placement dilemma" arises in deciding whether two circuit cells sharing a large number of interconnects can be more closely placed within the same plane or placed on adjacent physical planes, decreasing the interconnection length. Placing the circuit blocks on adjacent planes can often produce the shortest wirelength to connect these blocks. An exception is the case of small blocks within an SiP or SOP where the length of the interplane vias is greater than 100 µm. Since interplane vias consume silicon area, possibly increasing the length of some interconnects, an upper bound for this type of interconnect resource is necessary. Alternatively, sparse utilization of the interplane interconnects can result in insignificant savings in wirelength.

Several approaches can be adopted for placing circuit cells within a volume [164]-[168]. The circuit blocks can be treated, for example, as interconnected three-dimensional cells. This approach may not depict the discrete nature of a 3-D system as the circuit blocks can only be placed on a specific discrete number of planes; yet allows the formulation of a continuous, differentiable, and possibly convex objective function that can be optimally solved [165]. Since this approach does not consider the discrete number of planes available for circuit placement, a second step – referred to as a legalization step – is required to finalize the cell and interplane via placement of the planes within a 3-D circuit.

The length of a net connecting multiple cells can be described by the Euclidean distance among the cells connected by this net in three dimensions [165]. Alternatively, the distance of the terminals of a net can be adopted as the objective function to characterize the wirelength. To consider the effect of the interplane interconnects, a weighting factor can be used to increase the distance in the vertical direction, controlling the decision as to where to insert interplane vias. This weight essentially behaves as a control parameter that favors the placement of highly interconnected cells within the same or adjacent physical planes. In addition, algorithms that place interplane vias without overlaps and support a design rule compliant via placement are required [167]. To avoid overlap among interplane vias, a via placed within the bounding box of the net may not be possible. In this case, an increase in the wirelength is inevitable. A large weight is assigned to this additional interconnect segment to minimize the wire overhead. Although placing an interplane via within the bounding box of a net does not increase the wirelength, no existing placement techniques exist to determine the optimal location within this available region. This issue is discussed in Chapters 6 and 7, where the optimum via locations within available regions are determined.

5.2.1. Multi-Objective Placement for 3-D ICs

As with floorplanning, multi-objective placement techniques for 3-D circuits are of significant importance. Additional objectives that affect the cell placement and wirelength are simultaneously considered. Such objectives can include the temperature of the circuit and power supply noise (simultaneous switching noise (SSN)). The objective function optimized in this case is described by [169]

$$w_1 A^{total} + w_2 W^{total} + w_3 D^{total} + w_4 T^{total}, (5-3)$$

where A^{total} is the total area of the 3-D system, W^{total} is the total wirelength, D^{total} is the required amount of decoupling capacitance to satisfy target noise margins, and T^{total} is the maximum temperature of the substrate. The w_i terms denote user-defined weights that control the importance of each objective during the placement process.

In order to manage these different objectives, additional information describing the circuit blocks is required including: *(i)* the current signature of each block, *(ii)* the number of metal

layers dedicated for the power distribution network and the number and location of the power/ground pins, and *(iii)* the allowed voltage ripple on the power/ground lines due to simultaneous switching noise. Based on this information, the required amount of decoupling capacitance for each circuit can be determined.

This decoupling capacitance is distributed to the neighboring white spaces (*i.e.*, open areas not occupied by circuit cells). These spaces include those areas not only within the same plane but also on adjacent physical planes. To detect the white space within each plane, a vertical constrained graph is utilized. The upper boundary of the blocks at the i^{th} level of the tree is compared to the lower boundary of the blocks at the $(i+1)^{th}$ level of the tree. An example of detecting white space is illustrated in Fig. 5-4.



Fig. 5-4 White space detection is illustrated by the white regions [171].

Although the white space can be extended to the adjacent planes in a 3-D IC, the decoupling capacitance allocated to these spaces may not be sufficient to suppress the estimated SSN in certain blocks. In these cases, the white space is expanded in the x and y directions to accommodate additional decoupling capacitance. The expansion procedure is depicted in Fig. 5-5.

Several optimization techniques can be employed to efficiently determine the available white regions and allocate decoupling capacitance within these regions. Simulated annealing (SA) is an optimization algorithm typically used for this purpose, while different techniques for representing

the circuit blocks can be adopted, such as the sequence pair technique [170]. To reach the SA freezing temperature, the solution generated at each iteration of the simulated annealing algorithm is perturbed by swapping operations between pairs of blocks. These perturbations include both intraplane and interplane swapping.



Fig. 5-5 Block placement of an SOP (a) an initial placement and (b) an increase in the total area in the x and y directions to extend the area of the white spaces [171].

Although simulated annealing is a robust optimization technique, the effectiveness of such a multi-objective placement technique depends greatly on the accuracy of the physical model used to describe the various objectives in addition to the area and wirelength of the circuit interconnections. For example, the accuracy of the model describing the power distribution network of a 3-D system can either result in insufficient reduction in SSN or redundant decoupling capacitance which increases the area, power, and total wirelength and therefore the cost of a circuit. Important traits of a power distribution network that should be considered for SSN suppression are the impedance characteristics of the paths to the current load (*i.e.*, the active devices), power supply pins, and decoupling capacitor. The accuracy can be further improved by including the parasitic series resistance and inductance of the decoupling capacitors and the inductive impedance of the interconnect paths [172]-[174].

Different objectives can also be added to the objective function in (5-3) without significantly modifying the solution procedure. For example, the same approach can be applied to minimize

wire congestion in a 3-D SOP as well as area, wirelength, and SSN. The modified objective function in this case is described by [175]

$$w_1 A^{total} + w_2 W^{total} + w_3 D^{total} + w_4 C^{total}, (5-4)$$

where C^{total} is the wire congestion. These multi-objective techniques have been applied to the GSRC [156] and GT benchmarks [176]. Some of these results are listed in Table 5-3, demonstrating the tradeoffs among the different design objectives [169], [171], [175]. The improvement in wire congestion is about 4%, independent of other design objective(s).

TABLE 5-3PLACEMENT FOR A FOUR PLANE SOP WITH DIVERSE DESIGN OBJECTIVES [169].

Circ	uits	Area/	wire driv	en [mm², m	, nF, °C]	Deca	p-driven	[mm ² , m, n	F, °C]	Multi-objective[mm ² , m, nF,			F, °C]
Name	Size	Area	Wire	Decap	Temp	Area	Wire	Decap	Temp	Area	Wire	Decap	Тетр
n50	50	221	26.6	18.0	87.2	232	30.5	5.2	85.2	294	35.5	9.3	76.2
n100	100	315	66.6	78.2	86.5	343	73.1	69.2	81.7	410	77.0	77.9	78.5
n200	200	560	17.1	226.3	96.4	693	20.5	223.2	96.2	824	21.3	229.1	85.4
n300	300	846	28.6	393.8	100.1	843	28.6	393.8	100.1	844	28.6	393.8	100.1
gt100	100	191	13.2	60.8	71.0	207	16.8	42.5	70.9	264	18.6	55.2	59.2
gt300	300	238	19.6	342.5	93.2	248	22.3	334.9	99.5	256	22.3	343.9	85.3
gt400	400	270	28.1	493.1	114.0	268	32.5	482.0	111.6	282	34.6	492.6	91.1
gt500	500	316	30.3	645.3	99.7	321	35.4	632.4	98.0	321	34.8	635.8	95.8
Rat	io	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.07	1.13	0.97	0.99	1.18	1.19	0.99	0.90

5.3. Routing Techniques

One of the first routing approaches for 3-D ICs demonstrated the complexity of the problem, as compared to the simpler single device layer and multiple interconnect layer routing case [177]. Alternatively, recent investigations related to channel routing in 3-D ICs show that the problem is NP-hard [178]. Consequently, different heuristics have been considered to address routing in the third dimension [179], [182].

A useful approach for routing 3-D circuits is to convert the routing interplane interconnect problem into a 2-D channel routing task, as the 2-D channel routing problem has been efficiently solved [180], [181]. A number of methods can be applied to transform the problem of routing the interplane interconnects into a 2-D routing task, which requires utilizing some of the available

routing resources for the interplane routing. Interplane interconnect routing can be implemented in five major stages including interplane channel definition, pseudo-terminal allocation, interplane channel creation (channel alignment), detailed routing, and final channel alignment [179]. Additional stages route the 2-D channels, both for the interplane and intraplane interconnects, and channel ordering to determine the wire routing order for the 2-D channels.

Each of these stages includes certain subtleties that should be separately considered. For example, a 3-D net should have two terminals in the interplane channel and, therefore, inserting pseudo-terminals may be necessary for certain nets. In addition, aligning the channels may be necessary due to the different 2-D channel widths. Aligning the 2-D channels with adjacent planes of the 3-D system forms an overlapping region, which serves as an interplane routing channel. An example of such an alignment is shown in Fig. 5-6. Since channel alignment can be necessary, however, at a later stage of the algorithm, the width of the 2-D channels is based on a detailed route of these channels without wires. Detailed channel routing with safe ordering follows all of the 2-D channels for both the intraplane and interplane interconnects, where a simulated annealing scheme is utilized. The technique is completed, if necessary, with a final channel alignment. Such an approach has been applied to randomly generated circuits and has produced satisfactory results [179].



Fig. 5-6 Channel alignment procedure to create the interplane routing channels [179].

Although such a technique can offer a routing solution for standard cell and gate array circuits, alternative techniques that support different forms of vertical integration, for example, system-on-package (SOP), are required. In an SOP, the routing problem can be described as connecting the I/O terminals of the blocks located on the planes of the SOP through interconnect and pin layers. These layers, which are called "routing intervals," are sandwiched between adjacent planes of an SOP. The structure of an SOP is illustrated in Fig. 5-7, where each routing interval consists of pin redistribution layers and x-y routing layers between adjacent device layers. Communication among blocks located on non-adjacent planes is achieved through vias that penetrate the active device layers notated by the thick solid lines in Fig. 5-7.

For systems where the routing resources, such as the number of pin distribution layers, are limited, multi-objective routing is required to achieve a sufficiently small form factor. Other factors, such as integrating passive and active components, further enhance the demand for multi-objective routing approaches. A multi-objective approach can consider, for example, wirelength, crosstalk, congestion, and routing resources [182].

A function that accurately characterizes each of these objectives is necessary to produce an efficient route of each net n in an SOP. The wirelength can be described by the total manhattan distance in the x, y, and z directions, where the z-direction describes the length of the interplane vias. The crosstalk produced from neighboring interconnects is

$$xt_n = \sum_{s \in NL, s \neq r} \frac{cl(r,s)}{|z(r) - z(s)|},$$
(5-5)

where cl(r,s) is the coupling length between two interconnects r and s, and z(r) denotes the routing layer in which wire r is routed. The netlist that describes the connections among the nets of the blocks is denoted as *NL*. The delay metric used in the objective function for an interconnect r is the maximum delay of a sink of net r,

$$D^{\max} = \max\{d_r \mid r \in NL\}.$$
(5-6)

Finally, the total number of layers used to route an SOP consisting of *n* planes can be written as

$$L^{tot} = \sum_{1 \le i \le n} \left(\left| L_t(i) \right| + \left| L_r(i) \right| + \left| L_b(i) \right| \right).$$
(5-7)

For each routing interval *i*, $L_t(i)$, $L_r(i)$, and $L_b(i)$ denote the top pin distribution layer, routing layers, and bottom pin distribution layer, respectively. Combining (5-5) - (5-7), the global route of an SOP can proceed by minimizing the following objective function,

$$\alpha L^{tot} + \beta D^{\max} + \sum_{r \in NL} (\gamma x t_r + \delta w l_r + \varepsilon v i a_r), \qquad (5-8)$$

where *via_r* is the number of vias included in wire *r*, and the factors α , β , γ , and ε correspond to weights that characterize the significance of each of the objectives during the routing procedure.



Fig. 5-7 An SOP consisting of n planes. The vertical dashed lines correspond to vias between the routing layers and the thick vertical solid lines correspond to through vias that penetrate the device layers

The various steps of a global routing algorithm optimizing the objective function described by (5-8) is illustrated in Fig. 5-8. In order to distribute the pins to each circuit block, two different approaches can be followed; coarse (CPD) and detailed pin distribution (DPD). The difference between these two methods lies in the computational time. The complexity for CPD is $O(p \times u \times v)$, where *p* is the number of pins and $u \times v$ is the size of the grid on which the pins are distributed, while the complexity for DPD is $O(p^2 \log p)$, exhibiting a quadratic dependence on the number of pins.



Fig. 5-8 Stages of a 3-D global routing algorithm [182].

A topology (*i.e.*, Steiner tree) is generated for each of the interconnects in the routing interval to optimize the performance of the SOP. During the layer assignment process, the assignment of the routed wires is chosen to minimize the number of routing layers. The complexity related to the layer assignment is $O(N \log N)$, where N is the total number of interconnects. The complexity of the channel assignment step is $O(|P| \cdot |C|)$, where |P| is the number of pins and |C| is the number of channels. The algorithm terminates with a local route of the pins at the boundaries of the block and the pins within the routing intervals. Application of this technique to the GSRC and GT benchmark circuits exhibits an average improvement in routing resources of 35% with an average increase in wirelength of 14% as compared to routing that only minimizes wirelength. The maximum improvement can reach 54% with an increase in wirelength of 24% [182].

5.4. Layout Tools

Beyond physical design techniques, sophisticated layout tools are a crucial component for the back end design of three-dimensional circuits. A fundamental requirement of these tools is to effectively depict the third dimension and, particularly, the interplane interconnects. Different types of circuit cells for several 3-D technologies have been investigated in [183]. Layout algorithms for these cells have also been developed that demonstrate the benefits of 3-D integration. Other traditional features, such as impedance extraction, design rule checking, and electrical rule checking, are also necessary.

Visualizing the third dimension is a difficult task. The first attempt to develop tools to design 3-D circuits at different abstraction levels was introduced in 1984 [184], where symbolic illustrations of 3-D circuit cells at the technology, mask, transistor, and logic level are offered. A recent effort in developing an advanced toolset for 3-D ICs is demonstrated in [185] and [186] where the Magic layout tool has been extended to three dimensions (*i.e.*, 3-D Magic). To visualize the various planes of a 3-D circuit, each plane is illustrated on separate windows, while special markers are introduced to notate the interplane interconnects, as shown in Fig. 5-9. Additionally, impedance extraction is supported where the technology parameters are retrieved from pre-defined technology files. The 3-D Magic tool is also equipped with a reliability analysis design tool called ERNI 3-D [185]. This tool provides the capability to investigate certain reliability issues in 3-D circuits, such as electromigration, bonding strength, and interconnect joule heating. ERNI-3D is, however, limited to a two plane 3-D circuit structure.

A process design kit has recently been constructed by a research team from North Carolina State University [187] for designing 3-D circuits based on the MIT Lincoln Laboratories threedimensional fabrication technology (MITLL) [139]. This kit is based on the commercial Cadence® Design Framework, and offers several unique features for 3-D circuits, such as visualizing circuits on the individual planes, highlighting features for interplane interconnects, and full 3-D design rule checking. The design rules for 3-D circuits have been largely extended to include aligning the interplane interconnects with the backside vias, which are an additional interconnect structure in the MITLL fabrication technology.

Although these tools consider important issues in developing layout tools for 3-D circuits, there is significant room for improvement, as existing capabilities are rather rudimentary and limited to a specific 3-D technology and number of planes. In addition, a complete front and back end design flow for 3-D circuits does not yet exist. This situation is further complicated by the lack of a standardized fabrication technology for 3-D circuits. The complexity of 3-D integration poses significant obstacles in developing an efficient design flow; simpler design styles such as field programmable gate arrays (FPGA) may therefore be a useful candidate for this promising technology. In the following section, potential improvements in 3-D FPGAS are discussed and compared to 2-D FPGAs and related physical design techniques.



Fig. 5-9 Layout windows where different area markers are illustrated; (a) layout window for plane 1 and (b) layout window for plane 2 (windows are not of the same scale).

5.5. Three-Dimensional FPGAs

Field programmable gate arrays are programmable integrated circuits that implement abstract logic functions with a considerably smaller design turnaround time as compared to other design styles, such as application specific (ASIC) or full custom integrated circuits. Due to this flexibility, the share of the IC market for FPGAs has steadily increased. The tradeoff for the reduced time to market and versatility of the FPGAs are lower speed and increased power consumption as compared to ASICs. A traditional physical structure of an FPGA is depicted in Fig. 5-10, where the logic blocks (LBs) can implement any digital logic function with some sequential elements and arithmetic units [188]. The switch boxes (SBs) provide the interconnections among the logic blocks. The SBs include pass transistors, which connect (or disconnect) the incoming routing tracks with the outgoing routing tracks. Memory circuits control these pass transistors and program the logic blocks for a specific application. In FPGAs, the SBs constitute the primary delay component of the total interconnect delay between the logic blocks and can consume a great amount of power.

Extending FPGAs to the third dimension can improve performance while decreasing overall power consumption as compared to conventional planar FPGAs. A generalization of FPGAs to the third dimension would include multiple planar FPGAs, wafer or die bonded to form a 3-D system. The crucial difference between a 2-D and 3-D FPGA is the SB that provides communication to five logic blocks rather than three neighboring logic blocks in a 2-D FPGA (see Figs. 5-10b and 5-10c). Consequently, each incoming interconnect segment connects to five outgoing segments rather than three outgoing segments. The situation is somewhat difference is neglected for simplicity. Since the connectivity of a 3-D SB is greater, additional pass transistors are required in each SB, increasing the power consumption, memory requirements to configure



the SB, and, possibly, the interconnect delay. The decreased interconnect length and greater connectivity can compensate, however, for the added complexity and power of the 3-D SBs.

Fig. 5-10 Typical FPGA architecture, (a) a 2-D FPGA, (b) a 2-D switch box, and (c) a 3-D switch box.A routing track can connect three outgoing tracks in a 2-D SB, while in a 3-D SB, a routing track can connect five outgoing routing tracks [189].

In order to estimate the size of the array beyond which the third dimension is beneficial, the authors in [189] combine the shorter average interconnect length offered by the third dimension with the increased complexity of the SBs. Considering the hardware resources (*e.g.*, the number of transistors) required for each SB and the average interconnect length for a 2-D and 3-D FPGA, the minimum number of LBs for a 3-D FPGA implementation to outperform a 2-D FPGA implementation is determined from the solution of the following equation,

$$F_{s,2-D}\frac{2}{3}N^{1/2} = F_{s,3-D}N^{1/3},$$
(5-9)

where $F_{s,2-D}$ and $F_{s,3-D}$ are the channel width of a 2-D and 3-D FPGA, respectively, and N is the number of logic blocks. Solving (5-9) yields N = 244, a number that is well exceeded in modern FPGAs.
Since the pass transistors, employed both in 2-D and 3-D SBs, contribute significantly to the interconnect delay, degrading the performance of an FPGA, those interconnects that span more than one LB can be utilized. These interconnect segments are named after the number of LBs that is traversed by these segments, as shown in Fig. 5-11. Wires that span two, four, or even six LBs are quite common in contemporary FPGAs. Interconnects that span one fourth to a half of an IC edge are also possible [190].



Fig. 5-11 Interconnects that span more than one logic block. L_i denotes the length of these interconnects and *i* is the number of LBs traversed by these wires.

The opportunities that the third dimension offers in SRAM based 2-D FPGAs have also been investigated [191]. Analytic models that estimate the channel width in 2-D FPGAs are extended to 3-D FPGAs. Hence, the channel width for an FPGA with *N* LBs, exclusively consisting of unit length interconnect segments and implemented in *n* physical planes, can be described by

$$W = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{2\sqrt{N/n}-2+(n-1)d_{v}} \chi_{\text{fpga}}}{\left(2N + \frac{(n-1)N}{n}\right)e_{t}},$$
(5-10)

where $f_{3-D}(l)$ is a stochastic interconnect length distribution similar to those discussed in Chapter 4. χ_{fpga} converts a point-to-point distance into an interconnect length and e_t is the utilization parameter of the wiring tracks. These two factors can be determined from statistical data characterizing the placement and routing of benchmarks circuits on FPGAs. Note that these factors depend both on the architecture of the FPGA and the automated layout algorithm.

Various characteristics of FPGAs have been estimated from benchmarks circuits and randomized netlists placed and routed with the SEGment Allocator (SEGA) [192], the versatile place and route (VPR) [193] tools, and analytic expressions, such as (5-10). Each FPGA is assumed to contain 20,000 four-input LBs and is implemented in a 0.25 µm CMOS technology. The area, channel density, and average wirelength are measured in LB pitches, which is the distance between two adjacent LBs, and are listed in Table 5-4 for different number of physical planes.

TABLE 5-4AREA, WIRELENGTH, AND CHANNEL DENSITY IMPROVEMENT IN 3-D FPGAs [191].

Number of planes	Area [cm²]	Channel density	Avg. wirelength [LB pitch]
1 (2-D)	7.84	41	8
2 (3-D)	3.1	24	6
3 (3-D)	1.77	20	5
4 (3-D)	1.21	18	5

The improvement in the interconnect delay with a length equal to the die edge is depicted in Fig. 5-12 for various number of planes. Those wires that span multiple LBs are implemented with unit long segments (*i.e.*, no SBs are interspersed along these wires) whereas the die edge long wires are implemented by interconnect segments with a length equal to a quarter of the die edge. A significant decrease in delay is projected; however, these gains diminish for more than four planes, as indicated by the saturated portion of the delay curves depicted in Fig. 5-12. The components of the power dissipated in a 3-D FPGA assuming a 2.5 volt power supply are shown in Fig. 5-13. The power consumed by the LBs remains constant since the structure of the logic blocks does not vary with the third dimension. However, due to the decreased interconnect length, the power dissipated by the interconnects is less. This improvement, however, is smaller than the improvement in the interconnect delay as indicated by the slope of the curves illustrated in Figs. 5-12a and 5-12b. This behavior is attributed to the extra pass transistors in a 3-D SB,

which increases the power consumption, counteracting the benefit of the shorter interconnect length. Furthermore, due to the reduced interconnect length, the power dissipated by the clock distribution network also decreases.



Fig. 5-12 Interconnect delay for various number of physical planes [191], (a) average length wires and (b) die edge length interconnects.



A unified placement and routing system targeting various 3-D FPGA architectures [194] is proposed in [189]. The placement technique in [189] is an extension of [195] developed for 2-D FPGAs and follows a top-down approach where the FPGA is successively partitioned into multiple cubic sections or cells until only one logic block is contained in each section. This partition decomposes an FPGA into $n_x \times n_y \times n_z$ cells, where n_x and n_y are the number of cells in the *x* and *y* directions, respectively, and n_z is the number of planes within the 3-D FPGA. The LBs are arranged such that the number of nets that cross a cell boundary is minimized. A simulated annealing algorithm is employed to minimize the total interconnect length by swapping blocks among the cells. Upon completion of the placement process, a one step routing algorithm that utilizes a Steiner-based heuristic generates the physical route of the circuit. This heuristic, which is based on [196], is generalized into three dimensions and iteratively applied to determine the individual route of the nets within a 3-D FPGA that minimizes the spanning cost. This technique has been applied to a number of industrial benchmark circuits. The results are compared to a 2-D FPGA, which has been placed and routed with the Mondrian tool [195] followed by various post processing steps. The improvement in the average interconnect length, SB utilization, and average source-to-sink length of the paths (*i.e.*, radius) is 13.8%, 23.0%, and 26.4%, respectively, for a 3-D FPGA.

Another three-dimensional place and route (TPR) tool suitable for 3-D FPGAs has been proposed in [197]. This tool is also based on a 2-D tool called VPR [193], [198]. Another variant of the tool, called SA-TPR, includes a simulated annealing algorithm to explore the solution quality and computational time tradeoffs. A hybrid version that utilizes both the basic TPR and the SA-TPR has also been developed to generate more refined solutions.

Various steps of the TPR tool are illustrated in Fig. 5-14. The input to the tool is a specific 3-D FPGA architecture and a circuit mapped onto this architecture described in .blif format. The placement commences by partitioning the blocks of the circuit into the planes of the stack such that the resulting partitions are balanced. This initial partition is based on the hmetis algorithm [199] and aims to minimize the cut size among the planes or, equivalently, the number of interplane vias. Such a constraint may be due to a low interplane via density or high fabrication cost of the vias. The assignment of the blocks within each plane follows, based on the approach described in [200]. The routing step in TPR employs the approach described in [201], which is essentially a rip-up and re-route technique that utilizes a breadth-first search algorithm. Adopting an SA engine for the placement, the placement solution produces a decreased total wirelength; however, the runtime of the algorithm increases. For the SA-TPR, the initial partitioning step is not necessary and, therefore, this variant of the tool explores a greater portion of the solution space as interplane moves among blocks placed on different planes are permitted at any iteration of the algorithm. In the hybrid version of the tool, a mixed partitioning scheme and simulated

annealing algorithm are utilized to reduce the computational time without compromising the quality of the solution. In Table 5-5, different variations of the TPR tool are compared with the SA-TPR version applied to a 2-D FPGA considering various design objectives. The benefits that result from the third dimension are evident. The target architectures for these benchmark circuits are evaluated in terms of the gains that can be obtained by employing different interconnect schemes in 3-D FPGAs.

 TABLE 5-5
 IMPROVEMENT RATIOS NORMALIZED TO THE SA-TPR OUTPUT FOR 2-D FPGAS [197].



Fig. 5-14 Design flow of a three-dimensional FPGA-based placement and routing tool [197].

These techniques assume a 3-D FPGA architecture where each plane resembles a 2-D FPGA separately processed and stacked into a 3-D system. The primary difference is replacing the 2-D SBs with 3-D SBs that exploit the vertical channels. In [202], another approach is followed where

a 2-D FPGA is implemented in three physical planes. The first plane only contains the logic blocks, while the interconnect structure, such as the SBs, are transferred onto the second plane. The third plane contains the memory required to configure the FPGA. With this 3-D FPGA architecture, the goal is to redistribute the basic components of an FPGA, namely the logic blocks, switch boxes, routing resources, and configuration memory, to maximize the integration density within the 3-D stack. A reduction of approximately 70% in the area of a conventional FPGA is achieved by a three plane 3-D FPGA, where the memory and SBs are located on the upper two planes.

Utilizing the VPR tool [193] to place various circuits in a 2-D FPGA and with simple *RC* delay and power models for the interconnect and pass transistors in the SBs, the delay and power consumption of conventional FPGAs are estimated. The expressions can be optimized to evaluate the interconnect length and delay of a 3-D FPGA. An appropriate scaling factor, smaller than one, for the interconnects within a 3-D FPGA is used to investigate the improvements originating from the proposed three plane architecture. For the 20 largest MCNC benchmark circuits [156], the proposed three plane FPGA architecture offers an improvement of 3.2, 1.7, and 1.7 times in logic density, critical path delay, and dynamic power consumption assuming a 65 nm CMOS technology.

5.6. Summary

The physical design techniques included at the different stages of a developmental design flow for 3-D circuits are discussed, emphasizing the particular traits of 3-D ICs. Techniques for 3-D FPGAs are also analyzed. The major points of this chapter are summarized as follows:

- A variety of partitioning, floorplanning, and routing algorithms for 3-D circuits have been developed that consider the unique characteristics of 3-D circuits. In these algorithms, the third dimension is either fully incorporated or represented as an array of planes.
- The objective function within the 3-D layout algorithms has been extended to include routing congestion, power supply noise, and decoupling capacitance allocation in addition to traditional objectives, such as wirelength and area.
- Simulated annealing is an essential optimization methodology within these algorithms as compared to greedy optimization techniques.
- Floorplanning algorithms usually consist of two basic steps, initial partitioning of the circuit blocks onto the planes of the stack and floorplan generation for each of the planes within the 3-D circuit.
- The partitioning step can significantly affect the quality of the solution and the computational time of the algorithms.
- In the second stage of the floorplanning algorithms where SA is applied, solution perturbations are realized by different intraplane moves among the blocks, such as swapping two blocks within a plane.
- Three-dimensional FPGAs have also been proposed to improve the performance of contemporary 2-D FPGAs, where multiple planar FPGAs are bonded to form a 3-D FPGA stack.
- A critical issue in a 3-D FPGA is the greater complexity of the 3-D switch box that can negate the benefits from the shorter interconnect length and the greater connectivity among the logic blocks.

Chapter 6. Thermal Management Techniques

The primary advantage of three-dimensional integration, significantly greater packing density, is also the greatest threat to this emerging technology; aggressive thermal gradients among the planes within a 3-D IC. Thermal problems, however, are not unique to vertical integration. Due to scaling, elevated temperatures and hot spots within traditional 2-D circuits can greatly decrease the maximum achievable speed and affect the reliability of a circuit [203]. In addition, projected peak temperatures greatly deviate from ITRS predictions of the maximum operating temperature in next generation ICs [12]. Thermal awareness has, therefore, become another primary design issue in modern integrated circuits [204], [205].

In three-dimensional integration, low operating temperature is a prominent design objective, as thermal analysis of 3-D ICs indicates that escalated temperatures can be highly problematic [206]. Additionally, peak temperatures within a 3-D system can exceed thermal limits of existing packaging technologies. Two key elements are required to establish a successful thermal management strategy: the thermal model, to characterize the thermal behavior of a circuit, and design techniques that alleviate thermal gradients among the physical planes of a 3-D stack while maintaining the operating temperature within acceptable levels. The primary requirements of a thermal model are high accuracy and low computational time, while thermal design techniques should produce high quality circuits without incurring long computational design time. Although thermal models and techniques are separately discussed, the overall effectiveness of a thermal design methodology depends on both.

Consequently, this chapter emphasizes both the thermal models of 3-D ICs and the thermal design methodologies that have been recently developed. Models of different accuracy and computational speed are discussed in Section 6.1. Various techniques introduced at different steps

of the IC design flow to alleviate projected thermal problems are also presented. An unorthodox yet potentially useful approach is applied here to discuss these techniques. The discussion is based on the objective used to decrease the temperature gradients within a 3-D system, rather than the specific design stage at which each technique is applied. Hence, those thermal strategies that aim to improve the thermal profile of a 3-D circuit without requiring any redundant interconnect resources for thermal management are presented in Section 6.2. Methodologies that are an integral part of a more aggressive thermal policy that utilize thermal vias, sacrificing other design objective(s), are outlined in Section 6.3. A synopsis of the primary points presented throughout this chapter is provided in Section 6.4.

6.1. Thermal Analysis of 3-D ICs

A 3-D system consists of disparate materials with considerably different thermal properties including semiconductor, metal, dielectric, and possibly polymer layers used for plane bonding To describe the heat transfer process (only by conduction) within the volume of the system and determine the temperature at each point, T at a steady state requires the solution of

$$\nabla(k\nabla T) = -Q, \qquad (6-1)$$

where k is the thermal conductivity and Q is the generated heat. In integrated circuits, heat originates from the transistors that behave as heat sources and also from self-heating of both the devices and the interconnects (joule heating), which can significantly elevate the circuit temperature [203], [206].

More specific techniques applied at various stage of the IC design flow, such as synthesis, floorplanning, and placement and routing, maintain the temperature of a circuit within specified limits or alleviate thermal gradients among the planes of the 3-D circuit. For each of the candidate solutions, (6-1) is solved for the entire volume of the system, requiring unacceptable

computational time. To alleviate this issue so as to reduce the complexity of the modeling process, standard methods to analyze heat transfer, such as finite difference, finite element, and boundary element methods, have been adopted to evaluate the temperature of a 3-D circuit. Simpler analytic expressions have also been developed to characterize the temperature within a 3-D system. Each of these thermal models is described in the following subsections in ascending order of accuracy and complexity.

6.1.1. Closed-Form Temperature Expressions

Although thermal models based on analytic expressions tend to exhibit the lowest accuracy, these models can provide a coarse estimate of the thermal behavior of a circuit. This estimate may be of limited value at later stages of the design process where more accurate models are required; however, analytic models can be useful at the early stages of the design flow where physical information about the circuit does not exist. These first order models can be used to determine various design aspects, such as packaging and cooling strategies and estimates of the overall system cost.

A 3-D system can be modeled as a cube consisting of multiple layers of silicon, aluminum, silicon dioxide, and polyimide, as shown in Fig. 6-1. The devices on each plane are considered as isotropic heat sources and are each modeled as a thin layer on the top surface of the silicon layer. In addition, due to the short height of the 3-D stack, one-dimensional heat flow is assumed. Such an assumption vastly decreases the simulation time. Certain boundary conditions apply to this thermal model in order to validate the assumption of one-dimensional heat flow. Consequently, the lateral boundaries of a 3-D IC are considered to behave adiabatically (*i.e.*, no heat is exchanged with the ambient through the sidewalls), which is justified as the sidewalls of a package typically consist of insulating materials. The same assumption usually applies for the top surface of a 3-D circuit. Alternatively, the bottom side, which is typically connected to a heat

sink, is treated as an isothermal surface. The insertion of these conditions limits the solution space, decreasing the required thermal profiling time. In addition, these boundary constraints capture the physical nature of the 3-D IC, preventing unreasonable temperatures. Note that these boundary conditions apply to the thermal models for 3-D ICs discussed in this chapter, independent of the accuracy and computational time of these models.



Fig. 6-1 Thermal model of a 3-D circuit where one-dimensional heat transfer is assumed [206].

Self-heating of MOSFET devices can also cause the temperature of a circuit to significantly rise. Certain devices can behave as hot spots, causing significant local heating. For a two plane 3-D structure, an increase of 24.6 °C is observed, due to the silicon dioxide and polyimide layers acting as thermal barriers for the flow of heat towards the heat sink [206]. Although the dielectric and glue layers behave as thermal barriers, the silicon substrate of the upper planes spreads the heat, reducing the self-heating of the MOSFETs. Simulation results indicate that by reducing the thickness of the silicon substrate from 3 μ m to 1 μ m in a two plane 3-D IC, the temperature rises from 24.6 °C to 48.9 °C [206]. Thicker silicon substrates, however, decrease the packaging density and increase the length of the interplane interconnects. In addition, high aspect ratio vias can be a challenging fabrication task, as discussed in Chapter 3. If the silicon substrate is completely removed as in the case of 3-D SOI circuits, self-heating can increase to about 200 °C,

which can catastrophically affect the operation of the ICs. In this model, the interconnects are implicitly included by considering a specific aluminum density within a dielectric layer, as depicted in Fig. 6-1.

To estimate the maximum rise in temperature on the upper planes of such a circuit while considering the heat removal properties of the interconnects, a simple closed-form expression based on one-dimensional heat flow has been developed. The temperature increase ΔT in a 2-D circuit can be described by

$$\Delta T = R_{th} \frac{P}{A}, \tag{6-2}$$

where R_{th} is the thermal resistance between the ambient environment and the actual devices, P is the power consumption, and A is the circuit area. The power density P/A, also notated as Φ , increases in 3-D circuits due to the smaller footprint of the circuit assuming the power consumption remains unchanged from a 2-D circuit to a 3-D circuit. The thermal resistance also changes, permitting (6-2) to be written as

$$\Delta T_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{j} \left[R_{i} \left(\sum_{k=i}^{n} \frac{P_{k}}{A} \right) \right], \tag{6-3}$$

where P_k and R_k are the power consumption and thermal resistance of plane k, respectively.

Assuming the same power consumption and thermal resistance for all but the first of the planes, the increase in temperature is described by [207]

$$\Delta T_n = \left(\frac{P}{A}\right) \left[\frac{R}{2}n^2 + \left(R_{ps} - \frac{R}{2}\right)n\right].$$
(6-4)

The thermal resistance of the first plane includes the thermal resistance of the package and the silicon substrate,

$$R_{ps} = \frac{t_{si1}}{k_{si}} + \frac{t_{pkg}}{k_{pkg}}, \qquad (6-5)$$

where t_{sil} is the thickness of the silicon substrate of the first plane and k_{si} is the thermal conductivity of the silicon. The thermal resistance of the upper plane k is

$$R_{k} = \frac{t_{sik}}{k_{sik}} + \frac{t_{dielk}}{k_{dielk}} + \frac{t_{ifacek}}{k_{ifacek}}, \qquad (6-6)$$

where t_{sik} , t_{dielk} , and t_{ifacek} are the thickness and k_{sik} , k_{dielk} , and k_{ifacek} are the thermal conductivity of the silicon substrate, dielectric layers, and bonding interface, respectively, for plane k. From (6-4)-(6-6), the increase in temperature on the topmost plane for various number of planes and power densities of a 3-D system is illustrated in Fig. 6-2 for typical values of thicknesses and thermal conductivities of the substrates, dielectrics, and bonding materials. As shown in Fig. 6-2, the temperature increase has a square dependence on the number of planes and a linear relationship with the power density. Note that the thermal resistance of the package provides the greatest contribution to the temperature increase.

From Fig. 6-2, the temperature within a 3-D circuit is exacerbated even with a small number of planes. The positive effect of the interconnects, particularly the interplane interconnects, on removing the heat and the interconnect joule heating has not been incorporated into the aforementioned expressions. The temperature increase on a specific plane N of a 3-D circuit considering the heat removal properties of the interconnect and the rise in temperature due to interconnect joule heating can be described by [208]

$$T_{si_{N}} - T_{amb} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left[\sum_{r=1}^{N_{i}} \left(\sum_{s=r}^{N_{i}} j_{rms,ir}^{2} \rho H_{ir} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \Phi_{j} \right) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} R_{i} \left(\sum_{k=i}^{N} \Phi_{k} \right),$$
(6-7)

where the first term describes the temperature increase from the interlayer dielectrics (ILDs), while the second term yields the rise in temperature caused by the package, the bonding materials, and the silicon substrate(s). The notations in (6-7) are defined in Table 6-1.



Fig. 6-2 Temperature increase in a 3-D circuit for different number of planes and power densities [206].

Notation	Definition
T_{amb}	Ambient temperature
п	Total number of planes
N_i	Number of metal layers in the <i>i</i> th plane
ir	r^{th} interconnect layer in the i^{th} plane
t_{ILD}	Thickness of ILD
k_{ILD}	Thermal conductivity of ILD materials
S	Heat spreading factor
η	Via correction factor, $0 \le \eta \le 1$
įrms	Root mean square value of current density for interconnects
ρ	Electrical resistivity of metal lines
Ή	Thickness of interconnects
Φ	Total power density on the m^{th} plane, including the power consumption of the devices
	and interconnect joule heating
R_{I}	Total thermal resistance of package, heat sink, and Si substrate (bottom plane)
R_i (i>1)	Thermal resistance of the bonding material and the Si substrate for each plane

TABLE 6-1DEFINITION OF THE SYMBOLS USED IN (6-7).

Expression (6-7) considers a 1-D model of the heat flow in a 3-D system similar to that shown in Fig. 6-1. To analytically describe this heat flow, a thermal model, where the heat sources are represented as current sources and the thermal resistances as electrical resistors, is shown in Fig.

6-3. The variation in temperature at different nodes of this thermal network resembles the node voltage in an electrical network where an Elmore delay-like model is used to determine the temperature at these nodes.

By including interplane vias and interconnect joule heating in the thermal model of a 3-D system, the thermal behavior of 3-D circuits can be more accurately modeled. The rise in temperature of a two plane 3-D is determined for two scenarios. In the former, interconnect joule heating and interplane vias are not considered, while in the latter, interconnect thermal effects are considered. A decrease of approximately 40° in the temperature of the bottom Si substrate is observed for the second scenario as compared to the first scenario. This result indicates the important role that interplane vias play on reducing the overall temperature of a 3-D system by decreasing the effective thermal resistance of the bonding and dielectric materials.

$$Q_{3} \qquad I_{3} = I_{3}(R_{3}+R_{2}+R_{1})+I_{2}(R_{2}+R_{1})+I_{1}R_{1}$$

$$\Delta T_{3} = Q_{3}(R_{3}+R_{2}+R_{1})+Q_{2}(R_{2}+R_{1})+Q_{1}R_{1} \qquad Q_{2} \qquad R_{3} \qquad I_{2} \qquad R_{3}$$

$$L_{2} \qquad V_{2} = I_{3}(R_{2}+R_{1})+I_{2}R_{2}+I_{1}R_{1}$$

$$\Delta T_{2} = Q_{3}(R_{2}+R_{1})+Q_{2}(R_{2}+R_{1})+Q_{1}R_{1} \qquad Q_{1} \qquad R_{2} \qquad I_{3} \qquad R_{2} + I_{3}(R_{2}+R_{1})+I_{2}R_{2}+I_{1}R_{1}$$

$$\Delta T_{2} = Q_{3}(R_{2}+R_{1})+Q_{2}(R_{2}+R_{1})+Q_{1}R_{1} \qquad Q_{1} \qquad R_{2} \qquad I_{3} \qquad R_{2} + I_{3}(R_{2}+R_{1})+I_{2}R_{2}+I_{3}(R_{2}+R_{2})$$

$$\Delta T_{1} = R_{1}(Q_{3}+Q_{2}+Q_{1}) \qquad R_{1} \qquad R_{1} \qquad R_{1} \qquad R_{1} \qquad R_{2} \qquad R_{2} \qquad R_{3} \qquad$$

Fig. 6-3 An example of the duality of thermal and electrical systems.

Although (6-7) includes the effect of the interconnect on the heat flow process, the various heat transfer paths that can exist within interconnect structures are not investigated. For example, assuming a one-dimensional heat flow, heat is only transferred through the vertical interconnects or, equivalently, the stacked interplane vias. Due to physical obstacles, such as circuit cells or routing congestion, a sole vertical path may not be possible for certain interconnections. This situation is depicted in Fig. 6-4 where different thermal paths are illustrated. As with current flow,

heat flow also follows the path of the highest thermal conductivity. Consequently, interconnections consisting of horizontal segments in addition to interplane vias cause the heat flow to deviate from the vertical direction and spread laterally for a certain length, depending upon the length and thermal conductivity of each thermal path. By considering several thermal paths that can exist in a 3-D circuit, as shown in Fig. 6-4, the effective thermal conductivity for the buried interconnect layer consisting of a dielectric and metal is [209]

$$k_{eff} = (1 - d_{vw})k_{ox} + d_{vw}k_{metal}, \qquad (6-8)$$

$$k_{eff} = k_{ox} + k_{metal,eff} = k_{ox} + \frac{t_{bi}}{A} \left[\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_3} \right],$$
(6-9)

where k_{ox} , k_{hm} , and k_{vm} are the thermal conductivity of the intralayer dielectric, intraplane interconnects, and interplane vias, respectively. t_{bi} , d_{vw} , and A are the thickness of the interconnect layer, the density of the interplane vias, and the area of the buried interconnect layer, respectively. The thermal resistance of the paths is notated by R_i , where these paths are considered in parallel, similar to electrical resistors connected in parallel. This duality implies that the presence of multiple thermal paths in a region results in a decrease in the total thermal resistance of that region.





As noted above, the self-heating of devices can affect the reliability of a circuit. Those devices that exhibit a high switching activity, such as clock drivers and buffers, can suffer considerably

from local heating, resulting in degraded performance. By considering the various thermal paths that can exist within interconnect structures, the effect of a rise in temperature on these devices is investigated where the interplane interconnects are placed below the clock driver to reduce the self-heating process [209]. The increase in peak temperature as a function of the power density of the clock driver placed above different interconnect structures is illustrated in Fig. 6-5. The existence of a thermal path with a horizontal metal segment exhibits inferior heat removal properties as compared to an exclusively vertical thermal path. In addition, the resulting increase in temperature in a 3-D IC is higher than bulk CMOS but not necessarily worse than SOI, as illustrated in Fig. 6-5.



Fig. 6-5 Maximum temperature vs. power density for 3-D ICs, SOI, and bulk CMOS [209]. The difference among the curves for the 3-D ICs is that the first curve (3-D horizontal and vertical) includes thermal paths with a horizontal interconnect segment while the second curve includes only interplane vias (only 3-D vertical vias).

Another factor that can affect the thermal profile of a circuit is the physical adjacency of the devices. Thermal coupling among neighboring devices in a 3-D circuit is amplified, further increasing the temperature of the circuit [206], [209]. The temperature is shown to exponentially

decrease with the gate pitch. This result means that the area consumed by certain circuit elements, such as a clock driver, should be greater in a 3-D circuit than in a 2-D circuit to guarantee reliable operation.

The assumption of one-dimensional heat flow permits a circuit to be modeled by a few seriallyconnected resistors. Additionally, by including the interconnect power and the various thermal paths, the accuracy of the thermal models of 3-D ICs is significantly improved. The major assumption and simultaneously drawback of the closed-form expressions describing the temperature of a 3-D circuit is that each physical plane is characterized by a single heat source. This assumption implies that all of the heat sources that can exist within a plane collapse to a single heat source that is included in a thermal network similar to that depicted in Fig. 6-3. Although this approach is sufficiently accurate at early steps in the design process, knowledge of the actual distribution of the power density and temperature within each physical plane is essential to thermal design methodologies in order to maintain a thermally tolerant circuit operation. More accurate models required for such techniques are presented in the following subsection.

6.1.2. Compact Thermal Models

In the previous subsection, thermal models based on analytic expressions for the temperature of a 3-D circuit are discussed. In all of these models, the heat generated within each physical plane is represented by a single value. Consequently, the power density of a 3-D circuit is assumed to be a vector in the vertical direction (*i.e., z*-direction). In addition, the thermal network is represented as a 1-D resistive network.

The temperature and heat within each plane of a 3-D system, however, can vary considerably, yielding temperature and power density vectors that depend on all three directions. Compact thermal models capture this critical information by representing the volume of a circuit with a set

of nodes. The nodes are connected through resistors forming a 3-D resistive network. As an example of such a model, consider the 3-D system modeled as a thermal resistive stack shown in Fig. 6-6. The thermal network shown in Fig. 6-6a is segmented into single pillars [210]-[212]. Each pillar is successively modeled by a 1-D thermal network including thermal resistors and heat sources, as shown in Fig. 6-6c. The heat sources include all of the heat generated by each of the devices contained in each tile. The resistors embedded in the gray shaded triangles are those resistances related to the interplane vias. The absence of such a via between two planes is incorporated by those via resistances such that heat flow will not occur through those resistors. The voltage source at the bottom of the network models the isothermal surface between the heat sink and the bottom silicon substrate. Additional resistors are treated as constant as the heat flow in the lateral directions is typically significantly smaller as compared to the vertical flow of heat.

Comparing the compact model of a single stack with the simpler 1-D model used to produce the closed-form solution presented in subsection 6.1.1, several similarities exist. Both of these models consist of resistors and heat sources modeled as current sources. Note that although the voltage source included in Fig. 6-6c, which considers the heat sink, does not appear in Fig. 6-3, this element of the model is implicitly included in (6-7) as the closed-form expression provides the temperature rise in plane N of the 3-D system (*i.e.*, $\Delta T = T_{si_N} - T_{amb}$) rather than the absolute temperature generated by the compact model.



Fig. 6-6 Thermal model of a 3-D IC, (a) a 3-D tile stack, (b) one pillar of the stack, and (c) an equivalent thermal resistive network. R_1 and R_p correspond to the thermal resistance of the thick silicon substrate of the first plane and the thermal resistance of the package, respectively [211].

Compact thermal models provide more accurate temperature estimates as compared to analytic expressions, but are less accurate, as are the models discussed in the following subsection. Other issues related to compact models is that these models are independent of the boundary conditions of the target system [213]. In addition, these models should be scalable in order to be widely applicable. A possible solution to this requirement is appropriately scaling the resistances of the network for each 3-D technology when calibrating the model [211].

6.1.3. Mesh Based Thermal Models

This type of model most accurately represents the thermal profile of a 3-D system. The primary advantage of mesh based thermal models is that these models can be applied to any complex geometry and do not depend upon the boundary conditions of the problem. A 3-D circuit is decomposed into a 3-D structure consisting of finite hexahedral elements (*i.e.*, parallelepipeds), as

illustrated in Fig. 6-7. The mesh can also be non-uniform for regions with complex geometries or non-uniform power densities.



Fig. 6-7 A four plane 3-D circuit discretized into parallelepipeds.

The temperature at the vertices of each parallelepipeds, called a node, is evaluated from (6-1). By considering that the thermal conductivity k is independent of the temperature, (6-1) can be written as a linear function of temperature,

$$k\nabla^2 T = -Q. ag{6-10}$$

To solve this differential equation, several numerical methods, such as the finite element method (FEM), the finite difference method, and the boundary element method are adopted [204], [214], [215]. The temperature at any other point within a 3-D mesh is determined from different interpolation schemes. For example, the temperature at a point within the parallelepiped shown in Fig. 6-7 is determined from

$$\mathbf{T}(x, y, z) = \mathbf{Nt} = \sum_{i=1}^{8} N_i t_i$$
, (6-11)

where t_i is the temperature and N_i is the shape function of node *i*. The shape function depends upon two factors; the coordinates at the center of the element (x_c , y_c , z_c) and the dimensions of the element, as noted in Fig. 6-8 and described by



Fig. 6-8 Fundamental parallelepiped used to model thermal effects in a 3-D IC based on FEM.

As compared to compact thermal models, which include a network of thermal resistances characterizing the target 3-D system, these models provide greater accuracy in evaluating the temperature of the circuit since the model does not include any fixed resistances. Furthermore, the temperature at any point of the system can be determined by interpolating a detailed thermal profile of a 3-D circuit. If such models are embedded in an iterative thermal management technique, however, the thermal profile of a 3-D circuit can be obtained at every iteration, although computationally expensive. Finally, due to the generality of these models, the thermal properties of the disparate materials comprising an integrated circuit are inherently considered. In the following sections, techniques are discussed that utilize these models to mitigate the effects of high thermal conditions in 3-D ICs.

6.2. Thermal Management Techniques without Thermal Vias

As with 2-D ICs, an increase in temperature can severely affect the performance of a 3-D circuit, since the interconnect resistance increases and the output current of the devices is reduced. Furthermore, as the leakage power is exponentially dependent on the temperature, an increase in temperature triggers a positive feedback mechanism, which can lead to thermal runaway in the circuit. In addition, increased operating temperatures degrade the reliability, which can decrease

the lifetime of the circuits. The cost of a circuit can also increase as more expensive packaging is required to handle high temperatures.

These issues are expected to be more pronounced in 3-D circuits due to the higher power density. This increase is also enhanced by the greater distance of the heat sources (*i.e.*, devices) on the upper planes from the heat sink. Finally, the reduced area of the 3-D system results in a smaller area for the heat sink, reducing the heat transferred to the ambient environment [216].

Several floorplanning, placement, and routing techniques for 3-D ICs and SOP have been developed to consider the high temperatures and thermal gradients developed throughout the planes of these systems beyond the traditional objectives, such as area and wirelength minimization. In Section 6.2.1, thermal-driven floorplanning techniques are discussed, while thermal-driven placement techniques are analyzed in Section 6.2.2.

6.2.1. Thermal-Driven Floorplanning

Traditional floorplanning techniques for 2-D circuits typically target the optimization of an objective function that includes the total area of the circuit and the total wirelength of the interconnections among the circuit blocks. For 3-D circuits, a further requirement for floorplanning may be minimizing the number of interplane vias to decrease the fabrication cost and silicon area. Consequently, an objective function for 3-D circuit floorplanning can be written as

$$\cos t = c_1 w l + c_2 area + c_3 i v$$
, (6-13)

where c_1 , c_2 , and c_3 , are weight factors and *wl*, *area*, and *iv* are the normalized wirelength, area, and number of interplane vias [217].

A thermal-driven floorplanning technique would extend this function to include the thermal objective,

$$\cos t = c_1 w l + c_2 area + c_3 i v + c_4 g(T),$$
 (6-14)

where the last term is a cost function of the temperature. An example of such a function where the cost is a ramp function of the temperature is schematically shown in Fig. 6-9 [217]. Note that the cost function does not intersect the abscissa but rather reaches a plateau. Consequently, such an objective function does not minimize the temperature of the circuit but rather constrains the temperature within specified levels. Indeed, minimizing the circuit temperature may not be an effective objective leading to prohibitively long computational times or to excessive increases in other design objectives.



Fig. 6-9 Temperature cost function [217].

Another important issue for physical design techniques in 3-D circuits is the representation of the third dimension, as also discussed in Chapter 5. A non-efficient representation scheme can considerably increase the storage requirements and, consequently, slow down the optimization process, irrespective of the objective function.

A low overhead representation scheme is realized by representing the blocks within a 3-D system with a combination of 2-D matrices that correspond to the planes of the system and a bucket structure that contains the connectivity information for the blocks located on different planes (a combined bucket and 2-D array (CBA)) [217]. A transitive closure graph is used to represent the intraplane connections of the circuit blocks. The bucket structure can be envisioned

as a group of buckets imposed on a 3-D stack. The indices of the blocks that intersect with a bucket are included in this bucket, irrespective of the plane on which a block is located. A 2×2 bucket structure applied to a two plane 3-D IC is shown in Fig. 6-10, where the index of the bucket is also depicted. To explain the bucket index notation, consider the lower left tile of the bucket structure shown in Fig. 6-10c (*i.e.*, b21). The indices of the blocks that intersect with this tile on the second plane are d and e and the indices of the blocks from the first plane are l and k. Consequently, b21 includes d, e, l, and k.



Fig. 6-10 A bucket structure example for a two plane circuit consisting of twelve blocks [217], (a) a two plane 3-D IC, (b) a 2×2 bucket structure imposed on a 3-D IC, and (c) the resulting bucket indices.

Simulated annealing (SA) is a well-known method to produce an effective solution of an objective function, as in (6-14) for floorplanning 3-D circuits. The SA scheme converges to the desired freezing temperature through several solution perturbations. These perturbations include one of the following operations, some of which are unique to 3-D ICs:

- (i) block rotation
- *(ii)* intraplane block swapping
- (iii) intraplane reversing of the position of two blocks
- *(iv)* move of a block within a plane
- (v) interplane swapping of two blocks
- *(vi) z*-neighbor swap
- *(vii) z*-neighbor move

The last three operations are unique to 3-D ICs, while the *z*-neighbor swap can be considered as a special case of interplane swapping of two blocks. Thus, two blocks located on adjacent planes are swapped only if the relative distance between these two blocks is small. In addition, the *z*-neighbor move considers the move of a block to another plane of the 3-D system without significantly altering the *x*-*y* coordinates. Examples of these two operations are illustrated in Fig. 6-11.



Fig. 6-11 Interplane moves, (a) an initial placement, (b) a *z*-neighbor swap between blocks a and h, and (c) a *z*-neighbor move for block l from the first plane to the second plane.

In an exhaustive approach, each of the aforementioned block perturbations require a thermal profile of a 3-D circuit. Such a tedious approach greatly increases the computational time of the algorithm. A thermal profile, therefore, is invoked after specific operations or after a specified number of iterations. The reasoning behind this practice is that certain operations, such as the move of two intraplane blocks or the rotation of a block, is not likely to significantly affect the temperature of the system, whereas other operations, such as a *z*-neighbor swap or a *z*-neighbor move, is expected to considerably affect the temperature of some blocks.

As discussed in Section 6.1, the efficiency of the thermal techniques depends significantly upon the time required for evaluating the temperature within the volume of a 3-D circuit. Thermal models with different accuracy and computational time have been applied to MCNC benchmarks in conjunction with this floorplanning technique. Related results are reported in Table 6-2 where

both the detailed and compact thermal modeling approach is considered [217]. A significant tradeoff between the runtime and the decrease in temperature exists between these thermal models. With thermal driven floorplanning, where a grid of resistances is utilized to thermally model a 3-D circuit, a 56% reduction in temperature is achieved. The computational time, however, is increased by approximately an order of magnitude as compared to conventional floorplanning algorithms. Alternatively, if a closed-form expression is used for the thermal model of the 3-D circuit, the decrease in temperature is only 40%. The computational time, however, is approximately doubled in this case. Other design characteristics, such as the area and wirelength, do not change significantly between the two models.

TABLE 6-2TEMPERATURE DECREASE THROUGH THERMAL DRIVEN FLOORPLANNING [217].

Circuit	CBA w/o	thermal objective	CBA-T		CBA-T-fast	
	$T[^{\circ}C]$	Runtime [sec]	$T[^{\circ}C]$	Runtime [sec]	$T[^{\circ}C]$	Runtime [sec]
ami33	471	23	160	466	204	56
ami49	259	86	151	521	196	144
n100	391	313	158	4322	222	446
n200	323	1994	156	6843	242	4474
n300	373	3480	167	17484	208	4953
Avg.	1	1	0.44	9.71	0.6	1.82

In an effort to decrease the computational time, floorplanning can be performed in two separate phases. In the first step, the circuit blocks are assigned to the planes of the 3-D system to minimize area and wirelength. This phase, however, can result in highly uneven areas among the planes. A second step that limits these unbalances is therefore necessary. The objective function to accomplish this balancing process can be described as

$$\cos t = c_1 \cdot wl + c_2 \cdot area + c_3 \cdot dev(F) + c_4 \cdot P + c_5 \cdot TOP, \qquad (6-15)$$

where c_1 , c_2 , c_3 , c_4 , and c_5 notate some weighting factors. Beyond the first two terms that include the area and wirelength of the circuit, the remaining terms consider other possible design objectives for 3-D circuits. The third term is intended to minimize the unbalance that can exist among the dimensions of the planes within the stack, based on the deviation dimension approach described in [153]. Planes with particularly different areas or greatly uneven dimensions can result in a significant portion of unoccupied silicon area on each plane.

The last two terms in (6-15) consider the overall power density within a 3-D stack. The fourth term considers the power density of the blocks within the plane as in a 2-D circuit. The cost function characterizing the power density is based on a similarly shaped function as that used for the temperature depicted in Fig. 6-9. Thermal coupling among the blocks on different planes is considered by the last term and can be written as

$$TOP = \sum_{i} \left(\sum_{i} P_{i} + P_{ij} \right), \tag{6-16}$$

where P_i is the power density of block *i* and P_{ij} is the power density due to overlapping block *i* with block *j* from a different plane. The summation operand sums the contributions from the blocks located on all of the other planes other than the plane containing block *j*. If a simplified thermal model is adopted, an analytic expression as in (6-16) can be utilized to capture thermal coupling among the blocks, thereby compensating for the loss of accuracy originating from the thermal model.

This two step floorplanning technique has been applied to several Alpha microprocessors [218]. Results indicate a 6% average improvement in the maximum temperature as compared to 3-D floorplanning without a thermal objective. In addition, comparing a 2-D floorplan with a 3-D floorplan, an improvement in area and wirelength of 32% and 50%, respectively, is achieved [219]. The peak temperature, however, increases by 18%, demonstrating the importance of thermal issues in 3-D ICs.

The reduction in temperature is smaller as compared with the one step floorplanning approach. With such a two-phase approach, the solution space is significantly curtailed, resulting in a decrease in the computational time. The interdependence, however, of the intraplane and interplane allocation of the circuit blocks is not captured which can yield inferior solutions as compared to one-step floorplanning techniques.

Although simulated annealing is the dominant optimization scheme used in most floorplanning and placement techniques for 3-D ICs [169], [182], [217], genetic algorithms have also been utilized to floorplan 3-D circuits. As an example, consider the thermal-aware mapping of 3-D systems that incorporate network-on-chip (NoC) architectures [220]. The merging of threedimensional integration with NoC is expected to further enhance the performance of interconnect limited ICs (the opportunities that emerge from combining these two design paradigms are discussed in greater detail in Chapter 9).

Consider the 3-D NoC shown in Fig. 6-12. The goal is to assign the various tasks of a specific application to the processing elements (PEs) of each plane such that the temperature of the system and/or communication volume among the PEs is minimized. The function that combines the above objectives is used to characterize the fitness of the candidate chromosomes (*i.e.*, candidate mappings), which can be described by

$$S = \frac{1}{a + \log(\max_temp)} + \frac{1}{\log(comm_cost)}.$$
 (6-17)

As with traditional genetic algorithms, an initial population is generated [221]. Crossover and mutation operations generate chromosomes, which survive to the next generation according to the relative chromosomal fitness. The floorplan with the highest fitness is selected after a number of iterations or if the fitness cannot be further improved.

In all of the techniques presented in this section, the heat is conveyed from the upper planes to the bottom plane primarily through the power and signal lines and the thinned silicon substrates. No additional means other than redistributing the major heat sources (*i.e.*, the devices) throughout the 3-D stack is utilized to decrease the overall temperature of the planes in order to alleviate

significant thermal gradients. As previously discussed in Section 6.1, the interplane interconnects can carry a significant amount of heat toward the heat sink, reducing the temperature and the thermal gradients within a 3-D IC. Alternatively, the objective function in (6-14) aims at minimizing or at least limiting the number of interplane vias. These vias, however, accelerate the flow of heat to the ambient, in addition to connecting circuits located on different physical planes of the stack. Consequently, such methods ignore the benefit of the interplane vias.



Fig. 6-12 Mapping of a task graph onto physical PEs within a 3-D NoC [220].

6.2.2. Thermal-Driven Placement

Placement techniques can also be enhanced by the addition of a thermal objective. As discussed in Section 6.1, finite difference and finite element methods can be utilized to solve (6-1). With the finite difference approximation, (6-1) can be written as $R \cdot P = T$, where *R* is the thermal resistance matrix. The elements of the thermal matrix contain the thermal resistance between two nodes in a 3-D mesh, while the temperature *T* and power vector *P* contain the temperature and power dissipation, respectively, of each node.

Any modification in the placement of the cells causes all of these matrices to change. In order to determine the resulting change in temperature, the thermal resistance is updated and multiplied with the power density vector. Such an approach, however, leads to long computational times. Simpler and less accurate approaches should therefore be utilized. For each modification of the block placement, the change in the power vector ΔP is scaled by R, and the change in the temperature vector is evaluated. A new temperature vector is obtained after the latest move of the blocks within a 3-D system. Some results of a thermally driven placement are listed in Table 6-3, where the results from a placement based on traditional area and wirelength objectives are also provided for comparison [169].

Circuit	Area/wire driven [mm ² ,m,nF,°C]				Thermal driven [mm ² ,m,nF,°C]			
	area	wire	decap	temp	area	wire	decap	temp
n50	221	26.6	18.0	87.2	377	84.1	29.7	68.9
n100	315	66.6	78.2	86.5	493	24.5	93.6	69.8
n200	560	17.1	226.3	96.4	1077	38.8	243.6	76.2
gt100	846	28.6	393.8	100.1	1310	20.4	405.3	86.6
gt300	191	13.2	60.8	71.0	474	28.0	92.7	52.3
gt400	238	19.6	342.5	93.2	528	37.0	392.1	72.1
gt500	270	28.1	493.1	114.0	362	38.5	512.0	89.2
gt600	316	30.2	645.3	99.7	541	76.5	684.4	80.3
Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.75	1.51	1.08	0.80

TABLE 6-3THERMAL DRIVEN FLOORPLANNING FOR FOUR PLANE 3-D ICs [169].

The force directed method is another technique used for cell placement [222], where repulsive or attractive forces are placed on the cells as if these cells are connected through a system of springs. The force directed method is extended to incorporate the thermal objective during the placement process [223]. In this approach, repulsive forces are applied to those blocks that exhibit high temperatures (*i.e.*, "hot blocks") to ensure that the high temperature blocks are placed at a greater distance from each other. To obtain the temperature of a 3-D circuit, the finite element method is utilized. The 3-D stack is modeled by a mesh consisting of unit parallelepipeds, as discussed in subsection 6.1.3, where the interpolation functions described by (6-11) and (6-12) are used to evaluate the temperature of the elements. The thermal gradient of a point or node of an element can be determined by differentiating (6-11),

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{I}}.$$
 (6-18)

Exploiting the thermal electric duality, the modified nodal technique in circuit analysis [224], where each resistor contributes to the admittance matrix (*i.e.*, matrix stamps), can also be utilized to construct element stiffness matrices for each element. These elemental matrices are combined into a global stiffness matrix for the entire system, notated as $\mathbf{K_{global}}$. This matrix is included in a system of equations to determine the temperature of the nodes that characterizes the entire 3-D circuit. The resulting expression is

$$\mathbf{K}_{\text{global}}\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{P} \,, \tag{6-19}$$

where \mathbf{P} is the power consumption vector of the grid nodes. To determine this vector, the power dissipated by each element of the grid is distributed to the closest nodes. From solving (6-19), the temperature of each node can be determined at every iteration of the force-directed algorithm.

The force-directed approach utilizes a function that is minimized through the application of repulsive forces on the hot elements. This function is described by a system of equations where the cost of a connection between two nodes as defined in [222] is used to produce another global stiffness matrix **C**, leading to a global objective function,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{z} \right).$$
(6-20)

Alternatively, (6-20) can be optimized by solving the following equation,

$$\mathbf{Ci} = \mathbf{f}_{i}, \forall i \in \{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}, \tag{6-21}$$

where \mathbf{f}_i is the force vectors in the *x*, *y*, and *z* directions. The applied forces comprise two components; thermal forces and overlap forces. Since the goal is to reduce the temperature, the

thermal forces are set equal to the negative of the thermal gradients. Such an assignment places the blocks far from the high temperature regions.

After the stiffness matrices are constructed, an initial random placement of the circuit blocks is generated. Based on this placement, the initial forces are computed, permitting the final placement of the blocks to be iteratively determined. This recursive procedure progresses as long as the improvement is above some threshold value and includes the following steps [223]:

- (*i*) the power vector resulting from the new placement is determined
- *(ii)* the temperature profile of the 3-D stack is calculated
- *(iii)* the new value of the thermal and overlap forces are evaluated
- *(iv)* the matrices of the repulsive forces are updated
- (v) a new placement is generated

Once the algorithm converges to a final placement, a post process step follows. During this step, the circuit blocks are positioned without any overlaps within the planes of the system. If one plane is packed, the remaining cells which are initially destined for this plane are positioned onto the adjacent planes. A similar process takes place in the *y*-direction such that the circuit blocks are aligned into rows. A divide and conquer method is applied to avoid any overlaps within each row. A final sorting step in the *x*-direction includes a post processing procedure, after which no overlap among cells should exist.

The efficiency of this force directed placement technique has been evaluated on the MCNC [225] and IBM-PLACE benchmarks [226], demonstrating a 1.3% decrease in the average temperature, a 12% reduction in the maximum temperature, and a 17% reduction in the average thermal gradient. The total wirelength, however, increases by 5.5%. As shown by these results, this technique primarily achieves a uniform temperature distribution across each plane, resulting in a significant decrease in thermal gradients as well as the maximum temperature. The average

temperature throughout a 3-D IC, however, is only slightly decreased. This technique focuses on mitigating the hot spots across a multiplane system.

6.3. Thermal Management Techniques Employing Thermal Vias

The positive effect of interplane interconnects in decreasing the peak temperature while facilitating the flow of heat in 3-D circuits is demonstrated in Section 6.1. Consequently, interplane vias can conduct heat in addition to propagating a signal. To further enhance the heat transfer process, additional vias that do not function as a signal path can also be utilized. These vias are typically called thermal or dummy vias to emphasize the objective of conveying heat rather than providing signal communication for circuits located on different physical planes.

There are several ways to place thermal vias to decrease the temperature of the upper planes in a 3-D IC. These techniques can include thermal via insertion within certain regions, or dispersion of the thermal vias across the planes with thermal via planning, discussed in Sections 6.3.1 and 6.3.2, respectively. In addition to thermal vias, thermal wires can be employed to transfer heat, as described in Section 6.3.3.

6.3.1. Region Constrained Thermal Via Insertion

In Chapter 5, available space among the cells on each plane is reserved for allocating decoupling capacitors so as to mitigate simultaneous switching noise. In the same context, the available space can also be used to mitigate thermal problems by allocating thermal vias within these regions. The generation of such regions, however, assumes that sophisticated placement tools can produce free area among the placed cells. Thermal via insertion is applied as a subsequent step before routing the signal nets. Thermal via insertion can satisfy a variety of design objectives (not simultaneously) such as [227]

• maximum or average thermal gradient $(g_{max} \text{ or } g_{ave})$

- maximum or average temperature $(T_{max} \text{ or } T_{ave})$
- maximum or average thermal via density $(d_{thmax} \text{ or } d_{thave})$

The design objective is to identify those regions where thermal vias are most needed (the hot spots) and place thermal vias within those regions at the appropriate density. Such an assignment, however, is mainly restricted by two factors; the routing blockage caused by these vias and the size of the unoccupied regions or white space that exist within each plane. Note that although the density of the thermal vias can vary among different regions, the thermal vias within each region are uniformly distributed.

In order to determine the required number of thermal vias for a 3-D circuit, the temperature at specific nodes within the volume of the circuit needs to be evaluated. The finite element method based on (6-11)-(6-12) and (6-18)-(6-19) is utilized to determine the temperature of the nodes within a 3-D grid [227]. An iterative approach is applied to determine the thermal conductivity of certain elements similar to that shown in Fig. 6-8 to minimize the thermal objective. More specifically, when initializing the optimization procedure, an ideal value, which depends upon the desired objective, as well as the initial temperature profile are determined to characterize the thermal gradients within each of the thermal via regions. Additionally, the thermal vias in these regions is set to the minimum value, which coincides with no thermal vias in these regions. The thermal conductivity of the regions is iteratively modified, updating the temperature of the nodes. The algorithm terminates when any further change in the thermal conductivity does not significantly improve the desired objective.

Inserting thermal vias can significantly affect the thermal conductivity. Since these vias facilitate the transfer of heat in the z-direction, the thermal conductivity in the z-direction greatly differs from the conductivity in the x and y directions. To quantify the effect of the thermal vias in
terms of a change in the thermal conductivity within a 3-D grid, the following expressions can be used to determine the change in the global stiffness matrix in (6-19),

$$K_{z}^{eff} = d_{th}K_{via} + (1 - d_{th})K_{z}^{plane}, \qquad (6-22)$$

$$K_x^{eff} = K_y^{eff} = \left(1 - \sqrt{d_{th}}\right) K_{lateral}^{plane} + \frac{\sqrt{d_{th}}}{\frac{1 - \sqrt{d_{th}}}{K_{lateral}^{plane}} + \frac{\sqrt{d_{th}}}{K_{via}}}.$$
(6-23)

 d_{th} is the density of the thermal vias and $K_{tateral}^{plane}$ and K_{z}^{plane} are the thermal conductivity of a physical plane of a 3-D system in the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively, where no thermal vias are employed. These values are 2.15 W/m-K and 1.11 W/m-K, respectively [227], for the MITLL 3-D process technology [139]. K_{via} is the thermal conductivity of the vias, which is equal to the thermal conductivity of copper, 398 W/m-K. With these expressions, the vertical and lateral thermal conductivity or, alternatively, the density of the thermal vias in each region is iteratively determined until the specified thermal gradient or temperature, according to the specified objective, is reached. Note that (6-22) is identical to (6-8) in the simple thermal model based on analytic expressions.

In Fig. 6-13, (6-22)-(6-23) are plotted for a variable density of thermal vias. The thermal conductivity in the *z*-direction is about two orders of magnitude greater than in the horizontal direction. Therefore, only the vertical thermal conductivity is updated during the optimization process, while the thermal conductivity in the horizontal directions can be determined from the new thermal via density obtained from the algorithm and (6-23).



Fig. 6-13 Thermal conductivity vs. thermal via density [227].

This method has been applied to the MCNC and IBM-PLACE benchmarks, where the interconnect power consumption is not considered. Some results are listed in Table 6-4. Note that the target objectives are decreased by increasing the density of the thermal vias. A considerable reduction in all of the aforementioned objectives (see p. 151) is observed. In addition, as compared to a uniform distribution of thermal vias, fewer thermal vias are inserted to reduce the temperature. Furthermore, by analyzing the distribution of the thermal vias throughout a 3-D circuit consisting of four planes, the density of the vias is smaller in the upper planes. This behavior can be explained by noting that in a 3-D IC, the thermal gradients can substantially increase the temperature in the upper planes. By placing additional thermal vias in the lower planes, the thermal gradients are mitigated, reducing the temperature on the upper planes.

TABLE 6-4

Objective	Average per cent change							
	g_{max}	g_{ave}	T_{max}	T_{ave}	d_{thmax}	d_{thave}		
g_{max}	-68.1	-60.8	-44.5	-25.9	44.9	10.2		
g_{ave}	-75.7	-70.7	-51.6	-29.5	50	17.6		
\overline{T}_{max}	-71.1	-64.5	-47.3	-27.3	50	12.3		
T_{ave}	-73.2	-67.4	-49.2	-28.3	50	14.3		
d_{thmax}	-55.5	-43.3	-31.4	-19.2	25	4.2		
d_{thank}	-79.2	-75.3	-54.7	-31.0	50	23.9		

THERMAL VIAS [227].

6.3.2. Thermal Via Planning Techniques

Although thermal vias can considerably facilitate the flow of heat towards the ambient, the placement of the vias can adversely affect other design objectives, such as the area and wirelength of a 3-D circuit. The density of the thermal vias is, therefore, limited and cannot arbitrarily increase. Consequently, thermal via planning can be described as the problem of minimizing the number of thermal vias while the temperature of the circuit and the capacity of the thermal vias are constrained. Compact thermal models, such as the model described in subsection 6.1.2, are preferred due to the lower computational time required to obtain the thermal profile of 3-D circuits as compared to finite element and finite difference methods [217], [228]–[230]. Using as a baseline the compact thermal model described in subsection 6.1.2, a 3-D circuit is discretized into tiles. The tiles located on the same x-y coordinates but on different planes constitute a pillar modeled by a group of serially connected resistors and heat sources (see Fig. 6-6).

Based on this model, the problem of determining the minimum number of through silicon vias (TSVs) necessary to satisfy a specific temperature constraint can be described by the following nonlinear programming (NLP) problem,

$$\min\sum_{i=2}^{n} d_{thi} , \qquad (6-24)$$

where d_{thi} is the thermal TSVs density on plane *i* for a 3-D circuit comprised of *n* physical planes. In addition, a number of constraints apply to (6-24), such as temperature constraints (*i.e.*, the temperature of the circuits cannot exceed a specified value), capacity constraints for the TSVs in each tile, a lower bound constraint for the number of TSVs such that the wirelength of the circuit does not increase due to an insufficient number of signal TSVs in a specific tile, and a heat flow equality constraint (*i.e.*, the incoming and outcoming heat flow for every tile should be equal).

Relating the thermal conductivity of the TSVs with the serially connected resistors in a single pillar, (6-24) can be rewritten as

min.
$$\sum_{k\geq 2} \left(\frac{R_{via} I_{i,j,k}}{T_{i,j,k} - T_{i,j,k-1}} - n_{TSV} \right),$$
(6-25)

where R_{via} is the thermal resistance of one TSV [210] and n_{TSV} is the number of TSVs which is equivalent to the thermal resistance of a tile within a 3-D grid. *I* and *T* are the heat flow in the *z*direction and temperature of the tiles in the grid, respectively, and *i*, *j*, and *k* are the index of the tiles.

Efficiently solving this NLP is a formidable task. Therefore, the thermal via planning process is divided into a two-stage problem; determining the intraplane thermal TSV density within each plane of a 3-D circuit and determining the interplane thermal TSV density among the planes within the stack. Depending upon the formulation of these problems and the applied constraints, different intraplane and interplane distributions of the thermal TSVs are obtained.

The technique of multilevel routing [230], [231] is extended to 3-D ICs including thermal via planning. Multilevel routing with thermal via planning can be treated as a three stage process, illustrated in Fig. 6-14; a coarsening phase, an initial solution generation at the coarsest level of the grid, and a subsequent refinement process until the finest level of the grid is reached. Before the coarsening phase is initiated, the routing resources, the capacity of the TSVs, and the power density in each tile are determined. The power density and routing resources are determined at each coarsening step. At the coarsest level (level k), an initial routing tree is generated. At this

point, the TSV planning step is invoked, assigning TSVs to each tile within a coarse grid. During the refinement phase, the TSVs are distributed to preserve the solution produced at the previous level. If the final temperature at the end of the refinement phase does not satisfy a specified temperature, the TSVs are further adjusted to satisfy the target temperatures.

The TSV planning step is based on the alternating direction TSV planning algorithm (ADVP), which distributes the TSVs in alternate directions; in the first step, among the planes of the 3-D IC, and, in the second step, within each plane of the circuit. This algorithm aims at reducing the overall runtime as the thermal profile required during the various stages of the multilevel routing process can considerably increase the execution time. The problem of distributing the TSVs among the planes of the 3-D system can be described as a convex problem. An analytic solution is determined if the capacity bounds for the TSVs are removed,

$$a_n : a_{n-1} : \dots : a_3 : a_2 = \sqrt{P_n'} : \sqrt{P_n' + P_{n-1}'} : \dots : \sqrt{\sum_{k=3}^n P_k'} : \sqrt{\sum_{k=2}^n P_k'}$$
, (6-26)

where a_i and P_i are, respectively, the number of TSVs and the power density of each tile for a grid consisting of *n* planes.

A corresponding analytic solution cannot be easily determined, however, for the horizontal or intraplane distribution of TSVs. Alternatively, *heat propagation* and *path counting* replace the thermal profiling step. *heat propagation* considers the propagation of the heat flow among the tiles of the grid and is determined by evaluating the different paths for transferring heat to the lower planes of the grid. Different *heat propagation* paths are illustrated in Fig. 6-15.

The multilevel routing and ADVP algorithm are applied to MCNC benchmarks and compared both to the TSV-planning approach presented in [228] and to a uniform distribution of TSVs. As listed in Table 6-5, the ADVP algorithm achieves a significant decrease in the required number of TSVs in order to maintain the same temperature, possibly resulting in a lower fabrication cost and less routing congestion. From Table 6-5, a considerable reduction in the number of TSVs is achieved by the ADVP algorithm without any increase in computational time.



Fig. 6-14 Multilevel routing flow with thermal via planning [229].



Fig. 6-15 Various heat propagation paths within a 3-D grid [229].

		m-ADV	P [229]		m-VPPT [228]				Uniform TSV			
Circuits	T [°C]	#	Area	Runtime	Т	#	Area	Runtime	Т	# TSV	Area	Runtime
		TSV	ratio	[sec]	[°C]	TSV	ratio	[sec]	[°C]		ratio	[sec]
ami33	77.0	1282	2.5%	1.55	77.1	1801	3.5%	1.76	77.1	2315	4.5%	1.62
ami49	77.0	20956	0.9%	13.5	77.1	43794	1.8%	12.15	76.9	166366	6.8%	16.17
n100	77.0	11885	1.5%	7.66	77.0	22211	2.8%	8.31	76.8	30853	3.9%	7.54
n200	77.0	13980	1.8%	12.24	77.2	18835	2.4%	10.89	77.1	30346	3.9%	12.21
n300	77.0	17646	1.3%	20.44	77.1	30161	2.2%	21.73	76.9	57342	4.2%	22.42
Avg.		1.0	1.6%	1.0		1.68	2.6%	1.01		3.55	4.6%	1.06

TABLE 6-5COMPARISON OF TSV PLANNING TECHNIQUES.

Although the ADVP algorithm reduces the required amount of thermal TSVs, a further decrease in the density of the thermal TSVs can be achieved by applying a different approach to determining the TSVs densities. With this approach, both the interplane and intraplane via density problem is converted to a convex problem through several simplifications [232]. The primary assumption of this approach is that the silicon substrate of each of the upper physical planes and the bonding material at the interface between two planes are treated as a single material with homogeneous material properties. The thickness of this material is the summation of the average thermal conductivity of the silicon and bonding material. The solution of these convex problems produces a different distribution of interplane and intraplane TSVs [232], as compared to the distribution produced by ADVP and a uniform TSV distribution. These differences are summarized in Table 6-6, where K_{via} is the thermal conductivity of the TSVs and *S* is the area of the circuit blocks within each plane of a 3-D system.

TABLE 6-6DIFFERENT SOLUTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTING TSVS IN 3-D ICS.

Algorithm	Interplane Plan	ning	Intraplane Planning
m-VPPT [228]	$d_{thi}: d_{thj} = I_{thj}$	$_i:I_j$	$d_{thik}: d_{this} = I_{ik}: I_{is}$
m-ADVP [229]	$\frac{d_{thi} + \alpha}{d_{thj} + \alpha} = \sqrt{I_i} : \sqrt{I_j},$	$a = \frac{K_{via}}{(K_{avg}S)}$	$d_{thik}: d_{this} = I_{ik}: I_{is}$
TVP [232]	$\frac{\lambda d_{thi}+1}{\lambda d_{thj}+1} = \sqrt{I_i} : \sqrt{I_j},$	$\lambda = \frac{K_{via}}{K_{avg}} - 1$	d_{thik} : $d_{this} = \sqrt{I_{ik}}$: $\sqrt{I_{is}}$

This modified thermal via planning step has been applied to MCNC and GSRC benchmark circuits and compared with the ADVP and other TSV distribution algorithms [228], [229]. Some results are reported in Table 6-7, where the solution of the approximate convex problems reduces the number of thermal vias required to reach a pre-specified temperature.

Circuit	m-AD	VP [229]	m-VP.	PT [228]	TVP [232]		
	T_{max}	# T-via	T_{max}	# T-via	T_{max}	# T-via	
ami33	76.8	1109	76.7	1360	77.5	995	
ami49	77.0	21668	77.1	28793	77.2	20310	
n100	77.2	16731	76.9	25205	77.0	14533	
n200	77.1	14273	76.4	17552	77.1	12869	
n300	76.8	19337	76.5	25995	76.9	18614	
Avg.		1.12		1.51		1.02	

TABLE 6-7COMPARISON AMONG THE REQUIRED NUMBERS OF TSVS.

The improved thermal via planning step is integrated into a hierarchical floorplanning technique [232] for 3-D circuits where the circuit blocks are initially partitioned onto the planes of the circuit. Since no interplane moves are allowed after the partitioning step is completed, the partitioning step is crucial in determining the overall quality of the final result. This partitioning problem is approached as a sequence of knapsack problems [233], where the hottest blocks are placed on the lower planes of a 3-D circuit to prevent steep thermal gradients as the heat generated by these blocks is conveyed to the heat sink. Furthermore, overlap (*i.e.*, placement of the blocks on adjacent physical planes with the same x-y coordinates) among these high power density blocks is avoided. The integrated thermal via planning and floorplanning approach is compared with a non-integrated approach, where the floorplan (initially omitting the thermal objectives) is generated first followed by thermal via planning as a post processing step. The integrated technique requires 16% fewer thermal vias for the same temperature constraint, with a 21% increase in computational time and an almost 3% reduction in the total area.

6.3.3. Thermal Wire Insertion

In addition to the benefits that the added thermal vias produce, thermal wires can also be utilized to enhance the heat transfer process. These thermal wires correspond to horizontal wires that connect regions with different thermal via densities through thermal interplane vias. These thermal wires are treated as routing channels wherever there are available tracks. Both thermal interplane vias and wires can be handled during routing [234]. Given a placement of cells within a 3-D IC, the technology parameters, and a temperature constraint, sensitivity analysis and linear programming methods are utilized to route a circuit. For routing purposes, a 3-D grid is imposed on a 3-D circuit as shown in Fig. 6-16 for a two plane 3-D circuit. In addition, the thermal model of the circuit is based on a resistive network, as discussed in subsection 6.1.2. Note that the interconnect power component is not considered in this thermal model [234].

Placing thermal vias and wires to decrease the circuit temperature adversely affects the available routing resources while increasing the routing congestion. Each vertical edge of the routing grid is, therefore, associated with a specific capacity of interplane vias. A similar constraint applies for the horizontal edges, which represent horizontal routing channels. The width of the routing channel can be considered equal to the edge width of the tiles. As shown in Fig. 6-17, the thermal wire and vias affect the routing capacity of each tile.



Fig. 6-16 Routing grid for a two plane 3-D IC. Each horizontal edge of the grid is associated with a horizontal wire capacity. Each vertical edge is associated with an interplane via capacity.



Fig. 6-17 Impact of a thermal wire on the routing capacity of each grid cell. v_i and v_j denote the capacity of the interplane vias for cell *i* and *j*, respectively. The horizontal cell capacity is equal to the width of the boundary of the cells [234].

The 3-D global routing flow is depicted in Fig. 6-18 where thermal vias and wires are inserted to achieve a target temperature under congestion and capacity constraints. A 3-D minimum Steiner tree is initially generated, followed by an interplane via assignment. A 2-D maze router produces a thermally driven route within each plane of the circuit. In the following steps [234], an iterative procedure is applied to insert the thermal vias and wires and complete the physical routing. A thermal model and sensitivity analysis are used to perform a linear programming based thermal via and wire insertion in the first step of the iterative procedure. If this insertion violates the congestion constraints or causes overflow in the routing channels, a rip-up and route step is used to resolve these conflicts [234]. Thermal profiling and sensitivity analysis are repeated to determine whether the target temperature has been achieved. The iterations are terminated when there are no remaining violations or the temperature of the circuit cannot be further improved. The complexity of the algorithm is bounded by the complexity of the iterative procedure. The complexity of each iteration is $O(NGlogG+G^3)$ where N and G are the number of nets in the circuit and the number of cells in the routing grid, respectively.



Fig. 6-18 Flowchart of a temperature aware 3-D global routing technique [234].

6.4. Summary

Thermal analysis and related design techniques for 3-D ICs are discussed in this chapter. A summary of these techniques follows.

- High temperatures deteriorate circuit reliability and lifetime, increase leakage power, and decrease circuit performance.
- Higher temperatures and thermal gradients are predicted for 3-D ICs due to increased power densities and greater distances between the circuits on the upper planes and the heat sink.
- Thermal coupling can further increase self-heating of devices, leading to hot spots.
- Thicker silicon substrates facilitate the heat transfer process; however, high aspect ratio interplane vias reduce the performance of the circuit and are difficult to fabricate.

- Thermal models of 3-D circuits includes analytic expressions, compact thermal resistive networks, and 3-D grids for finite element analysis, in ascending order of increasing computational complexity and accuracy.
- The increase in temperature of a 3-D circuit exhibits a square and linear relationship with the number of planes and the power density of each plane, respectively.
- The boundary conditions for the thermal model of a 3-D circuit typically assume adiabatic walls for the lateral and top surfaces and an isothermal surface for the bottom surface attached to the heat sink.
- Physical design techniques, such as floorplanning, placement, and routing, that embody a thermal objective can be a useful tool to manage thermal issues in 3-D ICs.
- Design techniques can reduce thermal gradients and temperatures in 3-D circuits by redistributing the blocks among and within the planes of a 3-D circuit.
- The thermal-electric duality can be exploited to apply well-established circuit analysis techniques, such as the application of modified nodal analysis, to thermal design techniques.
- The computational time of the thermal management techniques depends upon the accuracy of the thermal model. More accurate thermal models achieve improved results but can require unacceptably high computational times. Alternatively, simpler models reduce runtime but can provide insufficient accuracy.
- A compromise between the accuracy and the computational requirements of the thermal model is necessary.
- The heat primarily spreads vertically rather than laterally. Consequently, the thermal resistance in the horizontal direction can be considered as constant to improve the computational time for thermal profiling.

- The third dimension can greatly affect the computational time by significantly increasing the solution space of the thermal design techniques.
- Interplane vias that do not carry an electrical signal are called thermal or dummy vias. These thermal vias can be utilized in 3-D circuits to convey heat to the heat sink.
- Dummy vias create routing obstacles. These vias should therefore be judiciously inserted.
- Thermal via planning describes the problem of minimizing the number of thermal vias while satisfying temperature and interplane via capacity constraints. Thermal via planning techniques can significantly decrease the temperature and thermal gradients within a 3-D circuit.
- Thermal wires in the horizontal direction are equivalent to thermal vias and can be utilized to lower thermal gradients in 3-D circuits.

Chapter 7. Timing Optimization for Two-Terminal Interconnects

The 3-D interconnect prediction models discussed in Chapter 4 indicate a considerable reduction in interconnect length for 3-D circuits, which can improve the speed and power characteristics of modern integrated circuits. In order to exploit this advantage, numerous physical design techniques for 3-D circuits satisfying a variety of design objectives, such as area, wirelength, routing congestion, and temperature, have been developed. In addition to this important advantage, three-dimensional integration demonstrates many opportunities for heterogeneous SoCs [137].

Integrating circuits from diverse fabrication processes into a single multiplane system can result, however, in substantially different impedance characteristics for the interconnects of each physical plane within a 3-D circuit. These particular interconnect traits present new challenges and opportunities for 3-D circuits. This situation is further complicated by the interplane through silicon vias which can exhibit different impedance characteristics as compared to the horizontal (or intraplane), interconnects. By considering the disparate interconnect impedance characteristics of 3-D circuits, the performance of the interplane interconnects can be significantly improved. Based on the interconnect prediction models discussed in Chapter 4, these interplane interconnects are typically the longest lines within a 3-D circuit. Improving the performance of the system is also significantly enhanced. In this chapter, a technique to decrease the delay of two-terminal interplane interconnects by optimally placing the interplane vias is described. In the

following section, the characteristics of the interplane interconnects, which require a different interconnect model as compared to 2-D circuits, are discussed.

A closed-form solution for the minimum delay of a two-terminal interplane net that includes only one interplane via is provided in Section 7.2. The performance degradation that can occur by not optimally placing the interplane via is also discussed. Two-terminal nets that comprise more than one interplane via are investigated in Section 7.3. Additionally, an algorithm for placing interplane vias is described along with simulation and analytic results. A comparison of the proposed technique with a wire sizing algorithm is also presented. A short summary of the chapter is presented in the last section.

7.1. Interplane Interconnect Models

The impedance characteristics of the metal layers that belong to different physical planes of a 3-D circuit can vary significantly. This variation can be attributed to several causes. For example, consider a 3-D circuit where a processor is integrated with a few memory planes. The fabrication process used for the processor and the memory plane can result in different impedances among the metal layers of the bottom and upper planes. In addition, the magnitude of the process variations, which can affect, for example, the metal layer and inter-layer dielectric thickness, of each of those processes is different. Consequently, the nominal impedance of the lines in each plane is affected differently. As a more specific example, consider the fabrication process for 3-D circuit can be optimized for RF circuits. In this case, the resistance of the metal layers in the RF plane is approximately an order of magnitude lower than that of the metal line resistance in the other digital planes.

If the same manufacturing process, however, is used to fabricate all of the planes of a 3-D circuit, variations in the impedance characteristics of the lines in a 3-D circuit can also originate from other causes, such as the bonding style and technology. Consider the interconnect structure, which is extracted to determine the capacitance on the topmost metal layer, shown in Figs. 7-1a and 7-1b for a 2-D and 3-C circuit, respectively [236]. In a 2-D circuit, the metal layer located immediately below the parallel lines is treated as a ground plane. In a 3-D circuit where front-to-front bonding is employed, the topmost metal layer of the second plane, which is flipped and bonded onto the first plane, behaves as a second ground plane for the topmost metal layer of the first physical plane of the 3-D circuit. Alternatively, in the case of front-to-back bonding, a ground plane can result from the substrate of the second plane if bulk CMOS technology is used to fabricate the planes of the 3-D circuit. This second ground plane can significantly alter the capacitance of the interconnects. As an example, consider the MITLL 3-D process, where the 3-D system is illustrated in Fig. 7-2. In this technology, the lines of the capacitance of the global metal layer of the first plane.



Fig. 7-1 Global interconnect structures for impedance extraction, (a) three parallel metal lines over a ground plane in a 2-D circuit and (b) three parallel metal lines sandwiched between two ground planes in a 3-D circuit.

Another important factor in determining the performance of the interplane interconnects is the impedance characteristics of the interplane vias, which depend upon the bonding style and

technology. Front-to-back bonding will likely result in interplane vias with a greater length as compared to front-to-front bonding since in the latter case the vertical distance among the planes is greater. Furthermore, the type of technology can have a profound effect on the impedance of the interplane vias.



Fig. 7-2 A three plane FDSOI 3-D circuit [139], [235]. Planes one and two are front-to-front bonded, while planes two and three are front-to-back bonded.

To justify this argument, the capacitance of different interplane via structures illustrated in Fig. 7-3 to Fig. 7-5 has been extracted with a commercial impedance extraction tool [238]. The structure shown in Fig. 7-3 corresponds to that segment of the interplane via that is surrounded by the horizontal metal levels of a plane within a 3-D system, and is independent of the target technology (*i.e.*, bulk CMOS or SOI). The number of metal levels surrounding the via, however, depends upon the fabrication process. Alternatively, the structure shown in Fig. 7-4 corresponds to an interplane via surrounded by other vias, which traverse the layers of the dielectric and bonding material (assuming for simplicity to have identical dielectric constants). Such a via structure can exist in an SOI technology. For 3-D circuits where each plane is fabricated with a mainstream CMOS processes or with a combination of SOI and CMOS processes, the structure in Fig. 7-5 can be utilized for capacitance extraction.



Fig. 7-3 Capacitance extraction for an interplane via structure; (a) interplane via surrounded by orthogonal metal layers and (b) capacitance values for various via sizes and spacing values.





Fig. 7-4 Capacitance extraction for an interplane via structure; (a) interplane via through layers of dielectric and the bonding interface, surrounded by eight interplane vias and (b) capacitance values for various via sizes and spacings. For all of the layers, the same dielectric material is assumed (*i.e.*,

$$\varepsilon_d = \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{SiO_2}$$
).



Fig. 7-5 Capacitance extraction for an interplane via structure; (a) interplane via through silicon substrate, surrounded by a thin insulator layer and (b) capacitance values for various via sizes and thicknesses of the insulator layer.

The interplane interconnects are therefore modeled as an assembly of horizontal interconnect segments with different impedance characteristics connected by interplane vias. This model is considerably different from the typical interconnect model used in a 2-D circuit, where a twoterminal net is modeled by a single segment with the same uniform distributed impedance throughout the interconnect length. An interplane interconnect connecting two circuits located on different physical planes is illustrated in Fig. 7-6. In the following sections, it is shown that the delay of this type of 3-D interconnect can be improved by appropriately placing the interplane vias.

7.2. Two-Terminal Nets with a Single Interplane Via

In this section, the simple case of an interplane two-terminal net connecting two circuits located on different physical planes including only one interplane via is considered. The delay model and the dependence of the delay on the via location are discussed in subsection 7.2.1. A physical explanation of the variation in delay with the via location is provided in subsection 7.2.2, whereas the optimum via location for different impedance characteristics of the interconnect segments is determined in subsection 7.2.3. The improvement in delay for this type of interconnect is demonstrated in subsection 7.2.4.

7.2.1. Elmore delay model of an interplane interconnect

As previously mentioned, due to the non-uniformity of the interconnects, each segment is modeled as a distributed *RC* line with different impedance characteristics, as shown in Fig. 7-6. The driver is modeled as a step input voltage source with a linear output resistance R_s , and the interconnect is terminated with a capacitive load C_L . The total resistance and capacitance of the horizontal segments 1 and 2 are $R_I = r_I l_I$, $R_2 = r_2 l_2$, and $C_I = c_I l_I$, $C_2 = c_2 l_2$, where r_I , r_2 , and c_I , c_2 , denote the resistance and capacitance, respectively, per unit length. The length of the two horizontal segments is l_I and l_2 , and the via length is l_{vI} . Since the via may span more than one physical plane, l_{vI} can be expressed as

$$l_{\nu 1} = (n-1)l_{\nu}, \qquad (7-1)$$

where *n* is the number of physical planes among the connected circuits and l_v is the length of the via connecting two metal layers located on two adjacent physical planes. This via length is determined by the fabrication process and can typically range from 10 µm to 200 µm [38], [106], [239]. The total length of the line can be expressed as

$$L = l_1 + l_{\nu_1} + l_2. \tag{7-2}$$



Fig. 7-6 Two-terminal interplane interconnect with single via and the corresponding electrical model.

Due to the non-uniform impedance characteristics of the line, the via location or, alternatively, the length of each horizontal wire segment affects the delay of the line. Thus, the objective is to place the via such that the interconnect delay is minimum. To analyze the delay of a line, the distributed Elmore delay model has been adopted due to the simplicity and high fidelity of this model [240]. The accuracy of the model can be further improved as discussed in [241]. However, unlike a single plane, more than one set of fitting coefficients is required in a 3-D system. The objective is to determine the via location or, alternatively, the length of segments 1 and 2, such that the distributed Elmore delay of the line is minimized.

The distributed Elmore delay for the system shown in Fig. 7-6 is

$$T_{el} = \frac{R_1 C_1}{2} + C_1 R_s + \frac{R_{\nu l} C_{\nu l}}{2} + C_{\nu l} (R_s + R_1) + \frac{R_2 C_2}{2} + C_2 (R_s + R_1 + R_{\nu l}) + C_L (R_s + R_1 + R_{\nu l} + R_2).$$
(7-3)

Substituting the total resistance and capacitance with the per unit length parameters, and using (7-1) and (7-2), the Elmore delay described in (7-3) is a function of the length of the first segment l_1 ,

$$T_{el}(l_1) = A_1 l_1^2 + A_2 l_1 + A_3, \qquad (7-4)$$

where

$$A_{1} = (1/2) (r_{1}c_{1} - 2r_{1}c_{2} + r_{2}c_{2}), \qquad (7-5)$$

$$A_{2} = R_{s}(c_{1} - c_{2}) + (n - 1)l_{v}(r_{1}c_{v1} - r_{v1}c_{2} + r_{2}c_{2} - r_{1}c_{2}) + L(r_{1}c_{2} - r_{2}c_{2}) + C_{L}(r_{1} - r_{2}), (7-6)$$

$$A_{3} = ((n - 1)l_{v})^{2} \left(\frac{r_{v1}c_{v1}}{2} - r_{v1}c_{2} + \frac{r_{2}c_{2}}{2}\right) + (n - 1)l_{v}((Lc_{2} + C_{L})(r_{v1} - r_{2}) + R_{s}(c_{v1} - c_{2})) + C_{L}(r_{s} + Lr_{2}) + R_{s}Lc_{2} + \frac{L^{2}r_{2}c_{2}}{2}.$$

$$(7-7)$$

Equation (7-4) describes a parabola, but the convexity is not guaranteed since A_1 can be negative. The second derivative of (7-4) with respect to l_1 is

$$\frac{d^2 T_{el}}{dl_1^2} = 2A_1. ag{7-8}$$

Depending upon the sign of A_l , the propagation delay of the line exhibits either a minimum or a maximum as l_l varies or, alternatively, as the location of the via along the line changes. The following notations are introduced to facilitate the analysis,

$$r_{21} = \frac{r_2}{r_1},\tag{7-9}$$

$$c_{12} = \frac{c_1}{c_2}.$$
 (7-10)

From (7-9) and (7-10), the second derivative is

$$\frac{d^2 T_{el}}{d l_1^2} = r_1 c_2 (r_{21} + c_{12} - 2).$$
(7-11)

Since r_1c_2 is always positive, the sign of (7-11) and, consequently, the timing behavior of the line only depends upon the sign of the term in the parentheses. For the propagation delay to be minimum, the following inequality should be satisfied,

$$r_{21} + c_{12} - 2 > 0. (7-12)$$

Note that the notations introduced in (7-9) and (7-10) describe resistance and capacitance ratios and, consequently, are dimensionless. If (7-12) is not satisfied, the delay of the line exhibits a maximum.

7.2.2. Interplane Interconnect Delay

In the previous subsection, (7-12) only depends on the impedance characteristics of the segments that constitute the line. The dependence of the delay on the impedance of the segments of the line is analyzed in this subsection. To better explain this dependence, consider initially an *RC* interconnect line of uniform impedance. An optimum wire shaping function of the propagation delay has been shown to exist for *RC* interconnects [242]. The optimum wire sizing function is a monotonically decreasing function of the width as illustrated in Fig. 7-7. This decrease in width occurs because close to the receiver the downstream capacitance is small and, therefore, this capacitance is charged by a larger resistance that results from the reduction in line width. Alternatively, the downstream capacitance close to the driver is large and, consequently, a small resistance charges the capacitance, due to the widening of the interconnect towards the driver.



Fig. 7-7 An example of interconnect sizing. (a) An interconnect of minimum width, W_{min} , (b) uniform interconnect sizing $W > W_{min}$, and (c) non-uniform interconnect sizing W = f(l).

An interplane interconnect with non-uniform impedance characteristics can be treated as a tapered uniform line consisting of only two segments. If r_0 and c_0 denote the resistance and capacitance per unit area, respectively, the resistance and capacitance per unit length of the segments of the interplane interconnect can be described as $r_1 = r_0/w_1$, $r_2 = r_0/w_2$, $c_1 = c_0w_1$, and $c_2 = c_0 w_2$, where w_1 and w_2 are the width of the segments of the line. Thus, r_{21} and c_{12} characterize the tapering factor of the line. If $r_{21} > 1$ and $c_{12} > 1$, the tapering factor is smaller than one and the delay of the line can be reduced by appropriately selecting the length of the line segments or, alternatively, the via location. These values of the impedance ratios r_{21} and c_{12} correspond to a decreasing shaping function of the interconnect which decreases the interconnect delay [242]. Consequently, the via location should be selected such that the shape of the interplane interconnect approaches the sizing function of a uniform interconnect comprised of only two segments. Note that the optimum placement of the via does not necessarily achieve the optimum tapering factor as described by the optimum wire sizing function, yet a significant reduction in the delay of the line is possible. In addition, as discussed in subsection 7.3.3, the optimum via placement can achieve a greater improvement in delay as compared to wire sizing for interplane interconnects. This behavior is mainly due to the non-uniform characteristics of the interconnect and the discontinuities that the interplane vias create in sizing the interconnect.

If $r_{21} > 1$ and $c_{12} < 1$, both the resistance and the capacitance per unit length of segment 2 are greater than the *RC* impedance of segment 1 and, typically, the optimum via location is such that the length of the segment with the higher impedance is minimized. The same relationship applies to the case where $r_{21} < 1$ and $c_{12} > 1$. If $r_{21} < 1$ and $c_{12} < 1$, a longer l_1 increases the resistance of the line, while a longer l_2 increases the capacitance of the line. The tapering factor becomes greater than one and the delay of the line exhibits a maximum. Consequently, the non-uniform impedance characteristics of the interplane interconnects, which is further enhanced by the impedance of the interplane vias, does not allow the process of placing a via to be treated as optimizing a convex function, which has been demonstrated for wire sizing [243]. This argument is analytically proven in Section 7.3.

7.2.3. Optimum Via Location

In subsection 7.2.1, the timing behavior of the line is shown to depend on the impedance characteristics of the segments that comprise the line (see expression (7-12)). Placing a via such that the delay is minimized based on the impedance of the interconnect segments is presented in this subsection. Note that while the timing behavior of the line with respect to the via location does not depend on the interconnect length, the via location that achieves the minimum delay depends upon the interconnect length.

From (7-4) - (7-7), the value of l_1 for which the delay exhibits an extremum, either minimum or maximum, is

$$l_{1} = -\left[\frac{(n-1)l_{\nu}(r_{1}c_{\nu 1} - r_{\nu 1}c_{2} + r_{2}c_{2} - r_{1}c_{2}) + R_{s}(c_{1} - c_{2}) + L(r_{1}c_{2} - r_{2}c_{2}) + C_{L}(r_{1} - r_{2})}{r_{1}c_{1} - 2r_{1}c_{2} + r_{2}c_{2}}\right].(7-13)$$

Since no constraints have been applied on the value of l_1 , the extreme point can occur for values other than within the physical domain of l_1 , *i.e.*, $l_1 \in [l_d, l_r]$ where $l_d = l_{min}$ and $l_r = L - l_{vl} - l_{min}$. l_{min} determine the minimum distance between a via and a cell, as constrained by the design rules of the fabrication process. The Lemma in Appendix B characterizes the optimum via location for various values of r_{21} , c_{12} , and l_1 .

Depending upon the sign of (7-11) and the value of l_1 in (7-13), the optimum via location is determined for each possible case:

A)
$$\frac{d^2 T_{el}}{dl_1^2} > 0$$
. If $l_1 \in [l_d, l_r]$, the propagation delay is minimum when l_l is the value described in

(7-13). Consequently, the via should be placed at a distance l_1 from the driver. SPICE measurements of the 50% propagation delay for a 600 µm line are illustrated in Fig. 7-8 versus the via location l_1 . Two observations can be made. First, that the delay exhibits a minimum and that the minima shift to the right as r_{21} increases. If $l_1 < l_d$, the via should be placed closest to the driver, while if $l_1 > l_r$, the via should be placed closest to the receiver.



Fig. 7-8 SPICE measurements of 50% propagation delay of a 600 µm line versus the via location l_1 for various values of r_{21} . The interconnect parameters are $r_1 = 79.5 \ \Omega/\text{mm}$, $r_{v1} = 5.7 \ \Omega/\text{mm}$, $c_{v1} = 6 \text{ pF/mm}$, $c_2 = 439 \text{ fF/mm}$, $c_{12} = 1.45$, $l_v = 20 \text{ µm}$, and n = 2. The driver resistance and load capacitance are $R_s = 50 \ \Omega$ and $C_L = 50 \text{ fF}$, respectively.

B) $\frac{d^2 T_{el}}{dl_1^2} < 0$. In this case, the delay of the line reaches a maximum for the value of l_1 as

described in (7-13). If $l_1 \in [l_d, l_r]$, according to the Lemma in Appendix B, for $l_1 < (l_r + l_d)/2$, the via should be placed closest to the receiver, while for $l_1 > (l_r + l_d)/2$, the via should be placed closest to the driver. In Fig. 7-9, SPICE measurements of the 50% propagation delay for a 600 µm line is shown as a function of the via location l_1 . Note that the delay reaches a maximum and that the maximum shifts to the right as r_{21} increases. If $l_1 < l_d$, the via should be placed closest to the driver.



Fig. 7-9 SPICE measurements of the 50% propagation delay for a 600 µm line versus the via location l_1 for various values of r_{21} . The interconnect parameters are $r_1 = 79.5 \ \Omega/\text{mm}$, $r_{v1} = 5.7 \ \Omega/\text{mm}$, $c_{v1} = 6$ pF/mm, $c_2 = 439$ fF/mm, $c_{12} = 0.46$, $l_v = 20$ µm, and n = 2. The driver resistance and load capacitance are R_S = 50 Ω and $C_L = 50$ fF, respectively.

C) $\frac{d^2 T_{el}}{dl_1^2} = 0$. If the second derivative equals zero, (7-4) becomes

$$T_{el}(l_1) = A_2 l_1 + A_3, \qquad (7-14)$$

which is a linear function of l_1 . The first derivative of (7-4) is equal to A_2 . For $A_2 < 0$, (7-4) is strictly decreasing, and the delay is minimum by placing the via closest to the receiver. For $A_2 >$ 0, (7-4) is strictly increasing, and the delay is minimum by placing the via closest to the driver. Note that the fundamental minimum distance of a via from a cell is technology dependent. In the special case where $r_{21} = c_{12} = 1$, from (7-6) and (7-7), $A_2 << A_3$ and the delay is independent of l_1 . However, as *n* increases, A_2 also increases and the choice of *n* affects the rate of change in the delay. This particular case corresponds to the model introduced in [129] to evaluate the performance of 3-D ICs, which does not capture the timing behavior of interplane interconnects in 3-D circuits as analyzed in cases A and B.

As illustrated in Figs. 7-8 and 7–9, the optimum via location shifts to the right (left) when r_{21} increases (decreases). To explain this behavior, consider the definitions of r_{21} and c_{12} in (7-9) and (7-10), where r_{21} (c_{12}) describes the resistance (capacitance) ratio of the corresponding horizontal segments. Referring to Fig. 7-8, both r_{21} and c_{12} are greater than one, which means that segment 1 is less (more) resistive (capacitive) than segment 2. Assuming that $r_{21} = 1$, the delay of the line decreases as the length of the more capacitive segment l_1 (*i.e.*, C_1) decreases. However, l_1 does not vanish because C_2 increases as l_1 decreases, approaching C_1 . Consequently, as l_1 is decreased beyond a certain distance, described in (7-13), the delay starts to increase. As r_{21} becomes greater than one, the optimum point occurs with increasing values of l_1 , although segment 1 is more capacitive than segment 2 ($c_{12} > 1$). This behavior occurs because the delay depends not only on the capacitance, but also on the current, which is controlled by the resistance of each segment. Due to the distributed nature of the impedance of the line, an increase in the resistance of the second segment (increasing r_{21}) can be compensated, such that the delay remains minimum, by reducing the capacitance that this resistance sees near the receiver (the upstream resistance). In the case where $c_{12} >> 1$ and $r_{12} << 1$, and where segment 1 is both more capacitive and resistive

than segment 2, l_1 becomes small to reduce the overall delay. In this case, (7-13) yields negative values for l_1 and is essentially zero (the via should be located next to the driver).

7.2.4. Improvement in Interconnect Delay

SPICE simulations of the 50% propagation delay of two-terminal nets with a single via are listed in Table 7-1 for various impedance characteristics. The interconnect propagation delay listed in columns 8, 9, and 11 corresponds to the cases where the via is optimally placed, the via is placed at the center of the interconnect, and at the maximum delay point. In column 10, the improvement in delay over the case where the via is placed at the center of the line is reported, while the improvement in delay over placing the via at the endpoints of the line is listed in column 12. If the delay exhibits a minimum according to (7-11), the maximum delay point coincides with one of the endpoints of the interconnect, otherwise the maximum delay point is described by (7-13). The delay analysis considers relatively short interconnect lengths where repeaters cannot reduce the interconnect delay, and therefore optimally placing the vias is the primary way to decrease the delay of such interconnects.

 TABLE 7-1
 SPICE SIMULATION RESULTS FOR TWO-TERMINAL INTERCONNECTS WITH A SINGLE

 INTERPLANE VIA.

Length [µm]	$R_{S}[\Omega]$	$C_L[fF]$	$r_1 [\Omega/mm] c$	2 [fF/mm]	r_{21}	c_{12}	T_{opt}	T_{center}	Impr [%]	T_{max}	Impr [%]
200	100	50	159.5	239	3.50	1.55	19.79	20.02	1.16	20.76	4.90
200	100	50	159.5	239	2.85	1.85	19.89	20.08	0.96	20.44	2.77
300	120	100	93.8	387	2.88	1.67	36.03	36.30	0.75	37.62	4.41
300	120	100	93.8	387	2.88	1.35	34.56	34.80	0.69	35.92	3.94
400	100	100	75.8	287	2.15	2.87	29.30	34.98	19.39	42.34	44.51
400	100	100	75.8	287	3.70	0.77	24.74	28.94	16.98	33.34	34.76
500	30	100	23.8	287	1.50	2.57	54.02	63.37	17.31	72.58	34.36
500	30	100	23.8	287	3.35	2.37	58.18	58.69	0.88	59.77	2.73
500	30	100	23.8	287	3.15	0.87	51.09	54.83	7.32	59.02	15.52
Average I	mprover	nent							7.27		16.43

Note that the improvement in delay achieved by optimally placing the via is considerable for those cases where the optimum location coincides with the endpoints of the interconnect. This large improvement occurs because in these cases the minimum delay point as described by (7-13)

occurs at values between the endpoints of the interconnect, *i.e.*, [0, L]. For these instances, the propagation delay has an almost linear dependence (with large slope) on the via location and, therefore, the improvement in delay is significant. Alternatively, for those instances where the optimum occurs for positive values smaller than the interconnect length L, the variation in the delay improvement is small as the delay exhibits a parabolic form, and consequently the rate of change in the delay with via location is small.

For several interconnect instances the optimum location is either close to the receiver or the driver. Routing congestion therefore causes some of the interplane vias to be placed at non-optimal locations. In addition, the improvement in delay that can be achieved varies considerably with the interconnect parameters. In Figs. 7–10 and 7–11, the decrease in the improvement in delay resulting from the non-optimal placement of the vias is illustrated for a 500 μ m interplane interconnect. The ratios r_{21} and c_{12} range from 0.1 to 5 and the interplane via is placed 50 μ m from the optimum location.

A non-negligible reduction in the improvement in delay can occur from the non-optimal placement of the via for a 50 µm departure from the optimal location. In addition, the decrease in improvement is greater for those values of r_{21} and c_{12} , where the optimum is at the endpoints of the line. For the optimum location corresponding to points along the interconnect length, the decrease in the delay improvement is small as depicted by the approximately flat portion of the surface in Figs. 7-10 and 7-11. In addition, the dependence of the delay improvement on the capacitance ratio c_{12} is in general greater than the dependence on the resistance ratio r_{12} . For low values of the driver resistance, the dependence of the delay improvement on r_{12} is significant, as shown in Fig. 7-10. Increasing the driver resistance lowers this dependence as depicted in Fig. 7-11, as the term $R_s \sum_i C_i$ dominates the delay of the line.



Fig. 7-10 Decrease in the delay improvement caused by the non-optimal placement of the interplane via for a 500 µm interconnect. The interconnect parameters are $r_1 = 23.5 \ \Omega/\text{mm}$, $r_{vI} = 270 \ \Omega/\text{mm}$, $c_{vI} = 270 \ \text{fF/mm}$, $c_2 = 287 \ \text{fF/mm}$, $l_v = 15 \ \mu\text{m}$, and n = 2. The driver resistance and load capacitance are $R_S = 30 \ \Omega$ and $C_L = 100 \ \text{fF}$, respectively.

This dependence shows that for large drivers, even if the interconnect is almost uniform ($r_{21} \approx$ 1), considerable savings in delay can be achieved by optimally placing the via. Furthermore, as larger drivers are used to reduce the interconnect delay, the improvement in delay becomes greater. If small drivers are utilized, the savings in delay is primarily obtained from the difference in the capacitance of the segments, even if $c_{12} \approx 1$. Additionally, a slight decrease in the maximum degradation of the improvement in delay that occurs from a non-optimal via placement can be observed in Figs. 7–9 and 7-10. This decrease occurs due to the increase in the driver resistance, which is considered fixed and can greatly affect the interconnect delay, while the delay variation due to the impedance characteristics of the segments is reduced. A similar behavior applies for the capacitance ratio c_{12} where the load capacitance is increased. In the following section, two-terminal nets with multiple interplane vias are investigated.



Fig. 7-11 Decrease in the delay improvement due to the non-optimal placement of the interplane via for a 500 µm interconnect. The interconnect parameters are $r_1 = 23.5 \Omega/\text{mm}$, $r_{vI} = 6.7 \Omega/\text{mm}$, $c_{vI} = 270$ fF/mm, $c_2 = 287$ fF/mm, $l_v = 15$ µm, and n = 2. The driver resistance and load capacitance are $R_S = 100 \Omega$ and $C_L = 100$ fF, respectively.

7.3. Two-Terminal Interconnects with Multiple Interplane Vias

In the previous section, the case where a two-terminal interconnect includes only one interplane via is analyzed. Due to routing obstacles and placed cells, however, a stacked interplane via that spans multiple physical planes may not be possible. In addition, in the previous analysis, no constraints on the location of the via is imposed. This situation is rather impractical since existing interconnects and active devices may not permit an interplane via to be placed at a particular location along the interconnect line. In addition, since these vias penetrate the device layers, certain regions are reserved for interplane via placement to minimally disrupt the location of the transistors.

Due to these reasons, a two terminal interplane interconnect in a 3-D circuit consists of multiple interplane vias where each one of these vias is placed within a certain physical interval. A schematic of an interplane interconnect connecting two circuits located n planes apart is illustrated in Fig. 7-12. The horizontal segments of the line are connected through the vias, which can traverse more than one plane. Consequently, the number of horizontal segments within the interconnect m is smaller or at most equal to the number of physical planes between the two circuits, *i.e.* $n \ge m$, where the equality only applies when each of the vias connects metal layers from two adjacent physical planes.

Each horizontal segment *j* of a line is located on a different physical plane with length l_j . The vias are denoted by the index of the first of the two connected segments. For example, if a via connects segment *j* and *j*+1, the via is denoted as v_j with length l_{vj} . Note that planes *j* and *j*+1 are not necessarily physically adjacent. The total length of the line *L* is equal to the summation of the length of the horizontal segments and vias,

$$L = l_1 + l_{v1} + \dots + l_j + l_{vj} + \dots + l_n .$$
(7-15)

The length of each horizontal segment of a line is bounded,

$$l_{j\min} \le l_j \le l_{j\min} + \Delta x_j, \text{ for } j = 1,$$
(7-16a)

$$l_{j\min} \le l_{j} \le \Delta x_{j-1} + l_{j\min} + \Delta x_{j} \text{ for } j \in [2, m-1],$$
 (7-16b)

$$l_{j\min} \le l_j \le l_{j\min} + \Delta x_{j-1}, \text{ for } j = m,$$
 (7-16c)

and the via placement is also constrained,

$$0 \le x_j \le \Delta x_j , \tag{7-17}$$

where l_{jmin} denotes the minimum length of the interconnect segment on plane *j*, and Δx_j is the length of the interval in where the via that connects planes *j* and *j*+1 is placed. This interval

length is called the "allowed interval" here for clarity. x_j is the distance of the via location from the edge of the allowed interval.



Fig. 7-12 Interplane interconnect consisting of *m* segments connecting two circuits located *n* planes apart.

 l_{jmin} is the length of an interconnect segment connecting two allowed intervals or an allowed interval and a placed cell. These lengths are considered fixed. Alternatively, the routing path of a net is not altered except for the via location within the allowed interval. Each horizontal segment is assumed to be on a single metal layer within the physical plane. In the case where a horizontal segment is on more than one layer, as the outcome of a layer assignment algorithm [244], the problem can be approached in two different ways. The intraplane vias can be treated as additional variables where the location of these vias needs to be determined. This formulation requires, however, the generation of additional allowed intervals, specifically for the intraplane vias. Alternatively, the first and last section of the segment connected to the interplane vias remains as a variable while the remaining sections of the horizontal segment constitute the minimum length of segment l_{jmin} , which is constant.

The distributed Elmore delay model is used to determine the delay of these interconnects and the corresponding electrical model of the line is depicted in Fig. 7-13. The related notation is listed in Table 7-2. The distributed Elmore delay of a two-terminal interconnect in matrix form is

$$T(\mathbf{l}) = 0.5 \, \mathbf{l}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{b} \mathbf{l} + D \,, \tag{7-18}$$

$$\mathbf{l} = \begin{bmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & \cdots & l_{m-1} & l_m \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(7-19)

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 c_1 & r_1 c_2 & \cdots & r_1 c_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ r_1 c_m & r_2 c_m & \cdots & r_m c_m \end{bmatrix},$$
(7-20)

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} c_{vi} l_{vi} + C_L \right) + c_1 R_S \\ \vdots \\ r_m C_L + c_m \left(R_S + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_{vi} l_{vi} \right) \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}, \qquad (7-21)$$

$$D = R_{S} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} c_{vi} l_{vi} + C_{L} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_{vi} l_{vi} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_{vi} c_{vi} l_{vi}^{2} + R_{S} C_{L} .$$
(7-22)

Since (7-22) is a constant quantity, the optimization problem can be described as follows,

(P) **minimize** $T(\mathbf{l}) = 0.5 \mathbf{l}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{b} \mathbf{l}$,

TABLE 7-2

-2 NOTATION FOR TWO-TERMINAL INTERPLANE INTERCONNECTS.

Notation	Definition
R_S	Driver resistance
C_L	Load capacitance
$r_j(c_j)$	Resistance (capacitance) per unit length of horizontal segment j
$r_{vj}(c_{vj})$	Resistance (capacitance) per unit length of interplane via v_j
$R_j(C_j)$	Total interconnect resistance (capacitance) of horizontal segment j
R_{vj} (C_{vj})	Total interconnect resistance (capacitance) of interplane via v_j
R_{u_j}	Upstream resistance of the allowed interval for via v_j
C_{d_j}	Downstream capacitance of the allowed interval for via v_j
As described by the following theorem, the primal problem (P) is typically not convex and therefore convex quadratic programming optimization techniques are not directly applicable.

Theorem 1: The primal optimization problem (P) is convex iff

$$r_{j+1}c_j - r_jc_{j+1} > 0. (7-23)$$

Proof: **A** is a positive definite matrix if all subdeterminants are positive. By elementary row operations, the subdeterminants of **A** are positive *iff* (7-23) applies. If (7-23) applies, **A** is positive definite and (P) is a convex optimization problem.

Note that condition (7-23) must be satisfied for every segment *j* such that (P) is a convex optimization problem. Due to the heterogeneity of 3-D circuits, (7-18) typically is of indefinite quadratic form. Therefore, convex quadratic programming techniques may not produce the global minima. Certain transformations can be applied to convert (P) into a convex optimization problem [245]; the objective functions, however, are no longer quadratic. Alternatively, (P) can be treated as a geometric programming problem. Geometric programs include optimization problems for functions and inequalities of the following form,

$$g(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} s_j y_1^{a_{1j}} y_2^{a_{2j}} \cdots y_n^{a_{nj}}, \qquad (7-24)$$

$$s_{j} y_{1}^{a_{1j}} y_{2}^{a_{2j}} \cdots y_{n}^{a_{nj}} \le 1,$$
(7-25)

where the variables y_j 's and coefficients s_j 's must be positive and the exponents a_{ij} 's are real numbers. Although equality constraints are not allowed in standard geometric problems, (P) can be solved as a generalized geometric program as described in [246] where a globally optimum solution is determined. Alternatively, by considering the particular characteristics of the optimization problem, an efficient heuristic is proposed for placing the interplane vias.



Fig. 7-13 Interplane interconnect model composed of a set of non-uniform distributed RC segments.

7.3.1. Two-Terminal Via Placement Heuristic

In this section, a heuristic for the near-optimal interplane via placement of two-terminal nets that include several interplane vias is described. The key concept in the heuristic is that the optimum via placement depends primarily upon the size of the allowed interval (that is estimated or known after an initial placement) rather than the exact location of the via. Consider the interplane interconnect line shown in Fig. 7-12, where the optimum location for via j connecting interconnect segments j and j+1 is to be determined. With respect to this via, the critical point

$$(i.e., \frac{\partial T_{el}}{\partial x_{j}} = 0) \text{ of the Elmore delay is}$$

$$x_{j} = -\left[\frac{l_{vj}(r_{j}c_{vj} - r_{vj}c_{j+1} + r_{j+1}c_{j+1} - r_{j}c_{j+1}) + R_{uj}(c_{j} - c_{j+1}) + \Delta x_{j}(r_{j} - r_{j+1})c_{j+1} + C_{dj}(r_{j} - r_{j+1})}{r_{j}c_{j} - 2r_{j}c_{j+1} + r_{j+1}c_{j+1}}\right], (7-26)$$

where R_{uj} and C_{dj} are the upstream resistance and downstream capacitance, respectively, of the allowed interval for via *j* (see also Table 7-2), as shown in Fig. 7-12. The Elmore delay of the line with respect to x_j can be either a convex or a concave function. The remaining discussion in this section applies to the case where the Elmore delay of the line is a convex function with respect to x_j . A similar analysis can be applied for the concave case.

In (7-26), the optimum via location x_j^* is a monotonic function of R_{uj} and C_{dj} . The sign of the monotonicity depends upon the interconnect impedance parameters of the segments *j* and *j*+1 connected by via *j*. As the size of the allowed intervals for all of the vias is constrained by (7-17), the minimum and maximum value of R_{uj} and C_{dj} can be readily determined, permitting the values

of x_j^* for these extrema, $x_{j\min}^*$ and $x_{j\max}^*$, to be evaluated. Due to the monotonic dependence of x_j on R_{uj} and C_{dj} , the optimum location for via j, x_j^* , lies within the range delimited by $x_{j\min}^*$ and $x_{j\min}^*$. The following four cases can be distinguished,

- (i) if $x_{j\max}^* \le 0$, $x_j^* = 0$, and the optimum via location coincides with the lower bound of the interval as defined by (7-17).
- (*ii*) if $x_{j\min}^* \ge \Delta x_j$, $x_j^* = \Delta x_j$, and the optimum via location coincides with the upper bound of the interval as defined by (7-17).
- (*iii*) if $\Delta x_j \ge x_{j\min}^* \ge 0$ and $\Delta x_j \ge x_{j\max}^* \ge 0$, the bounded interval as defined by (7-17) reduces to

$$0 \le x_{j\min}^* \le x_j \le x_{j\max}^* \le \Delta x_j.$$
(7-27)

In this case, the via location cannot be directly determined. However, by iteratively decreasing the range of values for x_j^* , the optimal location for via *j* can be determined.

The following example is used to demonstrate that the physical domain for x_j^* iteratively decreases to a single point, the optimum via location. A detailed analysis of the heuristic is provided in Appendix C. Consider segments *i*, *j*, and *k* depicted in Fig. 7-12, where segments *i* and *k* are located upstream and downstream of segment *j*, respectively. From (7-16) and (7-17), the minimum and maximum values of $R_{ui}^{\ 0}$, $R_{uj}^{\ 0}$, $R_{uk}^{\ 0}$, $C_{di}^{\ 0}$, $C_{dj}^{\ 0}$, and $C_{dk}^{\ 0}$ are determined, where the superscript represents the number of iterations. Assume that x_{\min}^{*0} and x_{\max}^{*0} are obtained from (7-26) to satisfy (7-27) for all of three segments *i*, *j*, and *k*. As the range of values for the via location of segments *i* and *k* decreases according to (7-27), the minimum (maximum) value of the upstream resistance and downstream capacitance of segment *j* increases (decreases), *i.e.*, $R_{uj}^{\ 0} < R_{uj}^{\ 1}$, $R_{uj}^{\ 0} = R_{uj}^{\ 0}$, and $C_{dj}^{\ 1}$. Due to the monotonicity of x_j^{*} on R_{uj} and C_{dj} , $x_{j\min}^{*0} < x_{j\min}^{*1}$ and $x_{j\max}^{*1} < x_{j\max}^{*0}$. The range of values for x_j^{*} therefore also decreases and, typically, after two or three iterations, the optimum location for the corresponding via is determined. In Fig. 7-14, the convergence of the heuristic at the optimum via location is depicted for one via of a two-terminal interconnect. For this example, $c_j > c_{j+1}$ and $r_j > r_{j+1}$, and, therefore, $x_{j\min}^{*} = f(R_{j\min}, C_{j\min})$ and $x_{j\max}^{*} = f(R_{j\max}, C_{j\max})$. As shown in Fig. 7-14, the heuristic converges to the optimum via location within several iterations.



Fig. 7-14 Case *(iii)* of the two-terminal net heuristic. The allowed interval is iteratively decreased such that the optimum via location is eventually determined.

(*iv*) if $x_{j\min}^* \leq 0$ and $x_{j\max}^* \geq \Delta x_j$, the via location cannot be directly determined. Additionally, the bounding interval cannot be reduced. Consequently, some loss of optimality occurs. This departure from the optimal, however, is typically smaller than a few per cent for all of the tested conditions as shown by the results presented in subsection 7.3.3.

The variation between the extrema of the upstream resistance and downstream capacitance due to the relatively small size of the allowed interval of the via placement ensures that a significant variation between the values $x_{j\min}^*$ and $x_{j\max}^*$ does not occur for most interconnects. To justify this argument, the extreme values of x_j^* are plotted in Fig. 7-15 for the fourth interplane via of a two-terminal net considered to traverse eight planes. This example represents a significant variation in the extreme values of x_j^* , due to the location of the via and the large number of vias along the interconnect. Indeed, the large number of vias (seven in this example) implies a considerable variation in the value of the downstream capacitance and upstream resistance, particularly for the fourth via. Note that the last via of the interconnect, for instance, only sees a variation in the upstream resistance. As shown in Fig. 7-15, less than 1% of the interconnect instances yield such boundary values for x_j^* such that the inequalities $x_{j\min}^* \leq 0$ and $x_{j\max}^* \geq dx_j$ are satisfied. This small per cent (1% in this case) is similar or smaller for the other vias of the interconnect due to the smaller variation in the upstream resistance or downstream capacitance. In addition, the inequalities, $x_{j\min}^* \leq 0$ and $x_{j\max}^* \geq dx_j$, are typically satisfied, where the size of the allowed intervals for the remaining vias. A non-optimal via placement for that interconnect segment does not significantly affect the overall delay of the line.

Furthermore, for case *(iv)* to occur, there should be at least two vias for which an optimum location cannot be found. Indeed, if all but one of the vias are placed, the exact value of the upstream (downstream) resistance (capacitance) for the non-optimized via can be obtained and, therefore, the location of that via can be determined from (7-26). The non-optimal placement of a via does not necessarily affect the optimal placement of the remaining vias. For example, any via placed according to the criteria described in *(i)* and *(ii)* is not affected by the placement of the remaining vias. Therefore, as noted earlier, the size of the allowed intervals rather than the exact location of the vias is the key factor in determining the optimum via locations. Based on this heuristic, an algorithm for placing a via in two-terminal nets is presented in the following subsection, along with simulation and analytic results for such interconnects.



Fig. 7-15 A subset of interconnect instances depicted by the dashed lines for case *(iv)* of the via placement heuristic. The interconnect traverses eight planes and has a length L = 1.455 mm. The resistance r_j and capacitance c_j of each interconnect segment range from 10 Ω /mm to 50 Ω /mm and 100 fF/mm to 500 fF/mm, respectively.

7.3.2. Two-Terminal Via Placement Algorithm

The heuristic described in the previous subsection has been used to implement an algorithm that exhibits an optimal or near-optimal via placement for two-terminal interplane interconnects in 3-D ICs, with significantly lower computational time as compared to geometric programming solvers. The pseudocode of the two-terminal via placement algorithm (TTVPA) is illustrated in Fig. 7-16. The input to the algorithm is a description of the interplane interconnect where the minimum length of the segments and the size of the allowed intervals are provided. In the first step of the algorithm, the array of the maximum and minimum upstream (downstream) resistance (capacitance) for every allowed interval is determined. In the following steps, for each unprocessed via, the range of values for the optimum via location as given by (7-26) is evaluated. In step five, these values are compared to the inequalities described in the previous subsection. If an optimal via location is determined in this step, the via is marked as processed and the

capacitance and resistance arrays are updated. If, after a number of iterations, there are nonoptimal vias, in step 14 these vias are placed at the center of the corresponding allowed intervals and the algorithm terminates. Other criteria, such as routing congestion, can alternatively be applied to place non-optimal vias rather than placing these vias at the center of the corresponding allowed intervals. Further criteria can be considered to search for the optimum location of the non-optimal vias, trading off runtime with accuracy.



Fig. 7-16 Pseudocode of the proposed Two-Terminal Net Via Placement Algorithm (TTVPA).

7.3.3. Application of the Via Placement Technique

The via placement algorithm has been applied to several interconnect instances to validate the accuracy and efficiency of the heuristic. Both SPICE simulations and optimization results are provided. Two-terminal interplane interconnects for different numbers of physical planes are analyzed. The impedance characteristics of the horizontal segments and vias are extracted for several interconnect structures using a commercial impedance extraction tool [238]. Copper interconnect has been assumed with an effective resistivity of 2.2 $\mu\Omega$ -cm. Based on the extracted impedances, the resistance and capacitance of the horizontal segments range from 25 Ω /mm to

125 Ω /mm and 100 fF/mm to 300 fF/mm, respectively, for a 90 nm technology node [247], [248]. The cross section of the vias is 1 µm × 1 µm, with 1 µm spacing from the surrounding horizontal metal layers assuming, a silicon on insulator (SOI) process as described in [139]. For all of the interconnect structures, the total and minimum length of each horizontal segment is randomly generated. For simplicity, all of the vias connect the segments of two adjacent physical planes (*i.e.*, m = n).

Delay simulations are reported in Table 7-3. The delay of the line T_{center} , where the vias are placed at the center of the allowed intervals, is listed in column 2. The delay T_{rnd} , listed in column 3, corresponds to the line delay for random via placement. The minimum interconnect delay T_{min} , where the vias are optimally placed, is listed in column 4. The via locations or, equivalently, the length of the horizontal segments, are determined from the via placement algorithm. The improvement in delay as compared to the case where the vias are placed at the center of the line is listed in column 5. The number in parentheses corresponds to the improvement in delay over a random via placement. Note that the variation in the improvement in delay changes significantly for those listed instances, although the interconnect lengths are similar and the load capacitance and driver resistance are the same. This considerable variation demonstrates the strong dependence of the line delay on the impedance characteristics of the segments of the line and supports modeling the interplane interconnect as a group of non-uniform segments. Additionally, depending upon the impedance characteristics of the line segments, placing a via at the center of the allowed intervals is, for certain instances, near-optimal, explaining why the improvement in delay is not significant in these instances. The same characteristic applies to those cases where a random placement is close to the optimum placement. Nevertheless, as listed in Table 7-3, an improvement of up to 32% is observed for relatively short interconnects, demonstrating that an optimum via placement can significantly enhance the speed of 3-D circuits (in addition to the primary benefit of reduced wire length and therefore lower power).

TABLE 7-3 SPICE SIMULATION RESULTS DEMONSTRATING THE DELAY SAVINGS ACHIEVED BY NEAR OPTIMAL VIA PLACEMENT. THE RESISTANCE AND CAPACITANCE PER UNIT LENGTH OF THE VIAS ARE $r_{vi} = 6.7$ Ω/mm and $c_{vi} = 6$ pF/mm, respectively. The length of the vias is $l_{vi} = 20$ µm. The driver resistance is $R_s = 15 \Omega$ and the load capacitance is $C_L = 100$ fF. The length of the allowed intervals is $\Delta x_i = 200$ µm.

Length [µm	a] T _{center} [ps]	T _{rnd} [ps]	T _{min} [ps]	Improvement [%]	п			
1017	12.35	12.64	11.42	8.14 (10.68)	4			
1180	13.37	14.42	12.33	8.43 (16.95)	4			
849	11.00	11.71	10.27	7.11 (14.02)	4			
969	13.52	14.96	12.12	11.55 (23.43)	4			
967	12.38	12.59	11.72	5.63 (7.42)	4			
1612	18.54	19.85	17.24	7.54 (15.14)	5			
1537	20.80	19.47	19.37	7.38 (0.52)	5			
1289	17.78	18.43	16.45	8.09 (12.04)	5			
1443	18.77	19.54	18.07	3.87 (8.14)	5			
1225	16.97	18.33	15.62	8.64 (17.35)	5			
2118	30.52	34.81	26.44	15.43 (31.66)	7			
2130	27.92	27.32	25.94	7.63 (5.32)	7			
1961	28.49	30.67	26.16	8.91 (17.24)	7			
2263	35.58	40.11	31.31	13.64 (28.11)	7			
2174	32.31	30.34	29.16	10.80 (4.05)	7			
	Average Improvement 8.85 (14.14)							

The two-terminal via placement algorithm is compared both in terms of optimality and efficiency to two optimization solvers. The first solver, YALMIP [249], is a general optimization solver that supports geometric programming while GLOPTIPOLY [250] is an optimization solver for non-convex polynomial functions. Due to the excessive computational time of GLOPTIPOLY (greater than three orders of magnitude slower than YALMIP), only comparisons with YALMIP are reported. Optimization results are listed in Table 7-4 for different values of Δx ranging from 50 µm to 300 µm.

As reported in column 9 of Table 7-4, TTVPA exhibits high accuracy as compared to YALMIP. These results are independent of the number of planes that comprise the 3-D interconnect, demonstrating that TTVPA yields optimum solutions for most interconnect instances. In addition, for those cases where some of the vias are not optimally placed, the loss of

optimality is insignificant (as previously discussed). In column 8, the runtime ratio of YALMIP to TTVPA is listed. TTVPA is approximately two orders of magnitude faster than YALMIP. The complexity of TTVPA has an almost linear dependence on the number of interplane vias. As depicted in Fig. 7-16, each via is typically processed once; otherwise, a maximum of two to five iterations is required to place a via. In all of the experiments, the algorithm terminates within a number of iterations smaller than five times the total number of vias within the interconnect.

TABLE 7-4OPTIMIZATION RESULTS FOR VARIOUS TWO-TERMINAL INTERPLANE INTERCONNECTS AND
NUMBERS OF PHYSICAL PLANES *n*.

	Average			Delay Impro	vement [%]		YALMIP/TTVPA		
п	Interconnect	Δx_i 's	Vias placed	d at the center	Random vi	a placement	Runtime ratio	Max. error	Instances
	Length [µm]	[μm]	Avg	Max	Avg	Max	×times	[%]	
3	270	50	3.36	11.10	5.88	22.24	141.7	0.005	10000
3	520	100	4.59	17.63	5.02	17.63	148.6	0.008	10000
3	1020	200	5.90	23.12	10.27	47.08	145.6	0.013	10000
4	405	50	4.02	13.01	6.00	25.97	209.4	0.006	10000
4	781	100	5.26	16.95	7.91	34.10	574.3	0.003	10000
4	996	100	3.45	9.87	5.37	20.05	95.5	0.008	5000
4	1155	150	5.94	21.61	8.89	44.46	125.4	0.011	10000
4	1302	200	6.31	22.76	9.87	46.91	241.6	0.021	5000
4	1600	300	8.73	26.85	12.58	55.91	111.4	0.025	5000
5	540	50	4.48	13.73	6.16	27.49	112.14	0.005	10000
5	1040	100	5.69	17.97	7.79	35.82	335.40	0.012	10000
5	1541	150	6.35	22.36	8.63	46.26	549.35	0.017	10000
5	1277	100	3.91	10.84	5.57	22.04	93.1	0.003	5000
5	1684	200	7.06	19.65	10.09	41.28	226.4	0.009	5000
5	2076	300	9.77	26.74	14.27	55.45	90.1	0.009	5000
7	1840	100	4.64	12.81	6.21	25.16	84.6	0.008	5000
7	2440	200	8.26	23.77	11.07	47.56	89.0	0.002	5000

As shown in Table 7-4, the savings in delay from the near-optimal via placement strongly depends upon the length of the allowed intervals. For example, doubling the length of the allowed intervals for via placement increases almost twofold the maximum improvement in delay. As the length of the allowed intervals increases, the constraints in (7-16) are relaxed and a greater benefit from optimally placing the vias is achieved.

The effect of the non-uniformity of the interplane interconnects on the improvement in delay is graphically illustrated in Fig. 7-17, where the improvement in delay for interplane interconnects spanning four and five physical planes is depicted. The average savings in delay of highly non-

uniform interconnects (*i.e.*, $r_{(j+1)} / r_j = 1 - 10$ and $c_j / c_{(j+1)} = 1 - 10$) can be significant, approaching 10% and 13% for a moderately sized length, where the vias are placed at the center of the allowed intervals and are randomly placed, respectively. The maximum improvement can exceed 60%, as shown in Fig. 7-17.



Fig. 7-17 Average and maximum improvement in delay for different range of interconnect segment resistance and capacitance ratios. (a) The vias are placed at the center of the allowed intervals and (b) the vias are randomly placed.

The length of most of the interconnects listed in Tables 7-1 to 7-4 is such that repeater insertion cannot improve the performance of these interconnects. Alternatively, as mentioned earlier, wire sizing or a via placement technique can be utilized to improve the speed of these interconnects. Wire sizing is a well known technique to reduce interconnect delay. Wire shaping, however, is not always feasible due to routing congestion or obstacles such as placed cells. Additionally, as the interconnect is widened to lower the interconnect resistance, the capacitance and, consequently, the power consumption of the interconnect increases.

The wire sizing algorithm described in [251] has been applied to several interconnects to improve the line delay. The interconnect length is divided equally among the horizontal segments

that constitute the interconnect. For the same interconnects, the line delay where the width is minimum and the vias are optimally placed is also determined. In Fig. 7-18, the average interconnect delay for both the via placement and wire sizing technique is shown. The instance where the optimum via placement outperforms wire sizing (and vice versa) is depicted. The average delay improvement ranges from 6.23% for n = 4 to 17.8% for n = 5, justifying that via placement can be an effective delay reduction technique for interplane interconnects in 3-D circuits, without requiring additional area. The primary reason wiring sizing does not achieve a significant delay reduction is due to the via impedance characteristics and because the vias cannot be sized as aggressively as the horizontal segments. Furthermore, via sizing is not particularly desirable as wider vias decrease the via density or, equivalently, the number of interplane interconnects that can be routed throughout a 3-D system.



Fig. 7-18 Comparison of the average Elmore delay based on wire sizing and optimum via placement techniques. The instance where the optimum via placement outperforms wire sizing (and vice versa) is also depicted.

In Fig. 7-19, the normalized average power consumption (NAPC) for various interconnects is illustrated. The crosshatched bar corresponds to minimum width interconnects and horizontal segments of equal length. The white bar considers those interconnects where the horizontal segments are of equal length and wire sizing has been applied. The NAPC where the line segments are of minimum width and optimum length is depicted by the gray bar. The TTVPA technique dissipates lower power as compared to the other two approaches. Wire sizing increases the capacitance of the line, resulting in an NAPC greater than the optimum via placement technique. Note that although the NAPC is lowest for optimally placed vias, the capacitance and thus the NAPC is not minimum as the time constant for the interconnect also depends upon the resistance of the line. Due to this dependence, the capacitance is not designed to be minimum width to avoid an increase in the interconnect resistance. The reduction in NAPC is of considerable importance due to pronounced thermal effects in 3-D circuits, as discussed in Chapter 6.



Fig. 7-19 Normalized average power consumption for minimum width and equal length, wire sizing and equal length, and minimum width and optimum via placement.

From the results presented in this section, exploiting the non-uniform impedance characteristics of the interplane interconnects when placing the vias can improve the delay of the lines. This improvement in delay can decrease the number of repeaters required to drive a global line or eliminate repeaters in semi-global lines. In addition, wire sizing can be avoided, thereby saving significant power. Decreasing the number of repeaters and avoiding wide lines reduces the overall power consumption, which is an important advantage in 3-D circuits due to thermal issues.

The overhead caused by placing the vias is the additional effort for placement and routing to generate an allowed interval for the vias, which increase the routing congestion. Other techniques, however, also require similar if not greater overhead. Repeaters, for example, consume silicon area, dissipate power, and block the metal layers within a physical plane when driving nets on the topmost metal layer. Wire sizing requires routing resources reserved for wider interconnect segments. A discussion on placing vias for the important class of multi-terminal nets is deferred to the following chapter.

7.4. Summary

A technique for the timing-driven placement of interplane vias in two-terminal 3-D interconnects is presented in this chapter. The key points of this technique can be summarized as follows:

- Interplane interconnect models of 3-D circuits vary considerably from traditional 2-D interconnect models. This deviation is due to several reasons, such as the heterogeneity of 3-D circuits, diverse fabrication technologies, and the variety of bonding styles.
- For an interplane interconnect that includes only one interplane via, a closed-form solution is provided for placing a via that minimizes the Elmore delay.
- For interplane interconnect comprising multiple vias, conditions are provided that minimize the delay of a line by placing interplane vias.

- Geometric programming can be utilized to produce the globally optimum solution of the via placement problem.
- An accurate and efficient heuristic as compared to geometric programming solvers is described. The fundamental concept of the heuristic is that via placement depends primarily on the size of the allowed intervals where the vias can be placed, not on the precise location of the other vias along the line.
- The improvement in delay depends upon the size of the allowed intervals and the interconnect impedance characteristics.
- The proposed via placement technique is compared to a wire sizing technique and exhibits a greater average improvement in interconnect performance. Wire sizing cannot effectively handle the non-uniformity of the interplane interconnects and the discontinuities due to the interplane vias.
- The via placement technique decreases the dynamic power consumed by the interconnects as compared to wire sizing techniques, since wider lines are not necessary.
- Timing-driven via placement can be an alternative to repeater insertion to improve the speed of 3-D interconnect systems.

Chapter 8. Timing Optimization for Multi-Terminal Interconnects

A significant improvement in the performance of two-terminal interplane interconnect in 3-D circuits is demonstrated in the previous chapter. A technique which accurately determines the via location that minimizes the delay of a two-terminal interconnect is described and applied to numerous interconnects. Multi-terminal interconnects, however, constitute a significant portion of the interconnects in an integrated circuit. Improving the performance of these nets in 3-D circuits is a challenging task as the sinks of these interconnects can be located on different physical planes. In addition to decreasing the delay, a timing optimization technique should not significantly affect the routed tree. In this chapter, a via placement technique for interplane trees is presented. The task of placing the vias in an interplane tree to decrease the delay of a tree is described in the following section. A heuristic solution to this problem is described in Section 8.2. Algorithms based on this heuristic are presented in Section 8.3. The application of these algorithms to various interplane trees is discussed in Section 8.4. Finally, a summary is provided in Section 8.5.

8.1. Timing-Driven Via Placement for Interplane Interconnect Trees

The problem of placing vias in interplane trees to decrease the delay of these trees is investigated in this section. A simple interplane interconnect tree (also called an interconnect tree for simplicity) is illustrated in Fig. 8-1a, while some related terminology is listed in Table 8-1. The sinks of the tree are located on different physical planes within a 3-D stack. Sub-trees not directly connected to the interplane vias which do not contain any interplane vias (*i.e.*, intraplane trees) are also shown. The interconnect segments from each physical plane are denoted by a solid line of varying thickness. Different objective functions can be applied to optimize the performance of such an interconnect structure. In this chapter, the weighted summation of the distributed Elmore delay of the branches of an interconnect tree is considered as the objective function,

$$T_{w} = \sum_{\forall s_{pq}} W_{s_{pq}} T_{s_{pq}} , \qquad (8-1)$$

where w_{spq} and T_{spq} are the weight and distributed Elmore delay of sink s_{pq} , respectively. The weights are assigned to the sinks according to the criticality of the sinks. For a via connecting multiple interconnect segments, or equivalently, for a via with degree greater than two, there are several candidate directions d_i 's along which the delay can be decreased. The placement of vias along these directions is constrained by the lengths l_{di} 's, as shown in Fig. 8-1b, where the l_{di} 's are not generally equal. In addition, vias can span more than one physical plane. For example, consider the via connecting sinks s_{23} and s_{33} . This via traverses two physical planes, where the allowed interval for placing the via can be different for each plane.

Three different types of moves for an interplane via are defined. A *type-1* move is shown in Fig. 8-2a. This type of move requires the insertion of an intraplane via (to preserve connectivity), as depicted by a dot in Fig. 8-2a. In the following analysis, the effect of these additional intraplane vias on the delay of the tree is assumed to be insignificant. The impedance characteristics of the intraplane vias are assumed to be considerably lower than the impedance characteristics of the interplane vias [237], particularly if bulk CMOS technology is used for the upper planes. Alternatively, this effect can be included by appropriately shrinking the length of the allowed interval of the interplane via.



Fig. 8-1 Interplane interconnect tree, (a) typical interplane interconnect tree and (b) intervals and directions that the interplane via can be placed.



Fig. 8-2 Different interplane via moves, (a) *type-1* move (allowed), (b) *type-2* move (allowed), and (c) *type-3* move (prohibited).

A *type-2* move is shown in Fig. 8-2b. A *type-2* move differs from a *type-1* move in that an additional interconnect segment of length Δl is inserted. Although an additional interconnect segment is required for this type of move, a reduction in the delay of the tree can occur. The segments of length Δl illustrated in Fig. 8-2b are located on the same *y*-coordinate but on different physical planes, yet are shown on different coordinates for added clarity.

Another type of move is illustrated in Fig. 8-2c, where additional interplane vias are inserted, and is denoted as a *type-3* move. This type of move is not permitted for two reasons. The additional interplane vias outweigh the reduction in delay resulting from optimizing the length of

the connected segments due to the high impedance characteristics of the interplane vias. Additional interplane vias also increase the vertical interconnect density which is undesirable. The routing congestion also increases as these vias typically block the metal layers within a plane, adversely affecting the length of the allowed intervals for the remaining nets.

Notation	Definition
R_S	Driver resistance
C_L	Load capacitance
$r_j(c_j)$	Resistance (capacitance) per unit length of horizontal segment j
$r_{vj}(c_{vj})$	Resistance (capacitance) per unit length of interplane via v_j
$R_{j}\left(C_{j} ight)$	Total interconnect resistance (capacitance) of horizontal segment <i>j</i>
$R_{vj}\left(C_{vj} ight)$	Total interconnect resistance (capacitance) of interplane via v_j
R_{u_j}	Upstream resistance of the allowed interval of via v_j
$R_{u_{ij}}$	Common upstream resistance of the allowed interval of via v_i and v_j
d_i	Candidate direction for a <i>type-2</i> move
C_{d_j}	Total downstream capacitance of the allowed interval of via v_i (in every direction d_i)
$P_{s_{pq}}$	Path from root of the tree to sink s_{pq}
$P_{s_{pq}v_j}$	Path to sink s_{pq} including v_j in every candidate direction
U_{kj}	Set of vias located upstream v_j up to v_k , including v_k and belonging to at least one path $P_{s_{pq}v_j}$
$\overline{P_{s_{pq}v_j}}$	Path to sink s_{pq} that does not include v_j
$\overline{P_{s_{pq}U_{kj}}}$	Path to sink s_{pq} that does not include any of the vias in the set U_{kj}
$P_{s_{pq}v_jd_i}$	Path to sink s_{pq} that includes v_j and belongs to direction d_i
$\overline{P_{s_{pq}v_jd_i}}$	Path to sink s_{pq} including v_j in every candidate direction except for d_i
$C_{dv_j d_i}$	Downstream capacitance of the allowed interval of via v_j for the paths $P_{s_{pq}v_jd_i}$
$C_{dv_j\overline{d_i}}$	Downstream capacitance of the allowed interval of via v_j for the paths $\overline{P_{s_{pq}v_jd_i}}$

 TABLE 8-1
 NOTATION FOR TWO-TERMINAL NETS AND INTERCONNECT TREES.

As with two-terminal nets, certain constraints on the total length of each source to sink path apply to interconnect trees,

$$L_{s_{pq}} = l_1 + l_{v1} + \dots + l_j + l_{v_j} + \dots + l_{v_{n-1}} + l_n, \qquad (8-2)$$

where l_j and l_{vj} are the length of the horizontal segment *j* and via v_j , respectively, which describe the path from the root of the tree to the sink s_{pq} . The number of segments that constitute this sink is denoted as *n*. The constraint in (8-2) is adapted to consider any increase in wirelength that can result from a *type-2* move for some branches of the tree. In addition, the length of each segment of the tree and the via placement are also constrained by

$$l_{j\min} \le l_j + l_{di,j-1} + l_{j\min} + l_{di,j}, \forall i \in \{w, e, s, n\}, \forall j \in [2, n-1] and \ l_{di,0} = l_{di,n} = 0,$$

$$0 \le x_j \le l_{di,j}, \forall i \in \{w, e, s, n\}.$$
(8-4)

Consequently, the constrained optimization problem for placing a via within an interplane interconnect tree can be described as

(P1) **minimize**
$$T_w$$
,

subject to (8-2)-(8-4), \forall sink s_{pq} and via v_j .

With similar reasoning as for two-terminal nets, (P1) typically includes an indefinite quadratic form $\mathbf{I}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{I}$, where \mathbf{A} is the matrix described in (7-20) adapted for interconnect trees. Certain transformations can be applied to convert (P1) into a convex optimization problem [245]; the objective functions, however, are no longer quadratic. Alternatively, accurate heuristics are described in the following section to determine the location of the vias that minimizes the delay of the interplane interconnect trees.

8.2. Multi-Terminal Interconnect Via Placement Heuristics

Near-optimal heuristics for placing vias in interconnect trees in 3-D circuits are presented in this section. Initially, a heuristic for minimizing the weighted delay of the sinks of an interplane tree is described in Section 8.2.1. A second heuristic for optimizing the delay of a critical path in an interplane tree is discussed in Section 8.2.2.

8.2.1. Interconnect Trees

In this section, placing an interplane via within an interconnect tree in a 3-D circuit to minimize the summation of the weighted Elmore delay of the branches of the tree is investigated. Since several moves for the interplane vias are possible, as discussed in Section 8.1, the expressions that determine the via location are different in multi-terminal nets. To determine which type of move for those vias with a connectivity degree greater than two will yield a decrease in the delay of the tree, the following conditions apply.

Condition 1: If $r_j > r_{j+1}$, only a *type-1* move for v_j can reduce the delay of the tree.

Proof: The proposition is analytically proven in Appendix D. The condition can also be intuitively explained. A *type-2* move increases by Δl the length of segment *j*. The reduction in l_{j+1} is counterbalanced by the additional segment with length Δl on the *j*+1 plane (see Fig. 8-2b). Consequently, the total capacitance of the tree increases. If *condition 1* is satisfied, a *type-2* move also increases the total resistance of the tree and, therefore, the delay of the tree will only increase by this via move.

Condition 2: For a candidate direction d_i , if $r_j < r_{j+1}$ and

$$\sum_{\forall s_{pq} \in \overline{P_{s_{pq}v_{j}d_{i}}}} w_{s_{p}}(r_{j} + r_{j+1})C_{dv_{j}\overline{d_{i}}} \le \sum_{\forall s_{pq} \in P_{s_{pq}v_{j}d_{i}}} w_{s_{p}}(r_{j+1} - r_{j})C_{dv_{j}d_{i}} , \qquad (8-5)$$

is satisfied, a *type-2* move can reduce the delay of the tree.

Proof: This condition is also intuitively demonstrated. All of the interconnect segments located upstream from v_j see an increase in the capacitance by $c_j \Delta l$, increasing the delay of each downstream sink v_j . Consequently, only a reduction in the resistance can decrease the delay of the tree. Alternatively, the sinks located downstream of via v_j on the candidate direction d_i see a reduction in the upstream resistance by $(r_j - r_{j+1})\Delta l < 0$, while the sinks downstream of via v_j on the other directions see an increase in the upstream resistance by $(r_j + r_{j+1})\Delta l$. For a *type-2* move,

resulting in a decrease in the delay of the tree, both the weighted sum of these two components as determined by the weight of the sinks and the downstream capacitances must be negative.

Condition 2 is evaluated for each via of a tree with degree greater than two. If (8-5) is satisfied for more than one direction, the direction that produces the greatest value of the RHS of (8-5) is considered the optimum direction for that via. Finally, note that both *conditions 1* and 2 are only necessary and not sufficient conditions. Following the notation listed in Table 8-1, the critical point for a via connecting two segments on planes *j* and j+1 and satisfying *condition 1* is

$$x_{type-1} = \left[\frac{\left(\sum_{v_i \in U_{1j}} \sum_{s_m \in P_{s_m U_{ij}}} w_{s_m} R_{u_{ij}} + \sum_{s_p \in P_{s_p v_j}} w_{s_p} R_{u_j} \right) (c_{j+1} - c_j) - l_{v_j} (r_j c_{v_j} - r_{v_j} c_{j+1})}{\sum_{s_p \in P_{s_p v_j}} w_{s_p} (r_j c_j + r_{j+1} c_{j+1} - 2r_j c_{j+1})} + \frac{(r_j - r_{j+1}) (c_{j+1} l_{d_w} + c_{dv_j})}{\sum_{s_p \in P_{s_p v_j}} w_{s_p} (r_j c_j + r_{j+1} c_{j+1} - 2r_j c_{j+1})} \right].$$
(8-6)

For a *type-2* move along a candidate direction d_i , the critical point for a via connecting two segments on planes *j* and *j*+*l* is

$$x_{type-2} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{s_p \in P_{s_p v_j d_i}} w_{s_p} r_{j+1} (C_{dv_j d_i} + c_{j+1} l_{d_i}) - \sum_{v_i \in U_{1j}} \sum_{s_m \in P_{s_m U_{ij}}} w_{s_m} R_{u_{ij}} c_k \\ \hline \sum_{s_p \in P_{s_p v_j}} w_{s_p} (r_j c_j + r_{j+1} c_{j+1}) \\ - \sum_{s_p \in P_{s_p v_j}} w_{s_p} (r_j c_{v_j} - c_{j+1} l_{d_i} + C_{d_j} + r_{j+1} C_{dv_j \overline{d_i}} + R_{u_j} c_k) \\ \hline \sum_{s_p \in P_{s_p v_j}} w_{s_p} (r_j c_j + r_{j+1} c_{j+1}) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(8-7)

8.2.2. Single Critical Sink Interconnect Trees

There are cases where the delay of only one branch of a tree is required to be optimized. Although the heuristic presented in the previous section can be used for this type of tree, a computationally simpler, yet accurate, optimization procedure for single critical net trees is described here. Denoting by s_c the critical sink of the tree, the weight for this sink w_{sc} is one, while the assigned weight for the remaining sinks are zero. Consequently, the expression that minimizes the delay is significantly simplified. In addition, the approach is different as compared to the optimization problem discussed in the previous section. More specifically, those interplane vias that belong to the critical branch (the on path vias) are placed according to the heuristic for two-terminal nets. There is no need to test *conditions 1* and *2* for these vias, as any *type-2* move only occurs in the direction that includes the critical sink. Regarding those vias that are not part of the critical path (the off path vias), these vias are placed to minimize the capacitance of the tree. A simple interconnect tree depicting this terminology is illustrated in Fig. 8-3. This situation occurs because the non-critical sinks of the tree only contribute as capacitive loads to the delay of the critical sink.



Fig. 8-3 Simple interconnect tree, illustrating a critical path ($w_3 = 1$) and on path and off path interplane vias.

The location of the off path vias is readily determined since the impedance characteristics of the interconnect segments are known. Note that in this sense, the placement of the off path vias is always optimal. Any loss of optimality is due to the location of the on path vias. As the nearoptimal two-terminal net heuristic is used to place the on path vias, the loss of optimality is negligible. In the following section, these heuristics are used to develop efficient algorithms for placing vias in multi-terminal nets in 3-D ICs.

8.3. Via Placement Algorithms for Interconnect Trees

Efficient near-optimal algorithms for placing vias among interplane interconnects are presented in this section. Based on the aforementioned heuristic described in Section 8.2.1, an efficient algorithm for interplane interconnect trees is presented in Section 8.3.1. A second algorithm that places interplane vias to minimize the delay for the particular case of interconnect trees with a single critical branch is discussed in Section 8.3.2.

8.3.1. Interconnect Tree Via Placement Algorithm (ITVPA)

The via placement optimization algorithm for multi-terminal nets is presented in this section. The input to the algorithm is an interplane interconnect tree where the minimum length of the segments, the weight of the sinks, and the length of the allowed intervals are provided. Pseudocode of the algorithm is shown in Fig. 8-4. Due to the different types of moves that are possible in interplane interconnect trees, the candidate direction for via placement is initially determined in steps one through five. The *move_type* routine operates from a leaf to the root, where the type and direction of the move of each via of the tree has degree greater than two. *Conditions 1* and *2* are tested for each via and direction. For those vias at the last level of the tree (close to the sinks), the downstream capacitance is determined. Alternatively, for those vias that belong to the next level closer to the root, the downstream capacitance cannot be accurately determined, and (8-5) is evaluated for the extreme values of C_{dy} . If (8-5) is satisfied for only one of these extrema, a via can be placed along a non-optimal direction, resulting in loss of optimality. Such instances, however, are not typically encountered, as discussed in the following

section. In step six, the *optimize_tree_delay* routine places the vias within a tree such that (8-1) is minimized. This routine is a slight modification of the algorithm used for two-terminal nets.

```
Interconnect Tree Via Placement Algorithm: (1<sub>min</sub>, Δx, 1<sub>di</sub>, w<sub>si</sub>)
1. foreach physical plane i, i = n → 1
2. foreach interplane via j on plane i
3. if via_degree > 2
4. move_type(j)
else
5. goto step 2
6. optimize_tree_delay()
7. exit
```

Fig. 8-4 Pseudocode of the Interconnect Tree Via Placement Algorithm (ITVPA).

8.3.2. Single Critical Sink Interconnect Tree Via Placement Algorithm (SCSVPA)

Although the heuristic presented in Section 8.2.2 can be used to improve the delay of trees with a single critical path, a simpler optimization procedure for single critical net trees is described in this section. The input to the single critical sink via placement algorithm (SCSVPA) is a description of the interplane interconnect tree where the minimum length of the segments, the weight of the sinks, and the length of the allowed intervals are provided. Pseudocode of the algorithm is shown in Fig. 8-5. In steps one to three, each of the off path vias is placed at the minimum capacitance location within the corresponding allowed interval. The direction, which includes the critical sink of the tree, is set by the *direction_move* routine as the direction along which the on path vias can be placed. In step five, the *optimize_tree_delay* routine is utilized to determine the location of the on path vias. As previously mentioned, any loss of optimality for this type of tree results from the heuristic that places the via in two-terminal nets. As described in Chapter 7, this heuristic produces results similar to optimization solvers. SCSVPA naturally exhibits significantly lower computational time as compared to general purpose solvers.



Fig. 8-5 Pseudocode of the near-optimal Single Critical Sink interconnect tree Via Placement Algorithm (SCSVPA).

8.4. Via Placement Results and Discussion

These algorithms are applied to several example interplane interconnect trees to evaluate the efficiency and accuracy. Trees for different numbers of planes and sinks are analyzed. A discussion of the limitations of these algorithms is provided in this section. The impedance characteristics of the horizontal segments and vias are extracted for several interconnect structures using a commercial impedance extraction tool [238]. Copper interconnect is assumed with an effective resistivity of 2.2 $\mu\Omega$ -cm. Based on the extracted impedances, the resistance and capacitance of the horizontal segments range from 25 Ω /mm to 125 Ω /mm and 100 fF/mm to 300 fF/mm, respectively, for a 90 nm CMOS technology node [247], [248]. The cross section of the vias is 1 μ m × 1 μ m, with 1 μ m spacing from the surrounding horizontal metal layers, assuming an SOI process as described in [139]. The total and minimum length of each horizontal segment is randomly generated for each of the interconnect structures. For simplicity, all of the vias connect the segments of two adjacent physical planes. The savings in delay that can be achieved by optimally placing the vias is listed in Table 8-2 for different via placement scenarios.

In Table 8-2, the optimization results for interconnect trees with various numbers of sinks and planes are reported. The accuracy and efficiency of ITVPA is similar to that of TTVPA (discussed in Chapter 7) as the optimization routine for ITVPA is the same as in TTVPA, after a move type and direction has correctly been determined. As mentioned in Section 8.3.1, a non-optimal direction can be selected to place a via. A non-optimal direction for via placement,

In Table 8-3, the optimum location for via v_2 is listed for various weights. From these values, note that a *type-2* move for via v_2 occurs only when branch s_2 dominates the delay of the tree. When the assigned weight of the branches of the tree are of similar value, a *type-2* move does not occur as such a move would only increase the interconnect delay of the tree as determined by the ITVPA (*i.e.*, $x_j^* = \Delta x_j$). Consequently, if the weight of the sinks, which originate from a via, are similar for a *type-2* move, the algorithm does not allow the via to be relocated.



Fig. 8-6 A symmetric tree including two interplane vias. The interconnect parameters are $r_1 = 10.98$ Ω/mm , $r_2 = 11.97 \Omega/\text{mm}$, $r_3 = 96.31 \Omega/\text{mm}$, $c_1 = 147.89 \text{ fF/mm}$, $c_2 = 202 \text{ fF/mm}$, $c_3 = 388.51 \text{ fF/mm}$, and allowed interval $l_{di,v2} = 75 \mu\text{m}$.

			Avg.		Delay improvement [%]					
п	Number	Avg. branch	maximum	l _{di} 's [µm]	$x_i^* =$	l _{di} / 2	x_i^*	= 0	Instances	
	oj sinks	iengin [µm]	branch length [μm]		Avg	Max	Avg	Max		
3	4	153	186	50	2.72	9.33	3.79	11.25	10000	
3	4	307	376	100	4.23	15.17	6.03	17.94	10000	
4	4	208	273	50	1.11	3.53	2.49	5.63	5000	
4	4	828	1100	200	3.12	10.29	6.42	13.50	5000	
4	4	1243	1650	300	4.07	14.15	7.76	19.38	5000	
4	8	431	569	100	3.90	13.24	7.71	19.68	10238	
5	4	264	362	50	1.25	3.83	2.40	5.89	5000	
5	4	1054	1452	200	3.62	11.55	6.56	12.04	5000	
5	4	791	1089	300	3.90	11.61	6.95	19.34	5000	
5	8	454	660	50	0.90	2.69	2.27	4.98	5000	
5	8	521	738	100	1.78	5.55	4.33	8.40	5000	
5	8	779	1111	150	2.38	7.44	5.67	11.90	5000	
5	8	1038	1481	200	2.91	8.71	6.74	12.58	5000	
6	8	306	455	50	1.11	3.17	2.36	4.89	5000	
6	8	615	913	100	2.00	5.44	4.09	9.85	5000	
6	8	922	1373	150	2.72	7.01	5.43	11.72	5000	
6	8	921	1371	200	3.32	10.02	6.61	14.21	5000	
6	16	555	845	50	0.86	2.74	2.52	4.95	4970	
6	16	637	934	100	1.68	4.82	4.84	9.26	5059	
6	16	953	1404	150	2.28	6.10	6.32	12.96	5021	

NUMBER OF SINKS AND PHYSICAL PLANES *n*.

TABLE 8-3OPTIMAL VIA LOCATION, DIRECTION OF MOVE, AND TYPE OF MOVE FOR VIA v_2 SHOWN INFIG. 8-6, AS DETERMINED FROM ITVPA FOR VARIOUS VALUES OF w_1 AND w_2 .

w_I	W_2	$x_{v2}^{*}[\mu m]$	Move	Direction, d_i	w_{l}	w_2	$x_{v2}^{*}[\mu m]$	Move	Direction, d_i
0.50	0.05	Δx_{v2}	type-1	d_0	0.45	0.55	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d_1
0.55	0.45	Δx_{v2}	type-1	d_0	0.40	0.60	Δx_{v2}	type-1	d_0
0.60	0.40	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d_1	0.35	0.65	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d_2
0.65	0.35	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d_1	0.30	0.70	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d ₂
0.70	0.30	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d ₁	0.25	0.75	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d ₂
0.75	0.25	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d_1	0.20	0.80	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d ₂
0.80	0.20	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d ₁	0.15	0.85	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d ₂
0.85	0.15	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d_1	0.10	0.90	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d ₂
0.90	0.10	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d ₁	0.05	0.95	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d ₂
0.95	0.05	Δx_{v2}	type-2	d ₁	0.03	0.97	68.70	type-2	d ₂

The improvement in delay of the interconnect trees is listed in columns 6 through 9 of Table 8-2. The results are compared to the case where the vias are initially placed at the center of the allowed interval (*i.e.*, $x_i = l_{di}/2$) and to the case where the vias are placed at the lower edge of the allowed interval (*i.e.*, $x_i = 0$). The improvement in delay depends upon the length of the allowed interval. This dependence, however, is weak as compared to two-terminal nets. In addition, the improvement in delay is lower than point-to-point nets for the same allowed length intervals. This reduction in delay improvement occurs for two reasons.

For those vias with degree greater than two, which constitute the majority of interplane vias in interconnect trees, after the type of move for each via is determined, the actual interval length that these vias are allowed to move is l_{di} / 2 and not l_{di} (see Fig. 8-2). Furthermore, in ITVPA, any modifications to the routing tree are strictly confined within the allowed interval such that least affects the routing tree. This constraint requires an additional interconnect segment for *type-2* moves. If this constraint is relaxed, an additional interconnect segment is not necessary and the length of the interconnect segments can be further reduced, resulting in a considerably greater improvement in speed. Maintaining fixed paths limits the efficiency of the algorithms; however, these algorithms are applicable to placement and routing tool for 3-D ICs as long as these tools provide an allowed interval for via placement. In addition, interconnect routing can consider other important design objectives such as thermal effects or routing congestion. These algorithms for placing vias in multi-terminal nets can be applied as a subsequent post processing step without significantly affecting the initial layout produced by existing tools.

In Table 8-4, placement results for single critical branch interconnect trees are reported. The improvement in the delay of these trees is listed in columns 6 through 9 of Table 8-4, as compared to the situation where the vias are initially placed at the center of the allowed interval (*i.e.*, $x_i = l_{di}/2$) and where the vias are placed at the lower edge of the allowed interval (*i.e.*, $x_i = 0$). This improvement is lower than for those interconnect trees listed in Table 8-4 as only *type-1* moves can occur for the off path vias. Indeed, any *type-2* move for the off path via only increases the off path capacitance and, in turn, the delay of the critical leaf. A smaller number of vias can, therefore, be relocated to reduce the delay of the single critical sink trees. Alternatively, for off path vias, placing the via at the center of the allowed intervals usually produces an optimum placement, resulting in a smaller overall improvement in the delay of this type of tree, as is

demonstrated by the test structures. This situation occurs because *type-2* moves for the off path vias are not allowed since a *type-2* move results in an increase in the off path capacitance.

Typically, the larger the allowed interval, the greater the improvement in delay. Consequently, efficient placement tools for 3-D circuits that generate sufficiently large allowed intervals are desired. These intervals can be available space reserved for interplane interconnect routing. For interconnect trees, the improvement in delay is smaller than for two-terminal nets. This decreased improvement in delay is due to the constraint of placing the vias within the allowed intervals in order to minimally affect the local routing congestion. If the placement of the vias is permitted within an entire region (*e.g.*, the bounding box of the net), a greater decrease in delay can occur. Assigning such a region for placing vias, however, increases the congestion within a 3-D circuit as the same number of vias compete for sparser routing resources.

Despite the considerably lower computational time of these algorithms, further speed improvements can be achieved if more than one net are simultaneously processed. Although these algorithms support multiple net optimization without significant modification, a single net at a time approach likely yields improved results as the most critical nets are routed first. Net ordering algorithms [20] can be used to prioritize the routing of these interconnects, permitting the delay of these nets to be considerably reduced. Additionally, since the number of interplane interconnects is small as compared to the number of intraplane interconnects [122], processing these interconnects one net at a time will not significantly increase the total computational time.

Thermal issues are important in 3-D ICs as discussed in Chapter 6, where additional dummy vias are utilized to control the average and peak temperature of the upper planes within a 3-D system. Additionally, thermally aware cell placement improves the heat distribution and removal characteristics. These two techniques are decoupled from the via placement problem which is

considered a later step in the design process. Consequently, thermal issues are not strongly connected with the via placement approach.

TABLE 8-4	OPTIMIZATION RESULTS FOR VARIOUS SINGLE CRITICAL SINK INTERCONNECT TREES FOR
	DIFFERENT NUMBER OF SINKS AND PHYSICAL PLANES <i>n</i> .

	Mumber	Aug hannah	Avg. maximum		D				
n	Number of	Avg. branch	branch length	Δx_i 's [μm]	$x_i^* = \Delta$	$x_i^* = \Delta x_i / 2$		$x_i^* = 0$	
	SINKS	iengin [µm]	[µm]		Avg	Max	Avg	Max	
4	4	341	453	50	2.72	8.95	3.70	14.63	5000
4	4	1021	1363	150	1.61	5.52	2.18	11.01	5000
4	4	1368	1821	200	1.36	5.49	1.92	8.59	5000
5	4	433	595	50	3.09	9.37	4.55	19.44	5000
5	4	1299	1790	150	1.80	5.55	2.85	13.42	5000
5	4	1734	2391	200	1.51	5.66	2.44	11.35	5000
5	8	427	612	50	2.53	8.10	4.09	16.20	5000
5	8	853	1227	100	1.94	7.22	2.98	12.63	5000
5	8	1282	1845	150	1.57	6.55	2.46	14.04	5000
5	8	1711	2461	200	1.33	4.81	2.25	10.44	5000
6	8	505	753	50	2.88	8.90	4.39	17.8	5000
6	8	1009	1512	100	2.14	6.10	3.45	15.20	5000
6	8	1511	2265	150	1.71	5.46	2.83	12.16	5000
6	16	523	779	50	2.52	8.29	4.54	13.25	4963
6	16	1045	1564	100	1.91	6.69	3.10	10.53	4977
6	16	1563	2351	150	1.55	5.36	2.96	12.37	4976

In the techniques discussed in Chapter 6, the thermal vias are placed in the available space among the blocks with either uniform or non-uniform densities. Alternatively, some of the thermal vias within this available space can be replaced with signal vias connecting circuits on different planes. Placing the signal vias prior to placing the thermal vias within these regions results in large allowed intervals, thereby improving the effectiveness of this via placement technique. In this case, where the via placement can significantly enhance the thermal profile of a physical plane, the allowed interval for some vias can be decreased or removed. Such a practice, however, trades off performance for thermal management.

8.5. Summary

Algorithms for the timing-driven placement of interplane interconnect trees is presented in this chapter. The primary characteristics of these algorithms are:

- Several types of moves exist for interplane vias, requiring the insertion of either intraplane or interplane vias. Moves that require additional interplane vias are excluded to maintain a low interplane via density.
- The weighted summation of the delay at the sinks of a tree is the objective function that is minimized.
- A two step heuristic is presented. The direction of the move for each via is initially determined followed by the second step, where the via is placed to minimize the delay.
- Another heuristic for placing interplane vias within trees to minimize the delay of the critical path is provided.
- Both of these heuristics exhibit linear complexity with the number of interplane vias.
- The improvement in delay depends upon the size of the allowed intervals for placing each via.

Chapter 9. 3-D Topologies for Networks-on-Chip

Networks-on-chip (NoC) is a developing design paradigm to enhance interconnections with complex integrated systems. These networks have an interconnect structure which provide internet-like communication among various elements of the network; however, on-chip networks differ from traditional interconnection networks in that communication among the network elements is implemented through the on-chip routing layers rather than the metal tracks of the package or printed circuit board (PCB).

NoC offer high flexibility and regularity, supporting simpler interconnect models and greater fault tolerance. The canonical interconnect backbone of the network combined with appropriate communication protocols enhance the flexibility of these systems [30]. NoC provide communication among a variety of functional intellectual property (IP) blocks or processing elements (PE), such as processor and DSP cores, memory blocks, FPGA blocks, and dedicated hardware, serving a plethora of applications that include image processing, personal devices, and mobile handsets, [252]-[254] (the terms IP block and PEs are interchangeably used in this chapter to describe functional structures connected by a NoC). The intra-PE delay, however, cannot be reduced by the network. Furthermore, the length of the communication channel is primarily determined by the area of the PE, which is typically unaffected by the network structure. By merging vertical integration with NoC, many of the individual limitations of 3-D ICs and NoC are circumvented, yielding a robust design paradigm with unprecented capabilities.

Research in 3-D NoC is only now emerging [220], [255]-[257]. Addo-Quaye [220] recently presented an algorithm for the thermal-aware mapping and placement of 3-D NoC including

regular mesh topologies. Li *et al.* [257] proposed a similar 3-D NoC topology employing a buss structure for communicating among PEs located on different physical planes. Targeting multi-processor systems, the proposed scheme in [257] considerably reduces cache latencies by utilizing the third dimension. Multi-dimensional interconnection networks have been studied under various constraints, such as constant bisection-width and pin-out constraints [258]. NoC differ from generic interconnection networks, however, in that NoC are not limited by the channel width or pin-out. Alternatively, physical constraints specific to 3-D NoC, such as the number of nodes that can be implemented in the third dimension and the asymmetry in the length of the channels of the network, have to be considered.

In this chapter, various possible topologies for 3-D NoC are presented. Additionally, analytic models for the zero-load latency and power consumption with delay constraints of these networks that capture the effects of the topology on the performance of 3-D NoC are described. Optimum topologies are shown to exist that minimize the zero-load latency and power consumption of a network. These optimum topologies depend upon a number of parameters characterizing both the router and the communication channel, such as the number of ports of the network, the length of the communication channel, and the impedance characteristics of the interconnect. Various tradeoffs among these parameters that determine the minimum latency and power consumption topology of a network are investigated for different network sizes.

Several interesting topologies, which are the topic of this chapter, emerge by incorporating the third dimension in NoC. In the following section, various topological choices for 3-D NoC are reviewed. In Section 9.2, an analytic model of the zero-load latency of traditional interconnection networks is adapted for each of the proposed 3-D NoC topologies, while the power consumption model of these network topologies is described in Section 9.3. In Section 9.4, the 3-D NoC topologies are compared in terms of the zero-load network latency and power consumption with

delay constraints, and guidelines for the optimum design of speed driven or power driven NoC structures are provided. Finally, some conclusions are offered in Section 9.5.

9.1. 3-D NoC Topologies

Various topologies for 3-D networks are presented and related terminology is introduced in this section. Mesh structures have been a popular network topology for conventional 2-D NoC [259], [260]. A fundamental element of a mesh network is illustrated in Fig. 9-1a, where each processing element (PE) is connected to the network through a router. A PE can be integrated either on a single physical plane (2-D IC) or on several physical planes (3-D IC). Each router in a 2-D NoC is connected to a neighboring router in one of four directions. Consequently, each router has five ports. Alternatively, in a 3-D NoC, the router typically connects to two additional neighboring routers located on the adjacent physical planes. The architecture of the router is considered here to be a canonical router with input and output buffering [261]. The combination of a PE and router is called a network node. For a 2-D mesh network, the total number of nodes N is $N = n_1 \times n_2$, where n_i is the number of nodes included in the *i*th physical dimension.

Integration in the third dimension introduces a variety of topological choices for NoCs. For a 3-D NoC as shown in Fig. 9-1b, the total number of nodes is $N = n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3$, where n_3 is the number of nodes in the third dimension. In this topology, each PE is on a single yet possibly different physical plane (2-D IC – 3-D NoC). Alternatively, a PE can be implemented on only one of the n_3 physical planes of the system and, therefore, the 3-D system contains $n_1 \times n_2$ PEs on each one of the n_3 physical planes such that the total number of nodes is *N*. This topology is discussed in [220] and [257]. A 3-D NoC topology is illustrated in Fig. 9-1c, where the interconnect network is contained within one physical plane (*i.e.*, $n_3 = 1$), while each PE is integrated on multiple planes, notated as n_p (3-D IC – 2-D NoC). Finally, a hybrid 3-D NoC based on the two previous topologies is depicted in Fig. 9-1d. In this NoC topology, both the interconnect network and the PEs can span more than one physical plane of the stack (3-D IC - 3-D NoC). In the following section, latency expressions for each of the NoC topologies are described, assuming a zero-load model.

9.2. Zero-Load Latency for 3-D NoC

In this section, analytic models of the zero-load latency of each of the 3-D NoC topologies are described. The zero-load network latency is widely used as a performance metric in traditional interconnection networks [262]. The zero-load latency of a network is the latency where only one packet traverses the network. Although such a model does not consider contention among packets, the zero-load latency model can be used to describe the effect of a topology on the performance of a network. The zero-load latency of an NoC with wormhole switching is [262]

$$T_{network} = hops \cdot t_r + t_c + \frac{L_p}{b}, \qquad (9-1)$$

where the first term is the routing delay, t_c is the propagation delay along the wires of the communication channel, which is also called a buss here for simplicity, and the third term is the serialization delay of the packet. *hops* is the average number of routers that a packet traverses to reach the destination node, t_r is the router delay, L_p is the length of the packet in bits, and b is the bandwidth of the communication channel defined as $b \equiv w_c f_c$, where w_c is the width of the channel in bits and f_c is the inverse of the propagation delay of a bit along the longest communication channel.

Since the number of planes that can be stacked in a 3-D NoC is constrained by the target technology, n_3 is also constrained. Furthermore, n_1 , n_2 , and n_3 are not necessarily equal. The average number of hops in a 3-D NoC is
$$hops = \frac{n_1 n_2 n_3 (n_1 + n_2 + n_3) - n_3 (n_1 + n_2) - n_1 n_2}{3(n_1 n_2 n_3 - 1)},$$
(9-2)

assuming dimension-order routing such that the minimum distance paths are used for the routing of packets between any source-destination node pair. The number of hops in (9-2) can be divided into two components, the average number of hops within the two dimensions n_1 and n_2 , and the average number of hops within the third dimension n_3 ,

$$hops_{2-D} = \frac{n_3(n_1 + n_2)(n_1n_2 - 1)}{3(n_1n_2n_3 - 1)},$$
(9-3)



$$hops_{3-D} = \frac{(n_3^2 - 1)n_1n_2}{3(n_1n_2n_3 - 1)}.$$
(9-4)

Fig. 9-1 Various NoC topologies (not to scale), (a) 2-D IC – 2-D NoC, (b) 2-D IC – 3-D NoC, (c) 3-D IC – 2-D NoC, and (d) 3-D IC – 3-D NoC.

The delay of the router t_r is the sum of the delay of the arbitration logic t_a and the delay of the switch t_s , which in this chapter is considered to be implemented with a classic crossbar switch [262],

$$t_r = t_a + t_s. \tag{9-5}$$

The delay of the arbiter can be described from [263],

$$t_a = (21(1/4)\log_2 p + 14(1/12) + 9)\tau, \qquad (9-6)$$

where p is the number of ports of the router and τ is the delay of a minimum sized inverter for the target technology. Note that (9-6) exhibits a logarithmic dependence on the number of router ports. The length of the crossbar switch also depends upon the number of router ports and the width of the buss,

$$l_s = 2(w_t + s_t)w_c p, (9-7)$$

where w_t and s_t are the width and spacing or, alternatively, the pitch of the interconnect, respectively, and w_c is the width of the communication channel in bits. Consequently, the worst case delay of the crossbar switch is determined by the longest path within the switch, which is equal to (9-7).

The delay of the communication channel t_c is

$$t_c = t_v hops_{3-D} + t_h hops_{2-D}, \qquad (9-8)$$

where t_v and t_h are the delay of the vertical and horizontal channels, respectively (see Fig. 9-1b). Note that if $n_3 = 1$, (9-8) describes the propagation delay of a 2-D NoC. Substituting (9-8) and (9-5) into (9-1), the overall zero-load network latency for a 3-D NoC is

$$T_{network} = hops(t_a + t_s) + hops_{2-D}t_h + hops_{3-D}t_v + \frac{L_p}{w_c}t_h.$$
(9-9)

To characterize t_s , t_h , and t_v , the models described in [264] are adopted, where repeaters implemented as simple inverters are inserted along the interconnect. According to these models, the propagation delay and rise time of a single interconnect stage for a step input, respectively, are

$$t_{di} = 0.377 \frac{r_i c_i l_i^2}{k_i^2} + \left(R_{d0} C_0 + \frac{R_{d0} c_i l_i}{h_i k_i} + \frac{r_i l_i C_{g0} h_i}{k_i} \right),$$
(9-10)

$$t_{ri} = 1.1 \frac{r_i c_i l_i^2}{k_i^2} + 2.75 \left(R_{r0} C_0 + \frac{R_{r0} c_i l_i}{h_i k_i} + \frac{r_i l_i C_{g0} h_i}{k_i} \right),$$
(9-11)

where r_i (c_i) is the per unit length resistance (capacitance) of the interconnect and l_i is the total length of the interconnect. The index *i* is used to notate the various interconnect delays included in the network (*i.e.*, $i \in \{s,v,h\}$). h_i and k_i denote the number and size of the repeaters, respectively, and C_{g0} and C_0 represent the gate and total input capacitance of a minimum sized device, respectively. C_0 is the summation of the gate and drain capacitance of the device. R_{r0} and R_{d0} describe the equivalent output resistance of a minimum sized device for the propagation delay and transition time of a minimum sized inverter, respectively, where the output resistance is approximated as

$$R_{r(d)0} = K_{r(d)} \frac{V_{dd}}{I_{dn0}}.$$
(9-12)

K denotes a fitting coefficient and I_{dn0} is the drain current of an NMOS device at both V_{ds} and V_{gs} equal to V_{dd} . The value of these device parameters are listed in Table 9-1. A 45 nm technology node is assumed and SPICE simulations of the predictive technology library are used to determine the individual parameters [247], [248].

To include the effect of the input slew rate on the total delay of an interconnect stage, (9-10) and (9-11) are further refined by including an additional coefficient γ as in [265],

$$\gamma_r = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1 - \frac{V_{tn}}{V_{dd}}}{1 - a_n}.$$
(9-13)

By substituting the subscript *n* with *p*, the corresponding value for a falling transition is obtained. The average value γ of γ_r and γ_f is used to describe the effect of the transition time on the interconnect delay. The overall interconnect delay can therefore be described as

$$t_{i} = k(t_{di} + \gamma t_{ri}) = a_{1} \frac{r_{i}}{c_{i}} + a_{2} \left(R_{0}C_{0}k + \frac{R_{0}c_{i}l_{i}}{h} + R_{i}C_{g0}h \right),$$
(9-14)

where R_0 , a_1 , and a_2 are described in [266] and the index *i* denotes the various interconnect structures such as the crossbar switch ($i \equiv s$), the horizontal buss ($i \equiv h$), and the vertical buss ($i \equiv v$).

For minimum delay, the size h and number k of repeaters are determined by setting the partial derivative of t_i with respect to h_i and k_i , respectively, equal to zero and solving for h_i and k_i ,

$$k_i^* = \sqrt{\frac{a_1 r_i c_i l_i^2}{a_2 R_0 C_0}},$$
(9-15)

$$h_i^* = \sqrt{\frac{R_0 c_i l_i}{r_i C_{g0}}} .$$
(9-16)

The expression in (9-14) only considers *RC* interconnects. An *RC* model is sufficiently accurate to characterize the delay of a crossbar switch since the length of the longest wire within the crossbar switch and the signal frequencies are such that inductive behavior is not prominent. For the buss lines, however, inductive behavior can appear. For this case, suitable expressions for the delay and repeater insertion characteristics can be adopted from [267]. For the target operating frequencies (1 to 2 GHz) and buss length (< 2 mm) considered in this chapter, an *RC* interconnect model provides sufficient accuracy [268]. Additionally, for the vertical buss, $k_v = 1$ and $h_v = 1$, meaning that no repeaters are inserted and minimum sized drivers are utilized. Repeaters are not necessary due to the short length of the vertical buss. Driving a buss with minimum sized inverters can affect the resulting minimum latency and power dissipation topology, as discussed in the following sections. Note that the proposed latency expression includes the effect of the input slew rate. Additionally, since a repeater insertion methodology for minimum latency is applied, any further reduction in latency is due to the network topology.

Parameter	Value		
	NMOS	PMOS	
W_{min}	100 nm	250 nm	
I_{dsat}/W	1115 μA/μm	349 µA/µm	
V _{dsat}	478 mV	-731 mV	
V_t	257 mV	-192 mV	
а	1.04	1.33	
I _{sub0}	48.8 nA		
I_{g0}	0.6 nA		
V_{dd}	1.1 Volts		
Temp.	110 °C		
K_d	0.98		
K_r	0.63		
C_{g0}	512 fF		
C_{d0}	487 fF		
τ	17 ps		

TABLE 9-1 INTERCONNECT AND DESIGN PARAMETERS, 45 nm TECHNOLOGY.

The length of the vertical communication channel for the 3-D NoC shown in Fig. 9-1 is

$$l_{v} = \begin{cases} L_{v}, & \text{for } 2D \,\text{IC} - 3D \,\text{NoC} \\ n_{p} L_{v}, & \text{for } 3D \,\text{IC} - 3D \,\text{NoC} \end{cases}$$
(9-17a)
(9-17b)

$$= \left\{ n_p L_v, \text{ for } 3D \text{ IC} - 3D \text{ NoC} \right.$$
 (9-17b)

$$[0, for 2D IC - 2D NoC and 3D IC - 2D NoC, (9-17c)$$

where L_v is the length of a silicon-through (interplane) via connecting two routers on adjacent physical planes. n_p is the number of physical planes used to integrate each PE. The length of the horizontal communication channel is assumed to be

$$\sqrt{A_{PE}}$$
, for 2DIC-2DNoC and 2DIC-3DNoC (9-18a)

where A_{PE} is the area of the processing element. The area of all of the PEs and, consequently, the length of each horizontal channel are assumed to be equal. For those cases where the PE is implemented in multiple physical planes, a coefficient is included to consider the effect of the interplane vias on the reduction in the ideal wirelength due to utilization of the third dimension. The value of this coefficient (= 1.12) is based on the layout of a crossbar switch designed with the fully depleted silicon-on-insulator (FDSOI) 3-D technology from MIT Lincoln Laboratory (MITLL) [139]. The same coefficient is also assumed for the design of the PEs on more than one physical plane. In the following section, expressions for the power consumption of a network with delay constraints are presented.

9.3. Power Consumption in 3-D NoC

Power dissipation is a critical issue in three-dimensional circuits. Although the total power consumption of 3-D systems is expected to be lower than that of mainstream 2-D circuits (since the global interconnects are shorter [140]), the increased power density is a challenging issue for this novel design paradigm. Therefore, those 3-D NoC topologies that offer low power characteristics should be of significant interest.

The different power consumption components for interconnects with repeaters are briefly discussed in this section. Due to specified performance characteristics, a low power design methodology with delay constraints for the interconnect in an NoC is adopted from [266]. An expression for the total power consumption per bit of a packet transferred between a source destination node pair is used as the basis for characterizing the power consumption of an NoC for the 3-D topologies.

The power consumption components of an interconnect line with repeaters are:

(9-18b)

(a) Dynamic power consumption is the dissipated power due to the charge and discharge of the interconnect and input gate capacitance during a signal transition, and can be described by

$$P_{di} = a_s f(c_i l_i + h_i k_i C_o) V_{dd}^2, \qquad (9-19)$$

where f is the clock frequency and a_s is the switching factor [269]. A value of 0.15 is assumed here; however, for NoC, the switching factor can vary considerably. This variation, however, does not affect the power comparison for the various topologies as the same switching factor is incorporated in each term for the total power consumed per bit of the network (the absolute value of the power consumption, however, changes).

(b) Short-circuit power is due to the DC current path that exists in a CMOS circuit during a signal transition when the input signal voltage changes between V_{tn} and $V_{dd} + V_{tp}$. The power consumption due to this current is described as short-circuit power and is modeled in [270] by

$$P_{si} = \frac{4a_s f I_{d0}^2 t_{ri}^2 V_{dd} k_i h_i^2}{V_{dsat} G C_{effi} + 2H I_{d0} t_{ri} h_i},$$
(9-20)

where I_{d0} is the average drain current of the NMOS and PMOS devices operating in the saturation region and the value of the coefficients *G* and *H* are described in [271]. Due to resistive shielding of the interconnect capacitance, an effective capacitance is used in (9-20) rather than the total interconnect capacitance. This effective capacitance is determined from the methodology described in [272] and [273].

(c) Leakage power is comprised of two power components, the subthreshold and gate leakage currents. The subthreshold power consumption is due to current flowing during the cut-off region (below threshold), causing I_{sub} current to flow. The gate leakage component is due to current flowing through the gate oxide, denoted as I_g . The total leakage power can be described as

$$P_{li} = h_i k_i V_{dd} \left(I_{sub0} + I_{g0} \right), \tag{9-21}$$

where the average subthreshold I_{sub0} and gate I_{g0} leakage current of the NMOS and PMOS transistors is used in (9-21).

The total power consumption with delay constraint T_0 for a single line of a crossbar switch P_{stotal} , horizontal buss P_{htotal} , and vertical buss P_{vtotal} is, respectively,

$$P_{stotal}(T_0 - t_a) = P_{di} + P_{si} + P_{li}, \qquad (9-22)$$

$$P_{htotal}(T_0) = P_{di} + P_{si} + P_{li} , \qquad (9-23)$$

$$P_{vtotal}(T_0) = P_{di} + P_{si} + P_{li} . (9-24)$$

The power consumption of the arbitration logic is not included in (9-22), since most of the power is consumed by the crossbar switch and the buss interconnect, as discussed in [274]. Note that for a crossbar switch, the additional delay t_a of the arbitration logic poses a stricter delay constraint on the power consumption of the switch as shown in (9-22). The minimum power consumption with delay constraints is determined by the methodology described in [266], for which the optimum size h^*_{powi} and number k^*_{powi} of the repeaters for a single interconnect line is determined. Consequently, the minimum power consumption per bit between a source destination node pair in a NoC with a delay constraint is

$$P_{bit} = hopsP_{stotal} + hops_{2-D}P_{htotal} + hops_{3-D}P_{vtotal} .$$
(9-25)

The effect of resistive shielding is also considered in determining the effective interconnect capacitance. Furthermore, since the repeater insertion methodology in [266] minimizes the power consumed by the repeater system, any additional decrease in power consumption is due only to the network topology. In the following section, those 3-D NoC topologies that exhibit the maximum performance and minimum power consumption with delay constraints are presented. Tradeoffs in determining these topologies are discussed and the impact of the network parameters on the resulting optimum topologies are demonstrated for various network sizes.

9.4. Performance and Power Analysis for 3-D NoC

Several network parameters characterizing the topology of a network can significantly affect the speed and power of a system. The evaluation of these network parameters is discussed in Section 9.4.1. The improvement in network performance achieved by the 3-D NoC topologies is explored in Section 9.4.2. The distribution of nodes that produces the maximum performance is also discussed. The power consumption with delay constraints of a 3-D NoC and the topologies that yield the minimum power consumption of a 3-D NoC are presented in Section 9.4.3.

9.4.1. Parameters of 3-D Networks-on-Chip

The physical layer of a 3-D NoC consists of different interconnect structures, such as a crossbar switch, the horizontal buss connecting neighboring nodes on the same physical plane, and the vertical buss connecting nodes on different, not necessarily adjacent, physical planes. The device parameters characterizing the receiver, driver, and repeaters are listed in Table 9-1. The interconnect parameters reported in Table 9-2 are different for each type of interconnect within a network.

A typical interconnect structure is shown in Fig. 9-2, where three parallel metal lines are sandwiched between two ground planes. Such an interconnect structure is considered for the crossbar switch (at the network nodes) where the intermediate metal layers are assumed to be utilized. The horizontal buss is implemented on the global metal layers and, therefore, only the lower ground plane is present in this structure for a 2-D NoC. For a 3-D NoC, however, the substrate (back-to-front plane bonding) or a global metal layer of an upper plane (front-to-front plane bonding) behaves as a second ground plane. To incorporate this additional ground plane, the horizontal bus capacitance is changed by the coefficient a_{3-D} . A second ground plane

increases. The vertical buss is different from the other structures in that this buss is implemented by through silicon vias. These interplane vias can exhibit significantly different impedance characteristics as compared to traditional horizontal interconnect structures, as discussed in [237] and also verified by extracted impedance parameters. The electrical interconnect parameters are extracted using a commercial impedance extraction tool [238], while the physical parameters are extrapolated from the predictive technology library [247], [248] and the 3-D integration technology developed by MITLL for a 45 nm technology node [139]. The physical and electrical interconnect parameters are listed in Table 9-2. For each of the interconnect structures, a buss width of 64 bits is assumed. In addition, n_3 and n_p are constrained by the maximum number of physical planes n_{max} that can be vertically stacked. A maximum of eight planes is assumed. The constraints that apply for each of the 3-D NoC topologies shown in Fig. 9-1 are

$$n_3 \le n_{\max}, \quad \text{for } 2D \, IC - 3D \, NoC \,, \tag{9-26a}$$

$$n_p \le n_{\max}, \quad for \, 3D \, IC - 2D \, NoC , \qquad (9-26b)$$

$$n_3 n_p \le n_{\max}, \text{ for } 3D \, IC - 3D \, NoC \, .$$
 (9-26c)

Interconnect	Parameter	
Structure	Electrical	Physical
	$\rho = 3.07 \ \mu\Omega$ -cm	w = 200 nm
Creacher quitch	$k_{ILD} = 2.7$	s = 200 nm
Crossbar switch	$r_s = 614 \Omega/\mathrm{mm}$	t = 250 nm
	$c_s = 157.6 \text{ fF/mm}$	h = 500 nm
	$\rho = 2.53 \ \mu\Omega$ -cm	w = 500 nm
Horizontal bus	$k_{ILD} = 2.7$	s = 250 (500) nm
	$r_h = 46 \ \Omega/\mathrm{mm}$	t = 1100 nm
	$c_h = 332.6 (192.5) \text{ fF/mm}$	h = 800 nm
	$a_{3-D} = 1.02 (1.06)$	-
	$\rho = 5.65 \ \mu\Omega$ -cm	w = 1050 nm
Vertical bus	$r_v = 51.2 \ \Omega/\mathrm{mm}$	$L_{v} = 10 \ \mu m$
	$c_v = 600 \text{ fF/mm}$	-

TABLE 9-2 INTE

INTERCONNECT PARAMETERS.



Fig. 9-2 Typical interconnect structure for intermediate metal layers.

A small set of parameters is used as variables to explore the performance and power consumption of the 3-D NoC topologies. This set includes the network size or, equivalently, the number of nodes within the network N, the area of each processing element A_{PE} , which is directly related to the buss length as described in (9-18), and the maximum allowed interconnect delay when evaluating the minimum power consumption with delay constraints. The range of values for these variables is listed in Table 9-3. Depending upon the network size, NoC are roughly divided as small (N = 16 to 64 nodes), medium (N = 128 to 256 nodes), and large (N = 512 to 2048 nodes) networks. For multi-processor SoC networks, sizes of up to N = 256 is expected to be feasible in the near future [257], [275], whereas for NoC with a finer granularity, where the PEs each corresponds to hardware blocks of approximately 100K gates, network sizes over a few thousands nodes are predicted at the 45 nm technology node [276]. Note that this classification of the networks is not strict and is only intended to facilitate the discussion in the following sections.

TABLE 9-3NETWORK PARAMETERS.

Parameter	Values	
Ν	16, 32, 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024, 2048	
$A_{PE} [mm^2]$	0.5, 0.64, 0.81, 1.00, 1.56, 2.25, 4.00	
$T_0 [ps]$	1000, 500	

9.4.2. Performance Tradeoffs for 3-D NoC

The performance enhancements that can be achieved in NoC by utilizing the third dimension are investigated in this subsection. Each of the 3-D topologies decreases the zero-latency of the

network by reducing different delay components, as described in (9-9). In addition, the distribution of network nodes in each physical dimension that yields the minimum zero-load latency is shown to significantly change with the network and interconnect parameters.

1) 2-D IC – 3-D NoC

Utilizing the third dimension to implement a NoC results directly in a decrease in the average number of hops for packet switching. The average number of hops on the same plane $hops_{2-D}$ (the intraplane hops) and the average number of hops in the third dimension $hops_{3-D}$ (the interplane hops) are also reduced. Interestingly, the distribution of nodes n_1 , n_2 , and n_3 that yields the minimum total number of hops is not always the same as the distribution that minimizes the number of intraplane hops. This situation occurs particularly for small and medium networks, while for large networks, the distribution of n_1 , n_2 , and n_3 which minimizes the *hops* also minimizes $hops_{2-D}$.

In a 3-D NoC, the number of router ports increases from five to seven, increasing, in turn, both the switch and arbiter delay. Furthermore, a short vertical buss generally exhibits a lower delay than that of a relatively long horizontal buss. In Fig. 9-3, the zero-load latency of the 2-D IC – 3-D NoC is compared to that of the 2-D IC – 2-D NoC for different network sizes. A decrease in latency of 15.7% and 20.1% can be observed for N = 128 and N = 256 nodes, respectively, with $A_{PE} = 0.81$ mm².



(b)

Fig. 9-3 Zero-load latency for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} = 0.81 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 332.6 \text{ fF/mm}$, (b) $A_{PE} = 4 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 332.6 \text{ fF/mm}$.

The node distribution that produces the lowest latency varies with network size. For example, $n_{3max} = 8$ is not necessarily the optimum for small and medium networks, although by increasing n_3 , more hops occur through the short, low latency vertical channel. This result can be explained by considering the reduction in the number of hops that originate from utilizing the third dimension for packet switching. For small and medium networks, the decrease in the number of hops is small and cannot compensate the increase in the routing delay due to the increase in the number of ports of a router in a 3-D NoC. As the horizontal buss length becomes longer, however, (*e.g.*, approaching 2 mm), $n_3 > 1$, and a slight decrease in the number of hops significantly decreases the overall delay, despite the increase in the routing delay for a 3-D NoC. As an example, consider a network with $log_2N = 4$ and $A_{PE} = 0.81$ mm². The minimum latency node distribution is $n_1 = n_2 = 4$ and $n_3 = 1$ (identical to a 2-D IC – 2-D NoC as shown in Fig. 9-3), while for $A_{PE} = 4$ mm², $n_1 = n_2 = 2$ and $n_3 = 4$.

The optimum node distribution can also be affected by the delay of the vertical channel. The repeater insertion methodology for minimum delay as described in Section 9.2 can significantly reduce the delay of the horizontal buss by inserting large sized repeaters (*i.e.*, h > 300). In this case, the delay of the vertical buss becomes comparable to that of the horizontal buss with repeaters. Consider a network with N = 128 nodes. Two different node distributions yield the minimum average number of hops, specifically, $n_1 = 4$, $n_2 = 4$, and $n_3 = 8$ and $n_1 = 8$, $n_2 = 4$, and $n_3 = 4$. The first of the two distributions also results in the minimum number of intraplane $hops_{2-D}$, thereby reducing the latency component for the horizontal buss as described by (9-9). Simulation results, however, indicate that this distribution is not the minimum latency node distribution, as the delay due to the vertical channel is non-negligible. For this reason, the latter distribution with $n_3 = 4$ is preferable, since a smaller number of $hops_{3-D}$ occurs, resulting in the minimum network latency.

2) 3-D IC – 2-D NoC

For this type of three-dimensional network, the PEs are allowed to span multiple physical planes while the network effectively remains two-dimensional (*i.e.*, $n_3 = 1$). Consequently, the network latency is only reduced by decreasing the length of the horizontal buss, as described in (9-18). The routing delay component remains constant with such a 3-D topology. Decreasing the horizontal buss length lowers both the communication channel delay and the serialization delay. In Fig. 9-4, the decrease in latency that can be achieved by a 3-D IC – 3-D NoC is illustrated. A latency decrease of 30.2% and 26.4% can be observed for N = 128 and N = 256 nodes, respectively, with $A_{PE} = 2.25$ mm². The use of multiple physical planes reduces the latency; therefore, the optimum value for $n_p = n_{max}$, regardless of the network size and buss length.

In Figs. 9-5a and 9-5b, the improvement in the network latency over a 2-D IC - 2-D NoC for various network sizes and for different PE areas (i.e., different horizontal buss length) is illustrated for the 2-D IC - 3-D NoC and 3-D IC - 2-D NoC topologies, respectively. Note that for the 2-D IC - 3-D NoC topology, the improvement in delay is smaller for PEs with a larger area or, equivalently, with longer buss lengths independent of the network size. For longer buss lengths, the buss latency comprises a larger portion of the total network latency. Since for a 2-D IC - 3-D NoC only the hop count is reduced, the improvement in latency is lower for longer buss lengths. Alternatively, the improvement in latency is greater for PEs with a larger area independent of the network size for 3-D IC -2-D NoC. This situation is due to the significant reduction in the PE area (or buss length) that is achieved with this topology. Consequently, there is a tradeoff in the latency of a NoC that depends both on the network size and the area of the PEs. In Fig. 9-5a, the improvement is not significant for small networks (all of the curves converge to approximately zero) in 2-D IC - 3-D NoC while this situation does not occur for 3-D IC - 2-D NoC. This behavior is due to the increase in the delay of the network router as the number of ports increases from five to seven for 2-D IC - 3-D NoC, which is a considerable portion of the network latency for small networks. Note that for 3-D IC -2-D NoC, the network essentially remains two dimensional and therefore the delay of the router for this topology does

not increase. To achieve the minimum delay, a 3-D NoC topology that exploits these tradeoffs is described in the following subsection.



(b)

Fig. 9-4 Zero-load latency for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} = 0.64 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 192.5 \text{ fF/mm}$, (b) $A_{PE} = 2.25 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 192.5 \text{ fF/mm}$.



Fig. 9-5 Improvement in zero–load latency for different network sizes and PE areas (*i.e.*, buss lengths). (a) 2-D IC – 3-D NoC and (b) 3-D IC – 2-D NoC.

This topology offers the greatest decrease in latency over the aforementioned three-dimensional topologies. The 2-D IC – 3-D NoC topology decreases the number of hops while the buss and serialization delays remain constant. With the 3-D IC – 2-D NoC, the buss and serialization delay is smaller but the number of hops remains unchanged. With the 3-D IC – 3-D NoC, all of the latency components can be decreased by assigning a portion of the available physical planes for implementing the network while the remaining planes of the stack are used for the PE. The resulting decrease in network latency as compared to a standard 2-D IC – 2-D NoC and the other two 3-D topologies is illustrated in Fig. 9-6. A decrease in latency of 40% and 36% can be observed for N = 128 and N = 256 nodes, respectively, with $A_{PE} = 4$ mm². Note that the 3-D IC – 3-D NoC topology achieves the greatest savings in latency by optimally balancing n_3 with n_p .





Fig. 9-6 Zero-load latency for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} = 1 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 332.6 \text{ fF/mm}$, (b) $A_{PE} = 4 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 332.6 \text{ fF/mm}$.

For certain network sizes, the performance of the 3-D IC – 2-D NoC is identical to either the 2-D IC – 3-D NoC or 3-D IC – 2-D NoC. This behavior occurs because for large network sizes, the delay due to the large number of hops dominates the total delay and, therefore, the latency can be primarily reduced by decreasing the average number of hops ($n_3 = n_{max}$). For small networks, the buss delay is large and the latency savings is typically achieved by reducing the buss length ($n_p = n_{max}$). For medium networks, though, the optimum topology is obtained by dividing n_{max} between n_3 and n_p such that (9-26c) is satisfied. This distribution of n_3 and n_p as a function of the network size and buss length is illustrated in Fig. 9-7.

Note the shift in the value of n_3 and n_p as the PE area A_{PE} or, equivalently, the buss length increases. For long busses, the delay of the communication channel becomes dominant and therefore the smaller number of hops for medium sized networks cannot significantly decrease

the total delay. Alternatively, further decreasing the buss length by implementing the PEs in a greater number of physical planes leads to a larger savings in delay.



Fig. 9-7 n_3 and n_p values for minimum zero-load latency for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} = 1 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 332.6 \text{ fF/mm}$, (b) $A_{PE} = 4 \text{ mm}^2$ and $c_h = 332.6 \text{ fF/mm}$.

The suggested optimum topologies for various network sizes (namely, small, medium, and large networks) also depend upon the interconnect parameters of the network. Consequently, a change in the optimum topology for different network sizes can occur when different interconnect parameters are considered. Despite the sensitivity of the topologies on the interconnect parameters, the tradeoff between the number of hops and the buss length for various 3-D topologies (see Fig. 9-5 and Fig. 9-7) can be exploited to improve the performance of an NoC. In the following subsection, the topology that yields the minimum power consumption with delay constraints is described. The distribution of nodes for that topology is also discussed.

9.4.3. Power Consumption in 3-D NoC

The various power consumption components for the interconnect within an NoC are analyzed in Section 9.3. The methodology presented in [266] is applied here to minimize the power consumption of these interconnects while satisfying the specified operating frequency of the network. Since a power minimization methodology is applied to the buss lines, the power consumed by the network can only be further reduced by the choice of network topology. Additionally, the power consumption also depends upon the target operating frequency, as discussed later in this section.

As with the zero-load latency, each topology affects the power consumption of the network in a different way. From (9-25), the power consumption can be reduced by either decreasing the number of hops for the packet or by decreasing the buss length. Note that by reducing the buss length, the interconnect capacitance is not only reduced but also the number and size of the repeaters required to drive the lines are decreased, resulting in a greater savings in power. The effect of each of the 3-D topologies on the power consumption of an NoC is investigated in this section.

Similar to the network latency, the power consumption is decreased in this topology by reducing the number of hops for packet switching. Again, the increase in the number of ports is significant; however, the impact from this increase is not as important as that on the latency of the network. A three-dimensional network, therefore, can reduce power even in small networks. The power savings achieved with this topology is depicted in Fig. 9-8 for various network sizes, where the savings is greater in larger networks. This situation occurs because the reduction in the average number of hops for a three-dimensional network increases for larger network sizes. A power savings of 26.1% and 37.9% is achieved for N = 128 and N = 512, respectively, with $A_{PE} = 1$ mm².



(a)



Fig. 9-8 Power consumption with delay constraints for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} = 1 \text{ mm}^2$, $c_h = 332.6 \text{ fF/mm}$, and $T_0 = 500 \text{ ps}$, (b) $A_{PE} = 4 \text{ mm}^2$, $c_h = 332.6 \text{ fF/mm}$, and $T_0 = 500 \text{ ps}$.

2) 3-D IC – 2-D NoC

With this topology, the number of hops in the network is the same as for a two-dimensional network. The horizontal buss length, however, is shorter by implementing the PEs in more than one physical plane. The greater the number of physical planes that can be integrated in a 3-D system, the larger the power savings, meaning that the optimum value for n_p with this topology is always n_{max} regardless of the network size and operating frequency. The achieved savings is practically limited by the number of physical planes that can be integrated in a 3-D technology. The power savings for various network sizes are shown in Fig. 9-9. Note that for this type of NoC, the maximum performance topology is identical to the minimum power consumption topology, as the key element of both objectives originates solely from the shorter buss length. The

savings in power is approximately 35% when $A_{PE} = 0.64 \text{ mm}^2$ for every network size as the per cent reduction in the buss length is the same for each network size.



Fig. 9-9 Power consumption with delay constraints for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} = 0.64 \text{ mm}^2$, $c_h = 192.5 \text{ fF/mm}$, and $T_0 = 1000 \text{ ps}$, (b) $A_{PE} = 2.25 \text{ mm}^2$, $c_h = 192.5 \text{ fF/mm}$, and $T_0 = 1000 \text{ ps}$.

Allowing the available physical planes to be utilized either for the third dimension of the network or for the PEs, the 3-D IC - 3-D NoC scheme achieves the greatest savings in power in addition to the minimum delay, as discussed in the previous subsection. The distribution of nodes along the physical dimensions, however, that produces either the minimum latency or the minimum power consumption for every network size is not necessarily the same. This non-equivalence due to the different degree of importance of the average number of hops and the buss length in determining the latency and power consumption of a network. In Fig. 9-10, the power consumption of the 3-D IC - 3-D NoC topology is compared to the three-dimensional topologies previously discussed. A power savings of 38.4% is achieved for N = 128 with $A_{PE} = 1$ mm². For certain network sizes, the power consumption of the 3-D IC - 3-D NoC topology is the same as that of the 2-D IC - 3-D NoC and 3-D IC -2-D NoC topologies. For the 2-D IC -3-D NoC, the power consumption is primarily decreased by reducing the number of hops for packet switching, while for the 3-D IC – 2-D NoC, the NoC power dissipation is decreased by shortening the buss length. The former approach typically benefits small networks, while the latter approach yields lower power consumption for large networks. For medium sized networks and depending upon the network and interconnect parameters, non-extreme values for the n_3 and n_p parameters (e.g., $1 < n_3 < n_{max}$ and $1 < n_p < n_{max}$) are required to produce the minimum power consumption topology.

Note that this work emphasizes the latency and power consumption of a network, neglecting the performance requirements of the individual PEs. If the performance of the individual PEs is important, only one 3-D topology may be available; however, even with this constraint, a significant savings in latency and power can be achieved since in almost every case the network latency and power consumption can be decreased as compared to a 2-D IC – 2-D NoC topology.

Furthermore, as previously mentioned, if the available topology is the 2-D IC – 3-D NoC, setting n_3 equal to n_{max} is not necessarily the optimum choice.

The proposed zero-load network latency and power consumption expressions capture the effect of the topology; yet these models do not incorporate the effects of the routing scheme and traffic load. Alternatively, these models can be treated as lower bounds for both the latency and the power consumption of the network. Since minimum distance paths and no contention are implicitly assumed in these expressions, non-minimal path routing schemes and heavy traffic loads will result in increasing both the latency and power consumption of the network. Finally, the latency and power consumption of the different interconnect structures of the network, which are shown to be significant, are accurately described by the delay and power expressions and do not change under any routing conditions and/or traffic load.





Fig. 9-10 Power consumption with delay constraints for various network sizes. (a) $A_{PE} = 1 \text{ mm}^2$, $c_h = 332.6 \text{ fF/mm}$, and $T_0 = 500 \text{ ps}$, and (b) $A_{PE} = 4 \text{ mm}^2$, $c_h = 332.6 \text{ fF/mm}$, and $T_0 = 500 \text{ ps}$.

9.5. Summary

3-D NoC are a natural evolution for 2-D NoC, exhibiting superior performance. Several novel 3-D NoC topologies are presented in this chapter and the latency and power consumption of these topologies are investigated. The primary points of this discussion can be summarized as follows:

- Vertical integration can improve both the latency and power consumption of traditional 2-D NoC. The minimum latency and power consumption can be achieved by reducing both the number of hops per packet and the length of the communications channels.
- Expressions for the zero-load network latency and the power consumption components are described for 3-D NoC.

- NoC that are implemented by a 3-D mesh reduce the number of hops required to propagate a data packet between two nodes of a network (2-D IC 3-D NoC).
- A 2-D IC 3-D NoC decreases the latency and power consumption of a NoC by reducing the number of hops.
- A 3-D IC 2-D NoC decreases the latency and power consumption of a NoC by reducing the buss length or, equivalently, the distance between adjacent network nodes.
- Large networks are primarily benefited by the 2-D IC 3-D NoC topology, while small networks are benefited by the 3-D IC – 2-D NoC topology.
- The distribution of nodes that corresponds to either the minimum latency or power consumption of a network depends upon the interconnect parameters and the network size and are not necessarily the same.
- A tradeoff exists between the number of planes utilized to implement a network and those
 planes to implement the PEs. Consequently and not surprisingly, the 3-D IC 3-D NoC
 topology achieves the greatest improvement in latency and power consumption by most
 effectively exploiting the third dimension.

Chapter 10.Case Study: Clock Distribution Networks for 3-D ICs

In the previous chapters, the advantages that result from introducing the third dimension to integrated circuit applications are discussed. Significant improvement in interconnect performance and power consumption is predicted for these circuits, constituting an important opportunity for high performance digital circuits. An omnipresent and challenging issue for synchronous digital circuits is the reliable distribution of the clock signal to the many hundreds of thousands of sequential elements distributed throughout a synchronous circuit [277]. The complexity is further increased in 3-D ICs as sequential elements belonging to the same clock domain (*i.e.*, synchronized by the same clock signal) can be located on different planes. Another important issue in the design of the clock distribution network is low power consumption, as the clock network dissipates a significant portion of the total power consumed by a synchronous circuit [278], [279]. This demand is stricter for 3-D ICs due to the increased power density and related thermal limitations, as discussed in Chapter 6.

In 2-D circuits, symmetric interconnect structures, such as H- and X-trees, are widely utilized to distribute the clock signal across a circuit [280]. The symmetry of these structures permits the clock signal to simultaneously arrive at the leaves of the tree, resulting in synchronous data processing. Maintaining this symmetry within a 3-D circuit, however, is a difficult task. In addition, 3-D ICs include two different types of interconnects, namely intraplane and interplane interconnects, that can exhibit different impedance characteristics [281]. Furthermore, interplane interconnects have fixed dimensions determined by the target 3-D technology, further constraining the design space.

In this chapter, a variety of clock network architectures for 3-D circuits are investigated. These clock topologies have been included on a test circuit for the 3-D technology developed at MIT Lincoln Laboratories (MITLL). This fabrication process is discussed in the following section. The logic circuitry comprising the common load of the 3-D clock distribution networks is described in Section 10.2. The various clock distribution networks that have been employed in this case study are described in Section 10.3. Experimental results and a comparison of the different clock distribution networks are presented in Section 10.4. A short summary is provided in the last section of the chapter.

10.1. MITLL 3-D IC Fabrication Technology

The MIT Lincoln Laboratories recently developed a manufacturing process for fully depleted silicon-on-insulator (FDSOI) 3-D circuits with short interplane vias (also called 3-D vias here for simplicity). The most attractive feature of this process is the high density of the 3-D vias as compared to other 3-D technologies currently under development, as reviewed in Chapter 3. The MITLL process is a wafer level 3-D integration technology with up to three FDSOI wafers bonded to form a 3-D circuit. The diameter of the wafers is 150 mm. The minimum feature size of the devices is 180 nm, with one polysilicon layer and three metal layers interconnecting the devices on each wafer. A backside metal layer also exists on the upper two planes, providing the starting and landing pads for the 3-D vias, and the I/O, power supply, and ground pads for the entire 3-D circuit. The primary steps of this fabrication process are illustrated in Figs. 10-1 to 10-6 [139], [235].

Each of the wafers is manufactured by a mainstream FDSOI process (Fig. 10-1). The second wafer is flipped and front-to-front bonded with the first wafer using oxide bonding (Fig. 10-2). The handle wafer is removed from the second wafer and the 3-D vias are etched through the

oxide of both planes. Tungsten is deposited to fill the 3-D vias and the surface of the wafer is planarized by chemical mechanical polishing (Fig. 10-3). The backside vias and metallization are formed to provide the pads for the 3-D vias of the third wafer and the interconnection of these vias with the M1 layer of the second wafer (Fig. 10-4). The third wafer is also flipped and front-to-back bonded with the second plane (Fig. 10-5). Another etching step is used to form the 3-D vias of the third plane. The backside vias and interconnections are formed along with the I/O and power pads for the 3-D circuit. A glass layer provides the passivation layer, while the overglass cuts create the necessary pad openings for the off-chip interconnections (Fig. 10-6).







Fig. 10-2 The second wafer is front-to-front bonded with the first wafer.



Fig. 10-3 The 3-D vias are formed and the surface is planarized with CMP.



Fig. 10-4 The backside vias are etched and the backside metal is deposited on the second wafer.



Fig. 10-5 The third wafer is front-to-back bonded with the second wafer and the 3-D vias for that plane are formed.

A salient characteristic of this process is the short 3-D vias. As illustrated in Fig. 10-7, the total length of a 3-D via that connects two devices on the first and third plane is approximately 20 μ m. In addition, the dimensions of these vias are 1.75 μ m × 1.75 μ m, much smaller than the size of the through silicon via in many existing 3-D technologies, as discussed in Chapter 3. The spacing among the 3-D vias depends upon the density of these vias and ranges from 1.75 μ m to 8 μ m.

Note that the 3-D vias connecting the second and third plane can be vertically stacked, resulting in 3-D vias that directly connect devices on the first and third planes.



Fig. 10-6 Backside metal is deposited and glass layers are cut to create openings for the pads.

A doughnut shape structure is required for the 3-D vias in both the second and third plane in order to provide mechanical support for these vias. As shown in Fig. 10-7, the 3-D vias connect different metal layers in the second and third plane. A 3-D via for the second plane connects the back side metal with the M3 layer of the first plane through the doughnut formed by M3 in the second plane. The backside vias or the M3 layer of the second plane can be utilized to connect the 3-D via with the devices on that plane. Alternatively, a 3-D via for the third plane starts from the backside metal of the third plane and ends on the backside metal of the second plane through a doughnut formed by the M3 layer of the third plane. The backside vias connect this via with the devices on the second plane. The transistors located on the third plane are connected to the 3-D via either through the backside metal layer and backside vias, or through the M3 doughnut that surrounds the 3-D via. Note that the 3-D vias can be placed anywhere within the circuit and not only within certain regions. The minimum distance from the transistors, however, is specified by the design rules.

The electrical sheet resistance of the metal and diffusion layers is listed in Table 10-1 along with the bulk resistivity. The total resistance of the intraplane vias and contacts is listed in Table 10-2. Since the third plane is also intended for RF circuits, a low resistance back side metal is available. In addition to the active devices, passive elements, such as resistors and metal-insulator-metal (MIM) capacitors, are also available. The resistors, however, can only be placed on the third plane where the polysilicon or active layer is utilized to form these resistors.



Fig. 10-7 Layer thicknesses in the 3-D IC MITLL technology [139].

In support of this manufacturing process, design kits for this technology have been developed by academic institutions and supporting CAD companies. The process design kit has been developed for the Cadence Design Framework by faculty from North Carolina State University [187]. This kit includes device models for circuit simulation and a sophisticated layout tool for 3-D circuits. For example, circuits located on a specific plane can be separately visualized or highlighted. In addition, a circuit designed for one plane can be reproduced or transferred to another plane. Complete design rule checking and circuit extraction are also available. Electrical rule checking, however, is not included, meaning that these 3-D circuits cannot be directly checked for shorts between the power and ground lines. However, to mitigate this problem, two pins can be assigned different names on the power and ground lines. Design rule checking reports errors whenever lines with different names are crossed, thereby checking for electrical shorts.

TABLE 10-1LAYER RESISTANCES OF THE 3-D FDSOI PROCESS [139].

	Parameter	Value
	Bulk resistivity	~2000 Ω-cm
	Silicided n^+/p^+ active sheet resistance	$15 \pm 3 \Omega/sq$
	Silicided n^+/p^+ polysilicon sheet resistance	$15 \pm 3 \Omega/sq$
	Silicided n^+/p^+ sheet resistance	$15 \pm 3 \Omega/sq$
Lower metal layer sheet resistance		~0.12 Ω/sq
	Top metal layer sheet resistance	~0.08 Ω/sq
	Back side metal sheet resistance	~0.12 Ω/sq

TABLE 10-2

10-2 CONTACT AND VIA RESISTANCES OF THE 3-D FDSOI PROCESS [139].

Parameter	Value
Poly contact (250 nm × 250 nm)	$10\pm 2~\Omega$
n^+ active contact (250 nm × 250 nm)	$10 \pm 2 \Omega$
p^+ active contact (250 nm × 250 nm)	$10 \pm 2 \ \Omega$
Interconnect metal via (300 nm × 300 nm)	4 Ω
Backside metal via (500 nm × 500 nm)	2 Ω

10.2. 3-D Circuit Architecture

_

A test circuit exploring a variety of clock network topologies suitable for 3-D ICs has been designed based on the process described in the previous section. A block diagram of the design is depicted in Fig. 10-8. The test circuit consists of four blocks. Each block contains the same logic circuit but implements a different clock distribution network. The total area of the test circuit is 3 mm \times 3 mm. The logic circuit common to all of these blocks is described in this section while the various clock network topologies are discussed in Section 10.3.



Fig. 10-8 Block diagram of the 3-D test IC. Each block has an area of approximately 1 mm². The remaining area is reserved for the I/O pads (the grey shapes).

An overview of the logic circuitry is depicted in Fig. 10-9. The function of this logic is to emulate different switching patterns characterizing the circuit and load conditions for the clock distribution networks under investigation. The logic is repeated in each plane and includes

- Pseudorandom number generators
- Crossbar switch
- Control logic for the crossbar switch
- Groups of four-bit counters
- Current loads and an output circuit for probing.
The pseudorandom generators are based on the technique described in [282], which uses linear feedback shift registers and XOR operations to generate a random 16-bit word every clock cycle after the first few cycles required to initialize the generator. The physical layout of one random number generator is illustrated in Fig. 10-10. There are a total of nine pseudorandom generators in each circuit block, connected by groups of three to the crossbar switch within each plane.



Fig. 10-9 Block diagram of the logic circuit included in each plane of each block.



Fig. 10-10 Physical layout of a pseudorandom number generator.

A classic crossbar switch with six input and output ports is included in each plane, where the width of each port is 16 bits. Three of the six inputs of the crossbar switch are connected to the output of the number generators, while the remaining inputs are connected to ground. The

physical layout of the crossbar switch is shown in Fig. 10-11. The three output ports of the switch are connected to a group of four-bit counters while the remaining outputs drive a small capacitive load. Since each port is 16 bits wide, each port is connected to four 4-bit counters. These counters are, in turn, connected to current loads implemented with cascoded current mirrors. The counters and current loads are distributed across each plane. The control logic consists of an eight-bit counter that controls the connectivity among the input and output ports of the crossbar switch.



Fig. 10-11 Physical layout of six \times six crossbar switch with 16-bit wide ports.

The data flow in this circuit can be described as follows. After resetting the circuit, the pseudorandom number generators are initialized and the control logic connects each input port to the appropriate output port. Since the control logic includes an eight-bit counter, each input port of the crossbar switch is successively connected every 256 clock cycles to each output port.

The output ports of the crossbar switch are connected to the four-bit counters. Each of these counters is loaded with a four-bit word, counts upwards, and loaded with a new word every time all of the bits are equal to one. The MSB of each counter is connected to four current loads that are turned on when the bit is equal to one. Since the counters are loaded with random words from the random generators through the crossbar switch, the current loads draw a variable amount of

current during circuit operation. This randomness is used to mimic different switching patterns that can exist within a circuit.

The current loads are implemented with cascoded current mirrors, as shown in Fig. 10-12. In the cascoded current mirrors, the output current I_{out} closely follows I_{ref} as compared to a simple current mirror. The reference current I_{ref} is externally provided to control the amount of current drawn from the circuit. The gate of transistor M5 is connected to the MSB of a four-bit counter, shown in Fig. 10-12 as the *sel* signal. This additional device is used to switch the current sinks. The layout of a group of four current loads is illustrated in Fig. 10-13. The width of the devices shown in Fig. 10-12 is

$$W_1 = W_2 = W_3 = W_4 = 600 \text{ nm}, W_5 = 2000 \text{ nm}.$$
 (10-1)

The power supply is 1.5 volts, as set by the MITLL process.



Fig. 10-12 Cascoded current mirror with an additional control transistor.

The final layout of the test circuit is illustrated in Fig. 10-14, while the connectivity of the pads to the external signals is listed in Table 10-3. Several decoupling capacitors are included in each circuit block and are highlighted in Fig. 10-14. The capacitors serve as extrinsic decoupling capacitance and are implemented by MIM capacitors. Note that the number of pads is not limited by the area of the circuit but rather by the maximum number of connections permitted by the

available probe card. The backside metal layer of the third plane is utilized for the pads. Each of the circuit blocks is supplied by separate power and ground pads, while only a pair of power and ground pads is connected to the pad ring to provide protection from electrostatic discharge.



Fig. 10-13 Four stage cascoded current mirrors.

10.3. Clock Signal Distribution in 3-D Circuits

Different clock distribution network architectures for 3-D circuits are investigated in this section. Fundamental concepts for the timing of synchronous systems are introduced. Some design issues related to distributing a clock signal in synchronous circuits are also discussed in Section 10.3.1. The clock networks employed in each of the blocks within the test circuit are described in Section 10.3.2.

10.3.1. Timing Characteristics of Synchronous Circuits

In synchronous circuits, the clock signal provides a common time reference for all of the sequential elements, orchestrating the flow of the data signals within a circuit [277]. A number of clock network topologies have been developed for 2-D circuits which can be symmetric, such as H- and X-trees, highly asymmetric, such as buffered tress and serpentine shaped structures [283], [284], and grid like structures, such as rings and meshes. The clock distribution network is

structured as a global network with multiple smaller local networks. With the global clock network, the clock signal is distributed to specific locations across the circuit. These locations are the source of the local networks that pass the clock signal to the registers or other storage elements.



Fig. 10-14 Physical layout of the test circuit. Some decoupling capacitors are highlighted.

A symmetric structure such as an H-tree is often utilized in global clock networks [284], as shown in Fig. 10-15. The most attractive characteristic of symmetric structures is that the clock signal ideally arrives simultaneously at each leaf of the clock tree. Due to several reasons, however, such as load imbalances, process variations, and crosstalk, the arrival time of the clock signal at various locations within a symmetric tree can be different, producing clock skew. More precisely, clock skew is defined as the difference between the clock signal arrival time of

sequentially-adjacent registers [284]. Two registers are *sequentially-adjacent* if these registers are connected with combinatorial logic (*i.e.*, no additional registers intervene between *sequentially-adjacent* registers). An illustration of this data path is provided in Fig. 10-16. An expression for the clock skew T_{skew} between these registers is also shown in Fig. 10-16, where T_{C_i} and T_{C_j} are the arrival time of the clock signal at register R_i and R_j , respectively.

Index	Pad connectivity	Index	Pad connectivity
1	reset	21	reset
2	V_{dd}	22	V_{ss}
3	V_{ss}	23	V_{dd}
4	Output bit	24	Output bit
5	V_{ss}	25	V_{dd}
6	V_{dd}	26	V_{ss}
7	Output bit	27	V_{ss}
8	reset	28	V_{dd}
9	V_{ss}	29	I _{ref}
10	V_{dd}	30	V_{ss}
11	I _{ref}	31	V_{dd}
12	V_{ss}	32	reset
13	V_{dd}	33	V_{dd}
14	V_{dd}	34	V_{ss}
15	V_{ss}	35	Output bit
16	V_{dd}	36	V_{ss}
17	V_{ss}	37	V_{dd}
18	I _{ref}	38	I _{ref}
19	V_{dd}	39	V_{ss}
20	V_{ss}	40	V_{dd}

TABLE 10-3 PAD CONNECTIVITY OF THE 3-D TEST CIRCUIT (PAD INDEX SHOWN IN FIG. 10-8).

The clock signal typically traverses long distances to reach every sequential element within a circuit. In symmetric structures, such as H-trees, the traversed distances are often longer to preserve symmetry. Due to these extremely long interconnects and higher clock frequencies, the clock network is typically modeled as a transmission line, since inductive behavior is likely to occur [268]. Such behavior can cause multiple reflections at the branch points, directly affecting the performance and power consumed by the clock network. In order to lessen the reflections at the branch points of the tree, the interconnect width of the segments at each branch point is halved (for a 2× change in the line width) to ensure that the total impedance seen at that branch point is maintained constant (matched impedance).



Fig. 10-15 Two-dimensional four level H-tree.

10.3.2. Clock Distribution Network Structures within the Test Circuit

Different clock distribution schemes for 3-D circuits are described in this subsection. To evaluate the specific requirements of the clock networks, consider a traditional H-tree topology. As shown in Fig. 10-15, at each branch point of an H-tree, two branches emanate with the same length. An extension of the H-tree to three dimensions does not guarantee equidistant interconnect paths from the source to the leaves of the tree. This situation is shown in Fig. 10-17, where an H-tree is replicated in each plane of a 3-D circuit. The clock signal is propagated through interplane vias from the output of the clock driver to the center of the H-tree on planes one and three. The impedance of these vias increases the time for the clock signal to arrive at the leaves of the tree on these planes as compared to the time for the clock signal to arrive at the leaves of the tree on the same plane as the clock driver. Furthermore, in a multi-plane 3-D circuit, three or four branches can emanate from each branch point. The third branch propagates the clock signal to the other planes of the 3-D circuit, as shown in Fig. 10-17. Similar to a design methodology for a 2-D H-tree topology, the width of each branch is reduced to a third (or more) of the segment

preceding the branch point in order to match the impedance at the branch point. This requirement, however, is difficult to achieve as the third and fourth branch are connected by an interplane via. The vertical interconnects are of significantly different length as compared to the horizontal branches and also exhibit different impedance characteristics.



Fig. 10-16 A data path depicting a pair of *sequentially-adjacent* registers.



Fig. 10-17 Two-dimensional H-trees constituting a clock distribution network for a 3-D IC.

Various clock network topologies for 3-D ICs are investigated in this case study. Each of the four blocks of the test circuit includes a different clock distribution structure, which are schematically illustrated in Fig. 10-18. The physical layout of these topologies for the MITLL 3-D technology is depicted in Fig. 10-19. The architectures employed in the blocks are:

Block A: All of the planes contain a four level H-tree (*i.e.*, equivalent to 16 leaves) with identical interconnect characteristics. The H-trees are connected through a group of interplane vias, as shown in Fig. 10-18a. The second plane is front-to-front

bonded with the first plane and both of the H-trees are implemented on the third metal (M3) layer. The physical distance between these clock networks is approximately 2 μ m. Note that the H-tree on the second plane is rotated by 90° with respect to the H-trees on the other two planes. The orthogonal placement of these two clock networks effectively eliminates the inductive coupling. All of the H-trees are shielded with two parallel lines connected to ground.

- Block B: A four level H-tree is included in the second plane. Each of the leaves of this Htree is connected through interplane vias to small local rings on the first and second plane, as illustrated in Fig. 10-18b. As in Block A, the H-tree is shielded with two parallel lines connected to ground. Additional interconnect resources are used to form local meshes. Due to the limited interconnect resources, however, a uniform mesh in each ring is difficult to achieve. The clock routing is constrained by the power and ground lines as only three metal layers are available on each plane.
- Block C: The clock distribution network for the second plane is a shielded four level H-tree. Two global rings are utilized for the other two planes, as depicted in Fig. 10-18c. Each ring is connected through interplane vias to the four branch points on the second level of the H-tree. The registers in each plane are individually connected to the ring.
- Block D: The clock network on each plane consists of a trunk structure and branches that connect the registers in each plane to the trunk, as shown in Fig. 10-18d. As for Block A, the trunk for the second plane is rotated by 90° to avoid inductive coupling. Those interconnects that branch from the trunk are placed as close as possible to the registers.



Fig. 10-18 Various 3-D clock distribution networks within the test circuit, (a) H-trees, (b) H-tree and local rings/meshes, (c) H-tree and global rings, and (d) trunk based.

Buffers are inserted at appropriate branch points within the H-trees to amplify the clock signal. In each of the circuit blocks, the clock driver for the entire clock network is located on the second plane. The clock driver on that plane is placed to ensure that the clock signal propagates through similar vertical interconnect paths to the first and third plane, resulting in the same effective delay for the registers located on the first and third planes. The clock driver is implemented with a traditional chain of tapered buffers [285]-[287].



Fig. 10-19 Physical layout of the clock distribution networks in the 3-D IC, (a) H-trees, (b) H-tree and local rings/meshes, (c) H-tree and global rings, and (d) trunk based.

The clock network on each plane feeds the registers located on the same plane. The off-chip clock signal is passed to the clock driver through an RF pad, as shown in Fig. 10-20. The dimensions of the RF pad are also shown in Fig. 10-20. Additional RF pads are placed at different locations on the third plane of each block for probing. These RF pads are used to measure the clock skew at different locations on different planes within the clock network. The output circuitry is an open drain transistor connected to the RF pads by a group of interplane vias to decrease the resistance between the transistor and the probe. The probe is modeled as a series *RLC* impedance with the values shown in Fig. 10-21. The circuit depicted in Fig. 10-21 is used to determine the size of the output transistor.



Fig. 10-20 Clock signal probes with RF pads.

In addition to the clock skew of the clock network topologies employed within the blocks of the test circuit, the power consumption of the entire clock distribution network has also been measured. Since all of the blocks include the same logic circuits, any difference in power consumption is attributed to the clock network, including the interconnect structures, clock driver, and clock buffers. The measurements of the clock skew and power dissipation of the blocks are discussed in the following section.



Fig. 10-21 Open drain transistor and circuit model of the probe.

10.4. Experimental Results

The clock distribution network topologies of the 3-D test circuit are evaluated in this section. The fabricated circuit is depicted in Fig. 10-22, where the four individual blocks can be distinguished. A magnified view of one block is shown in Fig. 10-23. Each block includes four RF pads for measuring the delay of the clock signal. The pad located at the center of each block provides the input clock signal. The clock input is a sinusoidal signal with a DC offset, which is converted to a square waveform at the output of the clock driver. The remaining three RF pads are used to measure the delay of the clock signal at specific points on the clock distribution network within each plane. A buffer is connected to each of these measurement points. The output of this buffer drives the gate of an open drain transistor connected to the RF pad. The RF probes landing on these pads are depicted in Fig. 10-24, where the die assembly on the probe station is illustrated.



Fig. 10-22 Top view of the fabricated 3-D test circuit.



Fig. 10-23 Magnified view of one block of the fabricated 3-D test circuit.



Fig. 10-24 Die assembly of the 3-D test circuit with RF probes.

A clock waveform acquired from the topology combining an H-tree and global rings, shown in Fig. 10-18c, is illustrated in Fig. 10-25, demonstrating operation of the circuit at 1.4 GHz. The clock skew between the planes of each block is listed in Table 10-4. The topologies are ordered in Fig. 10-26 in terms of the maximum measured clock skew between two planes. The delay of the clock signal from the RF input pad at the center of each block to the measurement point on plane *i* is denoted as T_{C_i} in Table 10-4. For example, T_{C_A} denotes the delay of the clock signal to the measurement point on plane A. Additionally, the difference in the delay of the clock signal between two measurements points on planes *i* and *j* is notated as $T_{C_{i,i}}$.

For the H-tree topology, the clock signal delay is measured from the root to a leaf of the tree on each plane, with no other load connected to these leaves. The skew between the leaves of the H-tree on planes A and C (*i.e.*, $T_{C_{A-C}}$) is effectively the delay of a stacked 3-D via traversing the three planes to transfer the clock signal from the target leaf to the RF pad on the third plane. The delay of the clock signal to the sink of the H-tree on the second plane T_{C_B} is larger due to the additional capacitance within that quadrant of the H-tree. This capacitance is intentional on-chip decoupling capacitance placed under the quadrant, increasing the measured skew of $T_{C_{B-C}}$ and $T_{C_{B-A}}$. This topology produces, on average, the lowest skew as compared to the two other topologies.



Fig. 10-25 Clock signal input and output waveform from the topology illustrated in Fig. 10-18c.



Fig. 10-26 Maximum measured clock skew between two planes within the different clock distribution networks.

In the H-tree topology, each leaf of a tree is connected to registers located only within the same plane. Allowing one sink of an H-tree to drive a register on another plane adds the delay of another 3-D via to the clock signal path, further increasing the delay. Consequently, the registers within each plane are connected to the H-tree on the same plane. Note that this approach does not imply that these registers only belong to data paths contained within the same plane.

Clock distribution network Clock skew [ps] $= T_{C_B}$ $= T_{C_A}$ $= T_{C_B} - T_{C_C}$ $T_{C_{(A-C)}}$ T_C - T_C $T_{C_{(B-C)}}$ H-trees (Fig. 10-18a) 32.5 28.3 -4.2 Local meshes (Fig. 10-18b) -68.4 -18.5 49.8 Global rings (Fig. 10-18c) -112.0 -130.6 -18.6

TABLE 10-4MEASURED CLOCK SKEW AMONG THE PLANES OF EACH BLOCK.

The clock skew among the planes is greater for the local mesh topology as compared to the Htree topology, primarily due to the imbalance in the clock load for certain local meshes. Indeed, this topology has only 16 tap points within the global clock distribution network; three times fewer than the H-tree topology illustrated in Fig. 10-18a. This difference can produce a considerable load imbalance, greatly increasing the local clock skew as compared to the local clock skew within the H-tree topology. By inserting the local meshes on planes A and C, which are connected to the 16 sinks of the H-tree on the second plane, the local clock skew is smaller. The greatest difference in the load is between the measurement points on planes A and B, which also produces the largest skew for this topology. The increase in skew, however, as compared to the H-tree topology, is moderate.

Consequently, a limitation of the local meshes topology is that greater effort is required to control the local skew. The fewer number of sinks driven by the global clock distribution network increases the number of registers clocked by each sink. To better explain this situation, consider a segment of each topology shown in Figs. 10-27a and 10-27b, respectively. For the H-tree topology, the clock signal is distributed from three sinks, one on each plane, to the registers within the circular area depicted in Fig. 10-27a. Note that the radius of the circle on planes A and C is slightly smaller to compensate for the additional delay of the clock signal caused by the impedance characteristics of the 3-D vias. The registers located within these regions satisfy specific local skew constraints. Alternatively, in the case of the local mesh topology, the clock signal at the sinks of the H-tree on the second plane feeds registers in each of the three planes. Consequently, each sink of the tree connects to a larger number of registers as compared to the H-tree topology, as depicted by the shaded region in Fig. 10-27b. Despite the beneficial effect of the local meshes, load imbalances are more pronounced for this topology. Alternatively, the H-tree topology (see Fig. 10-18a) utilizes a significant amount of interconnect resources, dissipating more power.

The clock distribution network with the global rings exhibits low skew for planes A and C, those planes that include the global rings. The objective of this topology is to evaluate the effectiveness of a less symmetric architecture in distributing the clock signal within a 3-D circuit.

Although the clock load on each ring is non-uniformly distributed, the load balancing characteristic of the rings yields a relatively low skew between the planes. Since the clock distribution network on the second plane is implemented with an H-tree, the skew between adjacent planes is significantly larger than the skew between the top and bottom planes. Note that the sinks of the H-tree are located at a great distance from the rings on planes A and C (see Fig. 10-18c). A combination of H-tree and global rings, consequently, is not a suitable approach for 3-D circuits due to the difficulty in matching the distance that the clock signal traverses on each plane from the sink of the tree or the ring to the many registers distributed across a plane.



Fig. 10-27 Part of the clock distribution networks illustrated in Figs. 10-18a and 10-18b. (a) The local clock skew is individually adjusted within each plane for the H-tree topology and (b) the local skew is simultaneously adjusted for all of the planes for the local mesh topology.

The measured power consumption of the blocks operating at 1 GHz is reported in Table 10-5. An ordering of the blocks in terms of the measured dissipated power is illustrated in Fig. 10-28. The local mesh topology dissipates the lowest power. This topology requires the least interconnect resources for the global clock network, since the local meshes are connected at the output of the buffers located on the last level of the H-tree on the second plane. In addition, this topology requires a small amount of local interconnect resources as compared to the H-tree and global rings topologies. Most of the registers are connected directly to the local rings. Alternatively, the power consumed by the H-tree topology is the highest, as this topology requires three H-trees and additional wiring for the local connections to the leaves of each tree. In addition, the greatest number of buffers is included in this topology. This number is threefold as compared to the number of buffers used for the local mesh topology. Finally, the global rings block consumes slightly less power than the H-tree topology due to the reduced amount of wiring resources used by the global clock network.



TABLE 10-5MEASURED POWER CONSUMPTION OF EACH BLOCK OPERATING AT 1 GHZ.

Fig. 10-28 Measured power consumption at 1 GHz of the different circuit blocks.

Although the local mesh topology requires the least interconnect resources, a large number of 3-D vias is required for the interplane connections. Since the 3-D vias block all of the metal layers and occupy silicon area, the routing blockage increases considerably as compared to the H-

tree topology. The global rings topology requires a moderate number of 3-D vias as only four connections between the vertices of the rings and the branch points of the H-tree are necessary.

Since three-dimensional integration greatly increases the complexity of designing a synchronization system, a topology that offers low overhead in the design process of a 3-D clock distribution network is preferable. From this perspective, a potential advantage of the H-tree topology is that each plane can be individually analyzed. This behavior occurs in an H-tree topology since the clock distribution network in each plane is exclusively connected to registers within the same plane. Alternatively, in the local ring topology, all of the registers from all of the planes, which are connected to each sink of the tree on the second plane, need to be simultaneously considered.

10.5. Summary

A case study for investigating several clock distribution networks for 3-D ICs has been described in this chapter and measurements from a 3-D test circuit have been presented. The characteristics of the circuit and related topologies are:

- A 3-D clock distribution network cannot be directly extended from a 2-D circuit due to the lack of symmetry in a 3-D circuit due to the effect of the interplane vias.
- The 3-D FDSOI fabrication technology from MITLL has been used to manufacture the test circuit.
- The 3-D test circuit is composed of four independent blocks, where each block is a three plane 3-D circuit. For each block, a different clock distribution network is utilized.
- All of the blocks in each plane share the same logic circuitry to emulate a variety of switching patterns in a synchronous digital circuit.
- The maximum clock frequency of the fabricated 3-D test circuit is 1.4 GHz.

• A comparison of the clock skew and power consumption of each block is provided. A topology combining the symmetry of an H-tree on the second plane and local meshes on the other two planes results in low clock skew for 3-D circuits while consuming the lowest power as compared to the other investigated topologies.

Chapter 11. Conclusions

With MOSFET channel lengths several tens of nanometers long, modern silicon integrated systems can support gigascale transistor densities. On-chip systems, for example, often include several processing cores within a microprocessor system or a mixture of various silicon technologies, such as analog, digital, and RF, in a mixed-signal SoC. The former is the heart of a high performance computing system while the latter can feature a versatile, compact, and portable electronic product. A fundamental requirement for this system-on-chip paradigm is efficient and reliable communication among the system components.

High speed, low power, and low noise inter-component communication is fundamentally limited by the increasing impedance characteristics and length of the interconnect. Threedimensional integration is a revolutionary solution to the deleterious effects of the long interconnects. In vertically integrated systems, the long interconnects spanning several millimeters are replaced by orders of magnitude shorter vertical wires. This inherent reduction in wirelength provides opportunities for increased speed, enhanced noise margins, and lower power.

Three-dimensional integrated systems can also comprise a variety of different silicon technologies, such as digital, analog, RF CMOS and SOI circuits, and non-silicon semiconductor technologies, such as SiGe and GaAs, making 3-D systems highly suitable for a broad spectrum of applications. This salient characteristic raises a greater interest in three-dimensional integration as compared to other incremental solutions that simply scale CMOS technologies.

Within the context of an electronic product, circuit level enhancements can profoundly affect fundamental system requirements, such as compactness, portability, and computing power. Since the application space for these systems is broad, a plethora of 3-D technologies are under development. These approaches range from monolithic 3-D circuits to polylithic and heterogeneous multi-plane systems. The fundamental element of a 3-D silicon system can be a single transistor, a functional block, a bare die, or a packaged circuit. The structural granularity of the system, in turn, determines the form of vertical interconnections, which link the elements of a 3-D circuit in the vertical direction. The cost of manufacturing is directly related to the processing capabilities offered by these technologies. Fabrication processes that utilize TSVs for vertical interconnects are an economic form of vertical integration, while exhibiting considerable improvement in system performance.

A major outcome of this dissertation is that effective solutions to physical design issues for 3-D circuits are demonstrated, emphasizing the significance of the TSVs. In the 3-D design process, the through silicon vias should be treated as a means to improve signaling among the physical planes within a 3-D stack.

The TSVs in a 3-D circuit provide synchronization, power and ground, and signaling among the planes and – in contrast to mainstream 2-D circuits – thermal cooling. The primary challenges and novel solutions for satisfying these objectives have been presented throughout the dissertation.

The electrical characteristics of the TSVs affect all but the thermal objective. Consequently, the impedance characteristics of the TSVs structures are considered in design algorithms and techniques to improve signaling. These methods enhance the benefits provided by the shorter interconnect length. The effectiveness of physical design techniques is considerably improved by exploiting the electrical behavior of these vias. This behavior is investigated in the case study of a fabricated 3-D circuit that evaluates the important issue of synchronization; a crucial objective in global signaling. Different ways to efficiently distribute the clock signal in the gigahertz regime are explored temporally and within three spatial dimensions for the first time. In a nutshell,

enhanced interplane signaling is a prerequisite for high performance and/or high bandwidth 3-D computing applications.

High performance applications typically include communications limited architectures, such as a processor-memory system. Communication fabrics, such as on-chip networks and FPGAs, also greatly benefit from the short vertical interconnects. Different architectural configurations are explored in this dissertation, demonstrating the many possible power and latency tradeoffs that result from exploiting the third dimension. Appropriate latency and power models supporting this analysis are also presented.

Finally, a systematic approach is provided for inserting and distributing the vertical interconnects to improve the overall thermal conductivity of a 3-D stack. By including the thermal objective in 3-D physical design algorithms and techniques, thermal gradients and high temperatures, which can degrade the reliability and performance of a 3-D circuit, are significantly reduced. Due to the high power densities, the thermal objective is an integral part of the physical design process for 3-D integrated systems. Presently, the lack of advanced packaging has shifted the focus of removing heat to primarily on-chip solutions. Design methodologies for circuit and package thermal co-design will offer superior solutions due to the high temperatures within 3-D circuits.

The major achievement of this dissertation is the thorough exploration of multiple aspects of three-dimensional integration from manufacturing to physical design and algorithms to system level architectures. This comprehensive approach targets the design and analysis of 3-D integration from a circuits perspective. With this point of view, the primary objective in the development of this dissertation is to provide intuition behind the most sensitive issues regarding the vertical interconnect, which is essentially intertwined within each step of the 3-D design process. The material described in this thesis is intended to shed light on those areas related to the

design of 3-D integrated systems in an effort to develop large scale multi-functional multi-plane systems to continue the microelectronics revolution.

Chapter 12. Future Work

Various challenges to 3-D integration have been comprehensively discussed throughout this dissertation. Open research problems related to both manufacturing technologies for 3-D circuits and related physical design techniques and algorithms require further investigation. A profound need exists for 3-D design methodologies, which currently are in an embryonic stage. For instance, global signaling and signal integrity issues in 3-D circuits have yet to be investigated.

More specifically, the problem of distributing abundant power to every transistor in each plane, within a diverse and complex 3-D system, is critical. A variety of power distribution grids is often used to distribute power within a 2-D circuit. The architecture of these grids is primarily determined by the target impedance of the power distribution network. In a multi-plane system, more than one power grid is required. In addition, current is transferred to the planes located far from the power/ground pads of the package through the vertical interconnects. The voltage droop caused by these structures needs to be investigated for various 3-D technologies.

As described in Chapter 9, 3-D NoC can improve the performance of traditional on-chip networks. Several applications implemented by on-chip networks require certain throughput and quality of service (QoS), for example, audio and video applications. This data traffic can cause the temperature of some interconnect busses to reach unacceptable levels due to interconnect joule heating. This situation can result in increased latency while accelerating wear out mechanisms within a circuit. A possible approach to avoid such problems is to identify those paths with the higher temperature (*i.e.*, hot paths) and route the data along alternative paths. This detour, however, should not compromise network bandwidth.

A unique characteristic of 3-D integrated systems is vertical communication, implemented by vertical interconnect busses in 3-D NoCs. A vertically transmitted signal is typically propagated

along a small interconnect length which ranges from several tens to a couple of hundred micrometers. Despite the shorter interconnect length, shielding is necessary, as a signal in the *z*-direction can be surrounded by a large number of signals. For 3-D NoC architectures, signal integrity becomes a crucial issue, since data are exclusively transferred in the vertical direction through busses.

Power distribution issues for 3-D ICs are discussed in Section 12.1. Crosstalk among the vertical interconnects and related shielding approaches are described in Section 12.2. Finally, thermal-aware packet routing for 3-D NoC is discussed in Section 12.3. A summary of these open research issues is offered in Section 12.4.

12.1. Power Distribution Networks for 3-D ICs

Distributing power in integrated circuits is an important and highly complex issue. The design of power distribution networks includes several steps where the information describing the physical design and the current profile of the circuit blocks are refined at each step of the design process [288]. A variety of power distribution networks has been developed for different types of circuits. For high performance systems, such as microprocessors, an architecture consisting of a single or multiple pair grids is typically used to deliver power to the individual devices. This architecture is implemented at the global and semi-global metal layers, while short interconnects at the lower metal layers are used for the power and ground connections within each cell [174]. An example of a power distribution grid is schematically shown in Fig. 12-1 [289]. Grid architectures produce sufficiently small output impedances of the power distribution system; however, a significant amount of wiring resources is required as compared to other simpler but less efficient power distribution topologies. Different styles of power distribution grids with considerably different impedance characteristics have also been investigated [290].

Implementing a power distribution network for a high performance multi-plane system will require several grids, one for each physical plane or a mixture of grid-based and other simpler architectures, such as meshes and star-like structures [174]. Analyzing such a complicated power distribution system to determine the voltage droop or the impedance of the entire system requires significant computational capability. A simpler way to approach this problem is to separately analyze the power distribution network within each plane of the 3-D system. This decoupling is based on the assumption that each TSV only carries current to the bottom plane connected to the TSV. This configuration is illustrated in Fig. 12-2. This assumption is reasonable since any other current path would include a horizontal interconnect segment, resulting in a path with greater impedance. Techniques that accurately and effectively analyze these complicated structures need to be developed.



Fig. 12-1 Power distribution grid commonly used in high performance integrated circuits.

Beyond the analysis of power distribution architectures, methodologies for designing these networks are required. In support of this effort, the impedance characteristics of the TSVs must be investigated. Additionally, TSVs can block the metal layers within a plane. Consequently, utilizing an unnecessary large numbers of vias to satisfy voltage droop requirements of each plane can considerably increase the routing congestion within these planes. The number and placement

of the TSVs within the power and ground network should be determined based on the range of signal frequencies and the current demand within each plane.

A high level strategy to facilitate the design of a power distribution network for 3-D ICs would be to place the circuit blocks with the highest current demands closer to the face of the package that contains the I/O pads. In conventional packages, one face of the package is dedicated to the I/Os while the heat sink is attached to the opposite face. Consequently, placing the high switching activity blocks on the plane adjacent to the I/Os hinders the heat removal process as the distance from the heat sink increases. The thermal reliability of the system can be considerably degraded unless the package provides an advanced cooling mechanism.



Fig. 12-2 Decoupling the power distribution networks can reduce the design complexity of the power delivery task in 3-D ICs. Each power distribution grid is treated as carrying only the amount of current consumed by the corresponding plane.

Alternatively, placing the circuit blocks with the greatest power dissipation on the physical plane located closest to the heat sink to enhance the heat removal process further constrains the design of the power distribution network. These limitations are due to the increase in the physical distance between the power/ground pads of the package and the power distribution network of the

plane. This configuration can violate the power supply noise limits for that plane, resulting in degraded performance or malfunctioning of the circuit. Furthermore, each of these scenarios requires a different number of TSVs for the power distribution network in order to lower the impedance of the network in the *z*-direction.

Design methodologies for robust power distribution networks of 3-D systems should therefore consider the thermal profile of the circuit in addition to the density and impedance characteristics of the TSVs. The increase in the resistivity of the power distribution grids in some of the planes due to the higher temperatures should also be considered.

12.2. Signal Integrity in the Vertical Direction

There are several mechanisms that can compromise signal integrity in an integrated system. Crosstalk noise among neighboring signals, for example, can cause spurious transitions or increase the delay required to transmit a signal. Alternatively, substrate coupling between the digital and analog part of a mixed-signal circuit can inject noise into the sensitive analog circuitry. Noise can have a detrimental effect on the operation of analog circuits, such as a decrease in the gain and bandwidth of the amplifiers or an increase in the phase noise of the voltage controlled oscillators.

In 3-D mixed-signal circuits, new opportunities emerge for noise mitigation by implementing the analog and digital portion of the circuit on different physical planes. This configuration can eliminate substrate coupling and decrease crosstalk noise between the analog and digital circuitry. Both analog and digital signals can cross multiple planes in a 3-D mixed-signal circuit. Despite the short vertical interconnects, crosstalk noise can be significant due to the large number of neighboring signals. Consider, for instance, a buss in the third dimension implemented by TSVs, as illustrated in Fig. 12-3. Some of these lines can couple to a large number of adjacent wires that

are susceptible to crosstalk noise. Reducing crosstalk noise requires shields, where a variety of shielding topologies can be utilized. Some possible shielding topologies are illustrated in Fig. 12-4. Each topology decreases crosstalk to a different degree.

Another approach to reduce crosstalk noise in a vertical interconnect buss is to increase the spacing among the bit lines or change the arrangement of the interconnects since wires can move in both the x and y directions. Different arrangements of a vertical buss are shown in Fig. 12-5. Each of these topologies results in different amounts of crosstalk noise and creates a different routing blockage for the horizontal interconnects. Techniques that produce acceptable noise levels while not wasting wiring resources are necessary. Related tradeoffs among the various topologies should also be investigated.



Fig. 12-3 Number of immediate aggressors for a signal in vertical and horizontal busses. The number of aggressors in a vertical buss (a) can be considerably larger than in the horizontal buss (b).



Fig. 12-4 Top view of different shielding topologies (G) for a vertical interconnect (S) in a 3-D IC. (a) Single shield, (b) two shields, (c) four shields, and (d) eight shields.

In order to decrease the routing blockage caused by a vertical interconnect buss, bits can be multiplexed to ensure that fewer wires are required for data propagation. In this way, shielding requirements are also decreased. Consider, for example, the 3-D NoC topologies as discussed in Chapter 9. In those topologies, a large number of TSVs is needed for the vertical busses. Signal multiplexing can considerably reduce this number. In addition, signal multiplexing requires faster clock frequencies, which is feasible due to the significantly shorter length of the vertical busses as compared to the horizontal busses. Higher frequencies, however, will produce larger impedance characteristics, specifically higher inductive reactance.





In conclusion, the implementation of high performance and reliable vertical links primarily targeting 3-D systems-on-chip depends on many parameters, such as the crosstalk noise, available wiring resources, and routing blockages. The electrical behavior of these vertical links will need

to be analyzed and design techniques developed that can guarantee reliable communication without expending significant interconnect resources.

12.3. Thermal-Aware Packet Routing for 3-D NoC

Several interesting topologies for 3-D NoC are analyzed in Chapter 9. These topologies outperform conventional 2-D on-chip networks [256], [291]. Since 3-D NoC decrease the number of hops required to transfer data, an increase in the network throughput can be achieved. As a greater amount of data is propagated across the network, interconnect joule heating can raise the temperature for some of the planes. Traditional routing algorithms, either deterministic or adaptive, can be used to select the shortest or least congested path to route the packets. These algorithms, however, can leverage the interconnect heating process within some areas across each plane.

A simple example of this behavior is illustrated in Fig. 12-6. Assume that packets are propagated from point A to point B over the path shown by the solid line on plane j of a 3-D NoC. If this path becomes heavily congested, the data can be routed through the paths shown by the dashed lines on planes j-1 and j+1, respectively. This routing path, however, will further increase the temperature of this portion of the circuit and, in particular, the temperature of the upper planes. This situation is due to the increase in the power density of this portion of the 3-D NoC.

Alternatively, power-aware routing can partially alleviate potential thermal problems. This category of routing techniques can improve the power density within the volume of a 3-D system; lowering the average temperature of the circuit. This decrease originates from reducing the total capacitance being switched during data propagation. The hot paths, however, are not eliminated

as specific paths (and, consequently, certain interconnect busses) are utilized for long time periods. This situation occurs particularly in localized traffic patterns.

Thermal-aware routing algorithms, consequently, can be another means to manage thermal effects by either eliminating or decreasing the number of thermal TSVs used to achieve a thermally robust 3-D system. Since a thermal-aware routing algorithm can choose paths that are considerably longer than the shortest path, the impact of these algorithms on the latency and throughput of the network should be considered. Tradeoffs among network latency, throughput, and temperature therefore need to be investigated.

An important aspect in the development of this type of routing algorithm is the accuracy in determining the temperature at different points within the network. Models and expressions that relate the data traffic to the thermal profile of the network will be developed. These models can be embedded in the design of the network routers and/or the data packet structure to determine the next forwarding node for the data.



Fig. 12-6 Different routing paths for propagating data between nodes A and B. If the path shown by the solid line is congested, alternative paths depicted by the dashed and dotted lines are used. These paths have a negligible effect on the latency of the network, but further increase the temperature of the interconnect busses within the path shown by the solid line.

12.4. Summary

Design for global signaling in 3-D ICs is an important and barely investigated issue. In addition, thermal issues related to 3-D NoC have yet to be analyzed. Some of these open research problems are summarized here:

- High performance 3-D ICs will require multiple power distribution grids or a combination of power distribution grids and other types of networks to deliver power to each physical plane.
- The analysis of these complex power distribution systems can be simplified if each of these grids is separately analyzed and designed.
- The number of TSVs used to distribute power should be carefully managed to not increase routing blockages within the planes of a 3-D stack.
- Vertical busses in a 3-D system can induce greater crosstalk noise on a bit line due to the larger number of adjacent signals as compared to horizontal busses.
- Shielding techniques that do not exacerbate routing blockages are necessary for vertical busses.
- Signal multiplexing is an alternative to reduce the number of wires in a vertical link; more elaborate shielding techniques are also necessary.
- Thermal-aware packet routing is another approach to avoid hot spots and decrease thermal gradients within 3-D NoC.
- Thermal-aware routing algorithms should maintain a specific throughput while limiting the increase in network latency.

References

- [1] C. Weiner, "How the Transistor Emerged," *IEEE Spectrum*, pp. 24-33, January 1977.
- [2] P. H. Abelson and A. L. Hammond, "The Electronics Revolution," *Science*, Vol. 195, No. 4283, pp. 1087-1091, March 1977.
- [3] N. P. Ruzic, "The Automated Factory: A Dream Come True?," *Control Engineering*, Vol. 25, No. 4, pp. 58-62, April 1978.
- [4] J. C. Burns, "The Evolution of Office Information Systems," *Datamation*, pp. 60-64, April 1977.
- [5] A. J. Nichols, "An Overview of Microprocessor Applications," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, Vol. 64, No. 6, pp. 951-953, June 1976.
- [6] T. Forester, *The Microelectronics Revolution*, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1981.
- [7] R. N. Noyce, "Microelectronics," *Scientific American*, Vol. 237, No. 3, pp. 62-69, September 1977.
- [8] G. Lapidus, "Transistor Family History," IEEE Spectrum, pp. 34-35, January 1977.
- [9] S. Augarten, State of the Art: A Photographic History of the Integrated Circuit, Ticknor & Fields, New Haven and New York, 1983.
- [10] R. N. Noyce, "Large Scale Integration: What is Yet to Come," *Science*, Vol. 195, No. 4283, pp. 1102-1106, March 1977.
- [11] K. C. Saraswat and F. Mohammadi, "Effect of Scaling of Interconnections on the Time Delay of VLSI Circuits," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Vol. ED-29, No. 4, pp. 645-650, April 1982.
- [12] International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors ITRS, 2005 Edition.
- [13] C. Akrout *et al.*, "A 480-MHz RISC Microprocessor in a 0.12-μm L_{eff} CMOS Technology with Copper Interconnects," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, Vol. 33, No. 11, pp. 1609-1616, November 1998.
- [14] D. H. Allen *et al.*, "A 0.2 µm 1.8 V SOI 550 MHz 64 b Power PC Microprocessor with Copper Interconnects," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference*, pp. 438-439, February 1999.
- [15] M. Naik *et al.*, "Process Integration of Double Level Copper-Low k (k=2.8) Interconnect," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Interconnect Technology Conference*, pp. 181-183, May 1999.
- [16] P. Zarkesh-Ha et al., "The Impact of Cu/Low k on Chip Performance," Proceedings of the IEEE International ASIC/SoC Conference, pp. 257-261, September 1999.
- [17] Y. Takao *et al.*, "A 0.11 µm Technology with Copper and Very-Low-k Interconnects for High Performance System-on-Chip Cores," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electron Device Meeting*, pp. 559-562, December 2000.
- [18] J. D. Meindl, "Interconnect Opportunities for Gigascale Integration," *IEEE Micro*, Vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 28-35, May/June 2003.
- [19] R. Venkatesan, J. A. Davis, K. A. Bowman, and J. D. Meindl, "Optimal *n*-tier Interconnect Architectures for Gigascale Integration (GSI)," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 9, No. 6, pp. 899-912, December 2001.
- [20] K. M. Lepak, I. Luwandi, and L. He, "Simultaneous Shield Insertion and Net Ordering under Explicit *RLC* Noise Constraint," *Proceedings of the IEEE Design Automation Conference*, pp. 199-202, June 2001.
- [21] P. Fishburn, "Shaping a VLSI Wire to Minimize Elmore Delay," Proceedings of the IEEE European Design and Test Conference, pp. 244-251, March 1997.

- [22] M. A. El-Moursy and E. G. Friedman, "Exponentially Tapered H-Tree Clock Distribution Networks," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 13, No. 8, pp. 971-975, August 2005.
- [23] H. B. Bakoglu and J. D. Meindl, "Optimal Interconnection Circuits for VLSI," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Vol. ED-32, No. 5, pp. 903-909, May 1985.
- [24] Y. I. Ismail, E. G. Friedman, and J. L. Neves, "Exploiting On-Chip Inductance in High Speed Clock Distribution Networks," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration* (VLSI) Systems, Vol. 9, No. 6, pp. 963-973, December 2001.
- [25] M. Ghoneima et al., "Reducing the Effective Coupling Capacitance in Buses Using Threshold Voltage Adjustment Techniques," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*, Vol. 53, No. 9, pp. 1928-1933, September 2006.
- [26] M. R. Stan and W. P. Burleson, "Bus-Invert Coding for Low-Power I/O," IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 49-58, March 1998.
- [27] R. Bashirullah, L. Wentai, and R. K. Cavin III, "Current-Mode Signaling in Deep Submicrometer Global Interconnects," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration* (VLSI) Systems, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 406-417, June 2003.
- [28] V. V. Deodhar and J. A. Davis, "Optimization of Throughput Performance for Low-Power VLSI Interconnects," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 13, No. 3, pp. 308-318, March 2005.
- [29] H. Zhang, V. George, and J. M. Rabaey, "Low-Swing On-Chip Signaling Techniques: Effectiveness and Robustness," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 8, No. 3, pp. 264-272, June 2000.

- [30] L. Benini and G. De Micheli, "Networks on Chip: A New SoC Paradigm," IEEE Computer, Vol. 31, No. 1, pp. 70-78, January 2002.
- [31] M. Haurylau *et al.*, "On-Chip Optical Interconnect Roadmap: Challenges and Critical Directions," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics on Quantum Electronics*, Vol. 12, No. 6, pp. 1699-1705, November/December 2006.
- [32] G. Chen et al., "On-Chip Copper-Based vs. Optical Interconnects: Delay Uncertainty, Latency, Power, and Bandwidth Density Comparative Predictions," Proceedings of the IEEE International Interconnect Technology Conference, pp. 39-41, June 2006.
- [33] G. Chen, H. Chen, M. Haurylau, N. A. Nelson, D. H. Albonesi, P. M. Fauchet, and E. G. Friedman, "Predictions of CMOS Compatible On-Chip Optical Interconnect," *Integration, the VLSI Journal,* Vol. 40, No. 4, pp. 434-446, July 2007.
- [34] G. T. Goele *et al.*, "Vertical Single Gate CMOS Inverters on Laser-Processed Multilayer Substrates," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electron Device Meetings*, Vol. 27, pp. 554-556, December 1981.
- [35] J. F. Gibbons and K. F. Lee, "One-Gate-Wide CMOS Inverter on Laser-Recrystallized Polysilicon," *IEEE Electron Device Letters*, Vol. EDL-1, No. 6, pp. 117-118, June 1980.
- [36] W. R. Davis *et al.*, "Demystifying 3D ICs: the Pros and Cons of Going Vertical," *IEEE Design and Test of Computers*, Vol. 22, No. 6, pp. 498-510, November/December 2005.
- [37] J. W. Joyner, P. Zarkesh-Ha, J. A. Davis, and J. D. Meindl, "A Three-Dimensional Stochastic Wire-Length Distribution for Variable Separation of Strata," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Interconnect Technology Conference*, pp. 126-128, June 2000.
- [38] M. Koyanagi *et al.*, "Future System-on-Silicon LSI Chips," *IEEE Micro*, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 17-22, July/August 1998.

- [39] V. K. Jain, S. Bhanja, G. H. Chapman, and L. Doddannagari, "A Highly Reconfigurable Computing Array: DSP Plane of a 3D Heterogeneous SoC," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Systems on Chip Conference*, pp. 243-246, September 2005.
- [40] V. H. Nguyen and P. Christie, "The Impact of Interstratal Interconnect Density on the Performance of Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits," *Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM International Workshop on System Level Interconnect Prediction*, pp. 73-77, April 2005.
- [41] I. Savidis and E. G. Friedman, "Electrical Modeling and Characterization of 3-D Vias," Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, pp. 784-787, May 2008.
- [42] M. Karnezos, "3-D Packaging: Where All Technologies Come Together," Proceedings of the IEEE/SEMI International Electronics Manufacturing Technology Symposium, pp. 64-67, July 2004.
- [43] J. Miettinen, M. Mantysalo, K. Kaija, and E. O. Ristolainen, "System Design Issues for 3D System-in-Package (SiP)," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components* and Technology Conference, pp. 610-615, June 2004.
- [44] S. F. Al-Sarawi, D. Abbott, and P. D. Franzon, "A Review of 3-D Packaging Technology," *IEEE Transactions on Components, Packaging, and Manufacturing Technology – Part B,* Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 2-14, February 1998.
- [45] R. J. Gutmann et al., "Three-Dimensional (3D) ICs: A Technology Platform for Integrated Systems and Opportunities for New Polymeric Adhesives," Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Polymers and Adhesives in Microelectronics and Photonics, pp. 173-180, October 2001.

- [46] E. Culurciello and A. G. Andreou, "Capacitive Inter-Chip Data and Power Transfer for 3-D VLSI," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs*, Vol. 53, No. 12, pp. 1348-1352, December 2006.
- [47] R. R. Tummala *et al.*, "The SOP for Miniaturized, Mixed-Signal Computing, Communication, and Consumer Systems of the Next Decade," *IEEE Transactions on Advanced Packaging*, Vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 250-267, May 2004.
- [48] R. R. Tummala, "SOP: What is it and Why? A New Microsystem-Integration Technology Paradigm-Moore's Law for System Integration of Miniaturized Convergent Systems of the Next Decade," *IEEE Transactions on Advanced Packaging*, Vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 241-249, May 2004.
- [49] V. Sundaram *et al.*, "Next-Generation Microvia and Global Wiring Technologies for SOP," *IEEE Transactions on Advanced Packaging*, Vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 315-325, May 2004.
- [50] H. P. Hofstee, "Future Microprocessors and Off-Chip SOP Interconnect," IEEE Transactions on Advanced Packaging, Vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 301-303, May 2004.
- [51] P. Garrou, "Future ICs Go Vertical," *Semiconductor International* [online], February 2005.
- [52] E. Beyne, "The Rise of the 3rd Dimension for System Integration," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Interconnect Technology Conference*, pp. 1-5, June 2006.
- [53] A. C. Fox III and M. Warren, "High-Density Electronic Package Comprising Stacked Sub-Modules which are Electrically Interconnected by Solder-Filled Vias," U.S. Patent 5,128,831, October 1991.
- [54] I. Miyano et al., "Fabrication and Thermal Analysis of 3-D Located LSI Packages," Proceedings of the European Hybrid Microelectronics Conference, pp. 184-191, June 1993.

- [55] S. Stoukach *et al.*, "3D-SiP Integration for Autonomous Sensor Nodes," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference*, pp. 404-408, June 2006.
- [56] N. Tamaka et al., "Low-Cost Through-Hole Electrode Interconnection for 3D-SiP Using Room-Temperature Bonding," Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference, pp. 814-818, June 2006.
- [57] W. J. Howell *et al.*, "Area Array Solder Interconnection Technology for the Three-Dimensional Silicon Cube," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference*, pp. 1174-1178, May 1995.
- [58] K. Hatada, H. Fujimoto, T. Kawakita, and T. Ochi, "A New LSI Bonding Technology 'Micron Bump Bonding Assembly Technology'," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Manufacturing Technology Symposium*, pp. 23-27, October 1988.
- [59] J.-C. Souriau, O. Lignier, M. Charrier, and G. Poupon, "Wafer Level of 3D System in Package for RF and Data Applications," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference*, pp. 356-361, June 2005.
- [60] K. Tanida et al., "Ultra-High-Density 3D Chip Stacking Technology," Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference, pp. 1084-1089, May 2003.
- [61] J. A. Minahan, A. Pepe, R. Some, and M. Suer, "The 3D Stack in Short Form," Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference, pp. 340-344, May 1992.
- [62] S. P. Larcombe, J. M. Stern, P. A. Ivey, and L. Seed, "Utilizing a Low Cost 3D Packaging Technology for Consumer Applications," *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics*, Vol. 41, No. 4, pp. 1095-1102, November 1995.

- [63] J. Barrett *et al.*, "Performance and Reliability of a Three-Dimensional Plastic Moulded Vertical Multichip Module (MCM-V)," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference*, pp. 656-663, May 1995.
- [64] C. C. Liu, J.-H. Chen, R. Manohar, and S. Tiwari, "Mapping System-on-Chip Designs from 2-D to 3-D ICs," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*, pp. 2939-2942, May 2005.
- [65] R. Weerasekera, L. R. Zheng, D. Pamunuwa, and H. Tenhunen, "Extending Systems-on-Chip to the Third Dimension: Performance, Cost and Technological Tradeoffs," *Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pp. 212-219, November 2007.
- [66] M. W. Geis, D. C. Flanders, D. A. Antoniadis, and H. I. Smith, "Crystalline Silicon on Insulators by Graphoepitaxy," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting*, pp. 210-212, December 1979.
- [67] S. Akiyama et al., "Multilayer CMOS Device Fabricated on Laser Recrystallized Silicon Islands," Proceedings of the IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting, pp. 352-355, December 1983.
- [68] S. Kawamura *et al.*, "Three-Dimensional CMOS IC's Fabricated by Using Beam Recrystallization," *IEEE Electron Device Letters*, Vol. EDL-4, No. 10, pp. 366-368, October 1983.
- [69] K. Sugahara *et al.*, "SOI/SOI/Bulk-Si Triple-Level Structure for Three-Dimensional Devices," *IEEE Electron Device Letters*, Vol. EDL-7, No. 3, pp. 193-194, March 1986.
- [70] K. F. Lee, J. F. Gibbons, and K. C. Saraswat, "Thin Film MOSFET's Fabricated in Laser-Annealed Polycrystalline Silicon," *Applied Physics Letters*, Vol. 35, No. 2, pp. 173-175, July 1979.

- [71] H. Hazama *et al.*, "Application of E-beam Recrystallization to Three-Layer Image Processor Fabrication," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Vol. 38, No. 1, pp. 47-54, January 1991.
- [72] V. W. Chan, P. C. H. Chan, and M. Chan, "Three-Dimensional CMOS Integrated Circuits on Large Grain Polysilicon Films," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting*, pp. 161-164, December 2000.
- [73] V. Subramania and K. C. Saraswat, "High-Performance Germanium-Seeded Laterally Crystallized TFT's for Vertical Device Integration," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Vol. 45, No. 9, pp. 1934-1939, September 1998.
- [74] G. W. Neudeck, S. Pae, J. P. Denton, and T.C. Su, "Multiple Layers of Silicon-on-Insulator for Nanostructure Devices," *Journal of Vacuum Science Technology B*, Vol. 17, No. 3, pp. 994-998, May/June 1999.
- [75] N. Hirashita, T. Katoh, and H. Onoda, "Si-Gate CMOS Devices on a Si Lateral Solid-Phase Epitaxial Layer," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Vol. 36, No. 3, pp. 548-552, March 1989.
- [76] X. Lin, S. Zhang, X. Wu, and M. Chan, "Local Clustering 3-D Stacked CMOS Technology for Interconnect Loading Reduction," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Vol. 53, No. 6, pp. 1405-1410, June 2006.
- [77] H.-S. P. Wong, K. K. Chan, and Y. Tuar, "Self-Aligned (Top and Bottom) Double-Gate MOSFET with a 25 nm Thick Silicon Channel," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting*, pp. 427-430, December 1997.
- [78] R. S. Shenoy and K. C. Saraswat, "Novel Process for Fully Self-Aligned Planar Ultrathin Body Double-Gate FET," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Silicon on Insulator Conference*, pp. 190-191, October 2004.

- [79] B. Yu et al., "FinFet Scaling to 10 nm Gate Length," Proceedings of the IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting, pp. 251-254, December 2002.
- [80] X. Wu et al., "A Three-Dimensional Stacked Fin-CMOS Technology for High-Density ULSI Circuits," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Vol. 52, No. 9, pp. 1998-2003, September 2005.
- [81] X. Wu *et al.*, "Stacked 3-D Fin-CMOS Technology," *IEEE Electron Device Letters*, Vol. 26, No. 6, pp. 416-418, June 2005.
- [82] A. Fan, A. Rahman, and R. Reif, "Copper Wafer Bonding," *Electrochemical and Solid-State Letters*, Vol. 2, No. 10, pp. 534-536, October 1999.
- [83] R. Reif, A. Fan, K. N. Chen, and S. Das, "Fabrication Technologies for Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Quality Electronic Design*, pp. 33-37, March 2002.
- [84] J.-Q. Lu et al., "Stacked Chip-to-Chip Interconnections Using Wafer Bonding Technology with Dielectric Bonding Glues," Proceedings of the IEEE International Interconnect Technology Conference, pp. 219-221, June 2001.
- [85] A. Klumpp, R. Merkel, R. Wieland, and P. Ramm, "Chip-to-Wafer Stacking Technology for 3D System Integration," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components* and Technology Conference, pp. 1080-1083, May 2003.
- [86] C. A. Bower et al., "High Density Vertical Interconnect for 3-D Integration of Silicon Integrated Circuits," Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference, pp. 399-403, June 2006.
- [87] T. Fukushima, Y. Yamada, H. Kikuchi, and M. Koyanagi, "New Three-Dimensional Integration Using Self-Assembly Technique," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting*, pp. 348-351, December 2005.

- [88] A. W. Topol *et al.*, "Enabling SOI-Based Assembly Technology for Three-Dimensional (3D) Integrated Circuits (ICs)," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting*, pp. 352-355, December 2005.
- [89] S. Tiwari et al., "Three-Dimensional Integration for Silicon Electronics," Proceedings of the IEEE Lester Eastman Conference on High Performance Devices, pp. 24-33, August 2002.
- [90] A. R. Mirza, "One Micron Precision, Wafer-Level Aligned Bonding for Interonnect, MEMS and Packaging Applications," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference*, pp. 676-680, May 2000.
- [91] N. Watanabe, T. Kojima, and T. Asano, "Wafer-Level Compliant Bump for Three-Dimensional LSI with High-Density Area Bump Connections," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting*, pp. 671-674, December 2005.
- [92] R. N. Vrtis, K. A. Heap, W. F. Burgoyne, and L. M. Roberson, "Poly (Arylene Ethers) as Low Dielectric Constant Materials for ULSI Interconnect Applications," *Proceedings of the Materials Research Society Symposium*, Vol. 443, p. 171, December 1997.
- [93] N. H. Hendricks, "The Status of Low-k Materials Development," Proceedings of the International VLSI Multilevel Interconnect Conference, p. 17, June 2000.
- [94] S. F. Hahn, S. J. Martin, and M. L. McKelvy, "Thermally Induced Polymerization of an Arylvinylbenzocyclobenzene Monomer," *Macromolecules*, Vol. 25, No. 5, pp. 1539-1545, September 1992.
- [95] D. Oben, P. Weigand, M. J. Shapiro, and S. A. Cohen, "Influence of the Cure Process on the Properties of Hydrogen Silsequioxene Spin-on-Glass," *Proceedings of the Materials Research Society Symposium*, Vol. 443, p. 195, December 1997.

- [96] T.-M. Lu and J. A. Moor, "Vapor Deposition of Low-Dielectric-Constant Polymeric Thin Films," *Materials Research Bulletin*, Vol. 22, No. 10, pp. 28-32, October 1997.
- [97] S. A. Kühn, M. B. Kleiner, R. Thewes, and W. Weber, "Vertical Signal Transmission in Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits by Capacitive Coupling," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*, Vol. 1, pp. 37-40, May 1995.
- [98] A. Fazzi et al., "3-D Capacitive Interconnections for Wafer-Level and Die-Level Assembly," *IEEE Journal of Solid State Circuits*, Vol. 42, No. 10, pp. 2270-2282, October 2007.
- [99] J. Xu et al., "AC Coupled Interconnect for Dense 3-D ICs," IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol. 51, No. 5, pp. 2156-2160, October 2004.
- [100] B. Kim et al., "Factors Affecting Copper Filling Process Within High Aspect Ratio Deep Vias for 3D Chip Stacking," Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference, pp. 838-843, June 2006.
- [101] M. W. Newman *et al.*, "Fabrication and Electrical Characterization of 3D Vertical Interconnects," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference*, pp. 394-398, June 2006.
- [102] N. T. Nguyen *et al.*, "Through-Wafer Copper Electroplating for Three-Dimensional Interconnects," *Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering*, Vol. 12, No. 4, pp. 395-399, July 2002.
- [103] C. S. Premachandran *et al.*, "A Vertical Wafer Level Packaging Using Through Hole Filled Via Interconnect by Lift-Off Polymer Method for MEMS and 3D Stacking Applications," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference*, pp. 1094-1098, June 2005.

- [104] F. Laermer, P. Schilp, and R. Bosch Gmbh, "Method of Anisotropically Etching Silicon," U.S. Patent 5,501,893, 1996; German Patent DE4241045C1, 1994.
- [105] R. Nagarajan et al., "Development of a Novel Deep Silicon Tapered Via Etch Process for Through-Silicon Interconnection in 3-D Integrated Systems," Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference, pp. 383-387, June 2006.
- [106] P. Dixit and J. Miao, "Fabrication of High Aspect Ratio 35 µm Pitch Interconnects for Next Generation 3-D Wafer Level Packaging by Through-Wafer Copper Electroplating," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference*, pp. 388-393, June 2006.
- [107] S. X. Zhang, S.-W. R. Lee, L. T. Weng, and S. So, "Characterization of Copper-to-Silicon for the Application of 3D Packaging with Through Silicon Vias," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Electronic Packaging Technology*, pp. 51-56, September 2005.
- [108] N. Ranganathan et al., "High Aspect Ratio Through-Wafer Interconnect for Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits," Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference, pp. 343-348, June 2005.
- [109] D. Henry et al., "Low Electrical Resistance Silicon Through Vias: Technology and Characterization," Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference, pp. 1360-1365, June 2006.
- [110] C. Odoro et al., "Analysis of the Induced Stresses in Silicon During Thermocompression Cu-Cu Bonding of Cu-Through-Vias in 3D-SIC Architecture," Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference, pp. 249-255, June 2007.

- [111] D. Sabuncuoglu-Tezcan et al., "Sloped Through Wafer Vias for 3D Wafer Level Packaging," Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference, pp. 643-647, June 2007.
- [112] R. S. Patti, "Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits and the Future of System-on-Chip Designs," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, Vol. 94, No. 6, pp. 1214-1224, June 2006.
- [113] D. M. Jang et al., "Development and Evaluation of 3-D SiP with Vertically Interconnected Through Silicon Vias (TSV)," Proceedings of the IEEE International Electronic Components and Technology Conference, pp. 847-850, June 2007.
- [114] B. S. Landman and R. L. Russo, "On a Pin Versus Block Relationship for Partitions of Logic Graphs," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, Vol. C-20, No. 12, pp. 1469-1479, December 1971.
- [115] W. E. Donath, "Placement and Average Interconnection Lengths of Computer Logic," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, Vol. 26, No. 4, pp. 272-277, April 1979.
- [116] P. Christie and D. Stroobandt, "The Interpretation and Application of Rent's Rule," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 8, No. 6, pp. 639-648, December 2000.
- [117] P. Verplaetse, D. Stroobandt, and J. Van Campenhout, "A Stochastic Model for the Interconnection Topology of Digital Circuits," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 9, No. 6, pp. 938-942, December 2001.
- [118] A. B. Kahng, S. Mantik, and D. Stroobandt, "Toward Accurate Models of Achievable Routing," *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, Vol. 20, No. 5, pp. 648-659, May 2001.
- [119] D. Stroobandt, A Priori Wire Length Estimates for Digital Design, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands 2001.

- [120] J. A. Davis, V. K. De, and J. D. Meindl, "A Stochastic Wire-Length Distribution for Gigascale Integration (GSI) – Part I: Derivation and Validation," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Vol. 45, No. 3, pp. 580-589, March 1998.
- [121] J. A. Davis, V. K. De, and J. D. Meindl, "A Stochastic Wire-Length Distribution for Gigascale Integration (GSI) – Part II: Applications to Clock Frequency, Power Dissipation, and Chip Size Estimation," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Vol. 45, No. 3, pp. 590-597, March 1998.
- [122] J. W. Joyner et al., "Impact of Three-Dimensional Architectures on Interconnects in Gigascale Integration," IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems, Vol. 9, No. 6, pp. 922-928, December 2001.
- [123] J. W. Joyner, P. Zarkesh-Ha, J. A. Davis, and J. D. Meindl, "Vertical Pitch Limitations on Performance Enhancement in Bonded Three-Dimensional Interconnect Architectures," *Proceedings of the ACM International System Level Interconnect Prediction Conference*, pp. 123-127, April 2000.
- [124] J. W. Joyner, P. Zarkesh-Ha, and J. D. Meindl, "A Stochastic Global Net-Length Distribution for a Three-Dimensional System-on-a-Chip (3D-SoC)," *Proceedings of the IEEE International ASIC/SOC Conference*, pp. 147-151, September 2001.
- [125] J. W. Joyner, Opportunities and Limitations of Three-Dimensional Integration for Interconnect Design, Ph.D. Dissertation, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, July 2003.
- [126] A. Rahman, A. Fan, J. Chung, and R. Reif, "Wire-Length Distribution of Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Interconnect Technology Conference*, pp. 233-235, May 1999.

- [127] A. Rahman and R. Reif, "System Level Performance Evaluation of Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 8, No. 6, pp. 671-678, December 2000.
- [128] A. Rahman, A. Fan, and R. Reif, "Comparison of Key Performance Metrics in Two- and Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Interconnect Technology Conference*, pp. 18-20, June 2000.
- [129] R. Zhang, K. Roy, C.-K. Koh, and D. B. Janes, "Stochastic Interconnect Modeling, Power Trends, and Performance Characterization of 3-D Circuits," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Vol. 48, No. 4, pp. 638-652, April 2001.
- [130] R. Zhang, K. Roy, C.-K. Koh, and D. B. Janes, "Power Trends and Performance Characterization of 3-Dimensional Integration," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*, Vol. IV, pp. 414-417, May 2001.
- [131] D. Stroobandt and J. Van Campenhout, "Accurate Interconnection Lengths in Three-Dimensional Computer Systems," *IEICE Transactions on Information and System, Special Issue on Physical Design in Deep Submicron*, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 99-105, April 2000.
- [132] D. Stroobandt, H. Van Marck, and J. Van Campenhout, "On the Use of Generating Polynomials for the Representation of Interconnection Length Distributions," *Proceedings* of the International Workshop on Symbolic Methods and Applications to Circuit Design, pp. 74-78, October 1996.
- [133] D. Stroobandt, "Improving Donath's Technique for Estimating the Average Interconnection Length in Computer Logic," *Technical Report DG 96-01*, Ghent University, Belgium, ELIS Department, June 1996.
- [134] W. E. Donath, "Wire Length Distribution for Placements of Computer Logic," *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, Vol. 25, No. 2/3, pp. 152-155, May 1981.

- [135] K. C. Saraswat, S. K. Souri, K. Banerjee, and P. Kapour, "Performance Analysis and Technology of 3-D ICs," *Proceedings of the ACM International System Level Interconnect Prediction Conference*, pp. 85-90, April 2000.
- [136] K. Banerjee, S. K. Souri, P. Kapour, and K. C. Saraswat, "3-D ICs: A Novel Chip Design Paradigm for Improving Deep-Submicrometer Interconnect Performance and Systems-on-Chip Integration," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, Vol. 89, No. 5, pp. 602-633, May 2001.
- [137] J. W. Joyner, P. Zarkesh-Ha, and J. D. Meindl, "A Global Interconnect Design Window for a Three-Dimensional System-on-a-Chip," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Interconnect Technology Conference*, pp. 154-156, June 2001.
- [138] J. W. Joyner and J. D. Meindl, "Opportunities for Reduced Power Distribution Using Three-Dimensional Integration," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Interconnect Technology Conference*, pp. 148-150, June 2002.
- [139] "FDSOI Design Guide," MIT Lincoln Lab., Cambridge, 2006.
- [140] H. Hua *et al.*, "Performance Trend in Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits," *Proceedings* of the IEEE International Interconnect Technology Conference, pp. 45-47, June 2006.
- [141] OpenRISC Reference Platform System-on-a-Chip and OpenRISC I200 IP Core Specification, online [http://www.opencores.org/projects.cgi/web/orlk/orpso].
- [142] K. Bernstein et al., "Interconnects in the Third Dimension: Design Challenges for 3-D ICs," Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM Design Automation Conference, pp. 562-567, June 2007.
- [143] S. A. Kühn, M. B. Kleiner, P. Ramm, and W. Weber, "Performance Modeling of the Interconnect Structure of a Three-Dimensional Integrated RISC Processor/Cache System," *IEEE Transactions on Components, Packaging, and Manufacturing Technology – Part B,* Vol. 19, No. 4, pp. 719-727, November 1996.

- [144] R. H. J. M. Otten, "Automatic Floorplan Design," Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM Design Automation Conference, pp. 261-267, June 1982.
- [145] X. Hong et al., "Corner Block List: An Effective and Efficient Topological Representation of Non-Slicing Floorplan," Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer-Aided Design, pp. 8-11, November 2000.
- [146] E. F. Y. Yong, C. C. N. Chu, and C. S. Zion, "Twin Binary Sequences: A Non-Redundant Representation for General Non-Slicing Floorplan," *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, Vol. 22, No. 4, pp. 457-469, April 2003.
- [147] J. M. Lin and Y. W. Chang, "TCG: A Transitive Closure Graph Based Representation for Non-Slicing Floorplans," *Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM Design Automation Conference*, pp. 764-769, June 2001.
- [148] H. Yamazaki, K. Sakanushi, S. Nakatake, and Y. Kajitani, "The 3D-Packing by Meta Data Structure and Packing Heuristics," *IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences*, Vol. E83-A, No. 4, pp. 639-645, April 2000.
- [149] L. Cheng, L. Deng, and D. F. Wong, "Floorplanning for 3-D VLSI Design," Proceedings of the IEEE Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference, pp. 405-411, January 2005.
- [150] Z. Li et al., "Hierarchical 3-D Floorplanning Algorithm for Wirelength Optimization," IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers, Vol. 53, No. 12, pp. 2637-2646, December 2006.
- [151] Y. Deng and W. P. Maly, "Interconnect Characteristics of 2.5-D System Integration Scheme," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Physical Design*, pp. 341-345, April 2001.

- [152] S. Salewski and E. Barke, "An Upper Bound for 3D Slicing Floorplans," Proceedings of the IEEE Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference, pp. 567-572, January 2002.
- [153] P. H. Shiu, R. Ravichandran, S. Easwar, and S. K. Lim, "Multi-Layer Floorplanning for Reliable System-on-Package," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*, Vol. V, pp. 69-72, May 2004.
- [154] J. Cong, J. Wei, and Y. Zhang, "A Thermal-Driven Floorplanning Algorithm for 3-D ICs," Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer-Aided Design, pp. 306-313, November 2004.
- [155] T. Yan, Q. Dong, Y. Takashima, and Y. Kajitani, "How Does Partitioning Matter for 3D Floorplanning," *Proceedings of the ACM International Great Lakes Symposium on VLSI*, pp. 73-76, April/May 2006.
- [156] [Online]. Available: http://www.cse.ucsc.edu/research/surf/GSRC/progress.html
- [157] X. Hong et al., "Non-Slicing Floorplan and Placement Using Corner Block List Topological Representation," IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs, Vol. 51, No. 5, pp. 228-233, May 2004.
- [158] M. Healy et al., "Multiobjective Microarchitectural Floorplanning for 2-D and 3-D ICs," IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems, Vol. 26, No. 1, pp. 38-52, January 2007.
- [159] P. Shivakumar and N. P. Jouppi, "CACTI 3.0: An Integrated Cache Timing, Power, and Area Model," HP Western Research Labs, Palo Alto, CA, Technical Report 2001.2, 2001.
- [160] J. C. Eble, V. K. De, D. S. Wills, and J. D. Meindl, "A Generic System Simulator (GENESYS) for ASIC Technology and Architecture Beyond 2001," *Proceedings of the IEEE International ASIC Conference*, pp. 193-196, September 1996.

- [161] T. M. Austin, Simplescalar Tool Suite. [Online]. Available: http://www.simplescalar.com
- [162] D. Brooks, V. Tiwari, and M. Martonosi, "Wattch: A Framework for Architectural-Level Power Analysis and Optimizations," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Architecture*, pp. 83-94, June 2000.
- [163] N. A. Sherwani, Algorithms for VLSI Physical Design Automation, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 3rd edition, 2002.
- [164] M. Ohmura, "An Initial Placement Algorithm for 3-D VLSI," Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, Vol. IV, pp. 195-198, May 1998.
- [165] T. Tanprasert, "An Analytical 3-D Placement that Preserves Routing Space," Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, Vol. III, pp. 69-72, May 2000.
- [166] I. Kaya, M. Olbrich, and E. Barke, "3-D Placement Considering Vertical Interconnects," *Proceedings of the IEEE International SOC Conference*, pp. 257-258, September 2003.
- [167] R. Hentschke and R. Reis, "A 3D-Via Legalization Algorithm for 3D VLSI Circuits and its Impact on Wire Length," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits* and Systems, pp. 2036-2039, May 2007.
- [168] R. Hentschke, G. Flach, F. Pinto, and R. Reis, "Quadratic Placement for 3D Circuits Using Z-Cell Shifting, 3D Iterative Refinement and Simulated Annealing," *Proceedings of the ACM International Symposium on Integrated Circuits and System Design*, pp. 220-225, September 2006.
- [169] E. Wong, J. Minz, and S. K. Lim, "Multi-Objective Module Placement for 3-D System-On-Package," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 14, No. 5, pp. 553-557, May 2006.

- [170] H. Murata, K. Fujiyoshi, S. Nakatake, and Y. Kajitani, "Rectangle Packing Based Module Placement," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pp. 472-479, November 1995.
- [171] E. Wong, J. Minz, and S. K. Lim, "Power Supply Noise-Aware 3D Floorplanning for System-on-Package," *Proceedings of the IEEE Topical Meeting on Electrical Performance* on Electronic Packaging, pp. 259-262, October 2005.
- [172] M. Popovich and E. G. Friedman, "Decoupling Capacitors for Multi-Voltage Power Distribution Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 217-228, March 2006.
- [173] A. Mezhiba and E. G. Friedman, Power Distribution Networks in High Speed Integrated Circuits, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
- [174] M. Popovich, A. V. Mezhiba, and E. G. Friedman, *Power Distribution Networks with On-Chip Decoupling Capacitors*, Springer Verlag, 2008.
- [175] J. Minz, S. K. Lim, and C.-K. Koh, "3D Module Placement for Congestion and Power Noise Reduction," *Proceedings of the ACM International Great Lakes Symposium on VLSI*, pp. 458-461, April 2005.
- [176] [Online]. Available: http://www.gtcad.gatech.edu
- [177] R. J. Enbody, G. Lynn, and K. H. Tan, "Routing the 3-D Chip," Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM Design Automation Conference, pp. 132-137, June 1991.
- [178] S. Tayu and S. Ueno, "On the Complexity of Three-Dimensional Channel Routing," Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, pp. 3399-3402, May 2007.
- [179] C. C. Tong and C.-L. Wu, "Routing in a Three-Dimensional Chip," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, Vol. 44, No. 1, pp. 106-117, January 1995.

- [180] A. Hashimoto and J. Stevens, "Wire Routing by Optimizing Channel Assignment within Large Apertures," *Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM Design Automation Conference*, pp. 155-169, June 1971.
- [181] T. Ohtsuki, Advances in CAD for VLSI: Vol. 4, Layout Design and Verification, Elsevier, 1986.
- [182] J. Minz and S. K. Lim, "Block-Level 3-D Global Routing With an Application to 3-D Packaging," *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, Vol. 25, No. 10, pp. 2248-2257, October 2006.
- [183] A. Harter, *Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuit Layout*, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [184] B. Hoefflinger, S. T. Liu, and B. Vajdic, "A Three-Dimensional CMOS Design Methodology," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Vol. ED-31, No. 2, pp. 171-173, February 1984.
- [185] S. M. Alam, D. E. Troxel, and C. V. Thompson, "A Comprehesive Layout Methodology and Layout-Specific Circuit Analyses for Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Quality Electronic Design*, pp. 246-251, March 2002.
- [186] S. Das, A. Chandrakasan, and R. Reif, "Design Tools for 3-D Integrated Circuits," Proceedings of the IEEE Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference, pp. 53-56, January 2003.
- [187] [Online]. Available: http://www.ece.ncsu.edu/erl/3DIC/pub
- [188] [Online]. Available: http://www.xilinx.com

- [189] M. J. Alexander *et al.*, "Placement and Routing for Three-Dimensional FPGAs," *Proceedings of the Canadian Workshop on Field-Programmable Devices*, pp. 11-18, May 1996.
- [190] [Online]. Available: http://www.xilinx.com/products/silicon_solutions/fpgas/ spartan_series /spartan3_fpgas/index.htm
- [191] A. Rahman, S. Das, A. P. Chandrakasan, and R. Reif, "Wiring Requirement and Three-Dimensional Integration Technology for Field Programmable Gate Arrays," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 44-53, February 2003.
- [192] G. G. Lemieux and S. D. Brown, "A Detailed Routing Algorithm for Allocating Wire Segments in Field-Programmable Gate Arrays," *Proceedings of the IEEE Physical Design Workshop*, pp. 215-226, April 1993.
- [193] V. Betz and J. Rose, "VPR: A New Packing, Placement, and Routing Tool for FPGA Research," Proceedings of the International Workshop on Field Programmable Logic Applications, pp. 213-222, September 1997.
- [194] M. J. Alexander *et al.*, "Three-Dimensional Field-Programmable Gate Arrays," *Proceedings of the IEEE International ASIC Conference*, pp. 253-256, September 1995.
- [195] M. J. Alexander, J. P. Cohoon, J. L. Ganley, and G. Robins, "Performance-Oriented Placement and Routing for Field-Programmable Gate Arrays," *Proceedings of the IEEE International European Design Automation Conference*, pp. 80-85, September 1995.
- [196] M. J. Alexander, J. P. Cohoon, J. L. Ganley, and G. Robins, "An Architecture-Independent Approach to FPGA Routing Based on Multi-Weighted Graphs," *Proceedings of the IEEE International European Design Automation Conference*, pp. 259-264, September 1995.

- [197] C. Ababei, H. Mogal, and K. Bazargan, "Three-Dimensional Place and Route for FPGAs," Proceedings of the IEEE Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference, pp. 773-778, January 2005.
- [198] V. Betz, J. Rose, and A. Marquardt, Architecture and CAD for Deep-Submicron FPGAs, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.
- [199] G. Karypis, R. Aggarwal, V. Kumar, and S. Shekhar, "Multilevel Hypergraph Partitioning: Applications in VLSI Domain," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration* (VLSI) Systems, Vol. 7, No.1, pp. 69-79, March 1999.
- [200] P. Maidee, C. Ababei, and K. Bazargan, "Fast Timing-Driven Partitioning Based Placement for Island Style FPGAs," *Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE International Conference on Design Automation*, pp. 598-603, June 2003.
- [201] C. Ebeling, L. McMurchie, S. A. Hauck, and S. Burns, "Placement and Routing Tools for the Triptych FPGAs," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 472-483, December 1995.
- [202] M. Lin, A. El Gamal, Y.-C. Lu, and S. Wong, "Performance Benefits of Monolithically Stacked 3-D FPGA," *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits* and Systems, Vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 216-229, February 2007.
- [203] K. Banerjee, A. Mehrotra, A. Sangiovanni-Vincentelli, and C. Hu, "On Thermal Effects in Deep Sub-Micron VLSI Interconnects," *Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM Design Automation Conference*, pp. 885-890, June 1999.
- [204] C. H. Tsai and S.-M. Kang, "Cell-Level Placement for Improving Substrate Thermal Distribution," Vol. 19, No. 2, pp. 253-266, February 2000.
- [205] V. Szekely, M. Rencz, and B. Courtois, "Tracing the Thermal Behavior of ICs," *IEEE Design and Test of Computers*, Vol. 15, No. 2, pp. 14-21, April/June 1998.

- [206] M. B. Kleiner, S. A. Kühn, P. Ramn, and W. Weber, "Thermal Analysis of Vertically Integrated Circuits," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting*, pp. 487-490, December 1995.
- [207] S. Im and K. Banerjee, "Full Chip Thermal Analysis of Planar (2-D) and Vertically Integrated (3-D) High Performance ICs," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting*, pp. 727-730, December 2000.
- [208] T.-Y. Chiang, S. J. Souri, C. O. Chui, and K. C. Saraswat, "Thermal Analysis of Heterogeneous 3-D ICs with Various Integration Scenarios," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting*, pp. 681-684, December 2001.
- [209] C. C. Liu, J. Zhang, A. K. Datta, and S. Tiwari, "Heating Effects of Clock Drivers in Bulk, SOI, and 3-D CMOS," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Device Letters*, Vol. 23, No. 12, pp. 716-728, December 2002.
- [210] Z. Tan, M. Furmanczyk, M. Turowski, and A. Przekwas, "CFD-Micromesh: A Fast Geometrical Modeling and Mesh Generation Tool for 3D Microsystem Simulations," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Modeling and Simulation of Microsystems,* pp. 712-715, March 2000.
- [211] P. Wilkerson, M. Furmanczyk, and M. Turowski, "Compact Thermal Model Analysis for 3-D Integrated Circuits," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Mixed Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, pp. 277-282, June 2004.
- [212] P. Wilkerson, M. Furmanczyk, and M. Turowski, "Fast, Automated Thermal Simulation of Three-Dimensional Integrated Circuits," *Proceedings of the Intersociety Conference on Thermal and Thermomechanical Phenomena in Electronic Systems*, pp. 706-713, June 2004.

- [213] M. N. Sabry and H. Saleh, "Compact Thermal Models: A Global Approach," Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Thermal Issues in Emerging Technologies, pp. 33-39, January 2007.
- [214] G. Digele, S. Lindenkreuz, and E. Kasper, "Fully Coupled Dynamic Electro-Thermal Simulation," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 250–257, September 1997.
- [215] S. Wunsche, C. Claub, and P. Schwarz, "Electro-Thermal Circuit Simulation Using Simulator Coupling," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 277–282, September 1997.
- [216] K. Puttaswamy and G. H. Loh, "Thermal Analysis of a 3-D Die Stacking High-Performance Microprocessor," *Proceedings of the ACM International Great Lakes Symposium on VLSI*, pp. 19-24, April/May 2006.
- [217] J. Cong, J. Wei, and Y. Zhang, "A Thermal-Driven Floorplanning Algorithm for 3-D ICs," Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer-Aided Design, pp. 306-310, November 2004.
- [218] W.-L. Hung et al., "Interconnect and Thermal-Aware Floorplanning for 3-D Microprocessors," Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Quality Electronic Design, pp. 98-103, March 2006.
- [219] [Online]. Available: http://www.crhc.uiuc.edu/ACS/tools/ivm/about.html
- [220] C. Addo-Quaye, "Thermal-Aware Mapping and Placement for 3-D NoC Designs," Proceedings of the IEEE International SOC Conference, pp. 25-28, September 2005.
- [221] D. E. Goldberg, Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimization, and Machine Learning, Addison-Wesley, 1989.

- [222] H. Eisenmann and F. M. Johannnes, "Generic Global Placement and Floorplanning," *Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM Design Automation Conference*, pp. 269-274, June 1998.
- [223] B. Goplen and S. Sapatnekar, "Efficient Thermal Placement of Standard Cells in 3-D ICs using a Force Directed Approach," *Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pp. 86-89, November 2003.
- [224] M. E. Van Valkenburg, Network Analysis, 3rd edition, Prentice-Hall, 1974.
- [225] [Online]. Available: http://er.cs.ucla.edu/benchmarks/ibm-place
- [226] [Online]. Available: http://www.cbl.ncsu.edu/pub/Benchmark_dirs/LayoutSynth92
- [227] B. Goplen and S. Sapatnekar "Placement of Thermal Vias in 3-D ICs Using Various Thermal Objectives," *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, Vol. 25, No. 4, pp. 692-709, April 2006.
- [228] J. Cong and Y. Zhang, "Thermal Driven Multilevel Routing for 3-D ICs," Proceedings of the IEEE Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference, pp. 121-126, June 2005.
- [229] J. Cong and Y. Zhang, "Thermal Via Planning for 3-D ICs," Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer-Aided Design, pp. 744-751, November 2005.
- [230] J. Cong, M. Xie, and Y. Zhang, "An Enhanced Multilevel Routing System," Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer-Aided Design, pp. 51-58, November 2002.
- [231] J. Cong, J. Fang, and Y. Zhang, "Multilevel Approach to Full-Chip Gridless Routing," Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer-Aided Design, pp. 234-241, November 2001.

- [232] Z. Li et al., "Efficient Thermal Via Planning Approach and its Application in 3-D Floorplanning," IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems, Vol. 26, No. 4, pp. 645-658, April 2007.
- [233] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, and R. L. Rivest, *Introduction to Algorithms*, The MIT Press, 1990.
- [234] T. Zhang, Y. Zhang, and S. Sapatnekar, "Temperature-Aware Routing in 3-D ICs," Proceedings of the IEEE Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference, pp. 309-314, January 2006.
- [235] J. A. Burns et al., "A Wafer-Scale 3-D Circuit Integration Technology," IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, Vol. 53, No. 10, pp. 2507-2515, October 2006.
- [236] G. Chen and E. G. Friedman, "An *RLC* Interconnect Model Based on Fourier Analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, Vol. 24, No. 2, pp. 170-183, February 2005.
- [237] C. Ryu et al., "High Frequency Electrical Circuit Model of Chip-to-Chip Vertical Via Interconnection for 3-D Chip Stacking Package," Proceedings of the IEEE Topical Meeting on Electrical Performance of Electronic Packaging, pp 151-154, October 2005.
- [238] Metal User's Guide, www.oea.com
- [239] P. Ramn *et al.*, "InterChip Via Technology for Vertical System Integration," *Proceedings* of the IEEE International Interconnect Technology Conference, pp. 160-162, June 2001.
- [240] K. D. Boese et al., "Fidelity and Near-Optimality of Elmore-Based Routing Constructions," Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Design, pp. 81-84, October 1993.

- [241] A. I. Abou-Seido, B. Nowak, and C. Chu, "Fitted Elmore Delay: A Simple and Accurate Interconnect Delay Model," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 12, No. 7, pp. 691-696, July 2004.
- [242] J. P. Fishburn and C. A. Schevon, "Shaping a Distributed-RC Line to Minimize Elmore Delay," IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications, Vol. 42, No. 12, pp. 1020-1022, December 1995.
- [243] J. Cong and K.-S. Leung, "Optimal Wiresizing under Elmore Delay Model," *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 321–336, March 1995.
- [244] J. D. Cho et al., "Crosstalk-Minimum Layer Assignment," Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Custom Integrated Circuits, pp. 29.7.1 – 29.7.4, May 1993.
- [245] J. G. Ecker, "Geometric Programming: Methods, Computations and Applications," SIAM Review, Vol. 22, No. 3, pp. 338-362, July 1980.
- [246] S. Boyd, S. J. Kim, L. Vandenberghe, and A. Hassibi, "A Tutorial on Geometric Programming," *Optimization and Engineering*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 67-127, March 2007.
- [247] Predictive Technology Model [Online]. Available: http://www.eas.asu.edu/~ptm
- [248] W. Zhao and Y. Cao, "New Generation of Predictive Technology Model for Sub-45nm Design Exploration," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Quality Electronic Design*, pp. 585-590, March 2006.
- [249] J. Löfberg, "YALMIP: A Toolbox for Modeling and Optimization in MATLAB," Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Computer-Aided Control Systems Design, pp. 284-289, September 2004.

- [250] D. Henrion and J. B. Lasserre, "GloptiPoly: Global Optimization over Polynomials with Matlab and SeDuMi," ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 165-194, June 2003.
- [251] C.-P. Che, H. Zhou, and D. F. Wong, "Optimal Non-Uniform Wire-Sizing under the Elmore Delay Model," *Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pp. 38-43, November 1996.
- [252] D. Bertozzi *et al.*, "NoC Synthesis Flow for Customized Domain Specific Multiprocessor Systems-on-Chip," *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 113-129, February 2005.
- [253] J. C. Koob et al., "Design of a 3-D Fully Depleted SOI Computational RAM," IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems, Vol. 13, No. 3, pp. 358-368, March 2005.
- [254] S. Kumar *et al.*, "A Network on Chip Architecture and Design Methodology," *Proceedings* of the International IEEE Annual Symposium on VLSI, pp. 105-112, April 2002.
- [255] V. F. Pavlidis and E. G. Friedman, "3-D Topologies for Networks-on-Chip," Proceedings of the IEEE International SOC Conference, pp. 285-288, September 2006.
- [256] V. F. Pavlidis and E. G. Friedman, "3-D Topologies for Networks-on-Chip," IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems, Vol. 15, No. 10, pp. 1081-1090, October 2007.
- [257] F. Li et al., "Design and Management of 3D Chip Multiprocessors Using Network-in-Memory," Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Computer Architecture, pp. 130-142, June 2006.
- [258] W. J. Dally, "Performance Analysis of k-ary n-cube Interconnection Networks," IEEE Transaction on Computers, Vol. 39, No. 6, pp. 775-785, June 1990.

- [259] A. Jantsch and H. Tenhunen, Networks on Chip, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
- [260] M. Millberg et al., "The Nostrum Backbone A Communication Protocol Stack for Networks on Chip," Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on VLSI Design, pp. 693-696, January 2004.
- [261] J. M. Duato, S. Yalamanchili, and L. Ni, Interconnection Networks: An Engineering Approach, Morgan Kaufmann, 2003.
- [262] W. J. Dally and B. Towles, *Principles and Practices of Interconnection Networks*, Morgan Kaufmann, 2004.
- [263] L.-S. Peh and W. J. Dally, "A Delay Model for Router Microarchitectures," *IEEE Micro*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 26-34, January/February 2001.
- [264] T. Sakurai, "Closed-Form Expressions for Interconnection Delay, Coupling, and Crosstalk in VLSIs," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Vol. 40, No. 1, pp. 118-124, January 1993.
- [265] T. Sakurai and A. R. Newton, "Alpha-Power Law MOSFET Model and its Applications to CMOS Inverter Delay and other Formulas," *IEEE Journal of Solid State Circuits*, Vol. 25, No. 2, pp. 584-594, April 1990.
- [266] G. Chen and E. G. Friedman, "Low-Power Repeaters Driving RC and RLC Interconnects With Delay and Bandwidth Constraints," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Integration* (VLSI) Systems, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 161-172, February 2006.
- [267] Y. I. Ismail, E. G. Friedman, and J. L. Neves, "Equivalent Elmore Delay for *RLC* trees," *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, Vol. 19, No. 1, pp. 83-97, January 2000.

- [268] Y. I. Ismail, E. G. Friedman, and J. L. Neves, "Figures of Merit to Characterize the Importance of On-Chip Inductance," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration* (VLSI) Systems, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 442-449, December 1999.
- [269] K. Banerjee and A. Mehrotra, "A Power-Optimal Repeater Insertion Methodology for Global Interconnects in Nanometer Design," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Vol. 49, No. 11, pp. 2001-2007, November 2002.
- [270] H. J. M. Veendrick, "Short-Circuit Dissipation of Static CMOS Circuitry and its Impact on the Design of Buffer Circuits," *IEEE Journal of Solid State Circuits*, Vol. SC-19, No. 4, pp. 468-473, August 1984.
- [271] K. Nose and T. Sakurai, "Analysis and Future Trend of Short-Circuit Power," *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, Vol. 19, No. 9, pp. 1023-1030, September 2000.
- [272] G. Chen and E. G. Friedman, "Effective Capacitance of *RLC* Loads for Estimating Short-Circuit Power," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*, pp. 2065-2068, May 2006.
- [273] P. R. O'Brien and T. L. Savarino, "Modeling the Driving-Point Characteristic of Resistive Interconnect for Accurate Delay Estimation," *Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer-Aided Design*, pp. 512-515, April 1989.
- [274] H. Wang, L.-S. Peh, and S. Malik, "Power-Driven Design of Router Microarchitectures in On-Chip Networks," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Microarchitecture*, pp. 105-116, December 2003.
- [275] C. Marcon et al., "Exploring NoC Mapping Strategies: an Energy and Timing Aware Technique," Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE Design, Automation and Test in Europe Conference and Exhibition, Vol. 1, pp. 502-507, March 2005.

- [276] P. P. Pande *et al.*, "Performance Evaluation and Design Trade-Offs for Network-on-Chip Interconnect Architectures," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, Vol. 54, No. 8, pp. 1025-1039, August 2005.
- [277] E. G. Friedman (Ed.), Clock Distribution Networks in VLSI Circuits and Systems, New Jersey, IEEE Press, 1995.
- [278] D. W. Bailey and B. J. Benschneider, "Clocking Design and Analysis for a 600-MHz Alpha Microprocessor," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, Vol. 22, No. 11, pp. 1627-1633, November 1998.
- [279] T. Xanthopoulos *et al.*, "The Design and Analysis of the Clock Distribution Network for a 1.2 GHz Alpha Microprocessor," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference*, pp. 402-402, February 2001.
- [280] H. B. Bakoglu, Circuits, Interconnections, and Packaging for VLSI, Addison-Wesley, 1990.
- [281] V. Pavlidis and E. G. Friedman, "Interconnect Delay Minimization through Interlayer Via Placement in 3-D ICs," *Proceedings of the ACM Great Lakes Symposium on VLSI*, pp. 20-25, April 2005.
- [282] W. Cui, H. Chen, and Y. Han, "VLSI Implementation of Universal Random Number Generator," *Proceedings of the IEEE Asia-Pacific Conference on Circuits and Systems*, Vol. 1, pp. 465-470, October 2002.
- [283] A. Deutsch and P. J. Restle, "Designing the Best Clock Distribution Network," *Proceedings of the IEEE Symposium on VLSI Circuits*, pp. 2-5, June 1998.
- [284] E. G. Friedman, "Clock Distribution Networks in Synchronous Digital Integrated Circuits," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, Vol. 89, No. 5, pp. 665-692, May 2001.

- [285] N. Hedenstierna and K. O. Jeppson, "CMOS Circuit Speed and Buffer Optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design*, Vol. CAD-6, No. 2, pp. 270-281, March 1987.
- [286] N. C. Li, G. L. Haviland, and A. A. Tuszynski, "CMOS Tapered Buffer," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, Vol. 25, No. 4, pp. 1005-1008, August 1990.
- [287] C. Punty and L. Gal, "Optimum Tapered Buffer," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, Vol. 27, No. 1, pp. 1005-1008, January 1992.
- [288] A. Dharchoudhury, R. Panda, D. Blaauw, and R. Vaidyanathan, "Design and Analysis of Power Distribution Networks in PowerPC Microprocessors," *Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM International Conference on Design Automation*, pp 738-743, June 1998.
- [289] A. V. Mezhiba and E. G. Friedman, "Inductive Properties of High-Performance Power Distribution Grids," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 10, No. 6, pp. 762-776, December 2002.
- [290] A. V. Mezhiba and E. G. Friedman, "Impedance Characteristics of Power Distribution Grids in Nanoscale Integrated Circuits," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, Vol. 12, No. 11, pp. 1148-1155, November 2004.
- [291] A. Bartzas, N. Skalis, and D. Soudris "Exploration of Alternative Topologies for Application-Specific 3D Networks-on-Chip," *Proceedings of the International Workshop* on Application Specific Processors, pp. 55-62, October 2007.

The starting gates N_{start} used in the derivation of the interconnect length distribution for 3-D circuits in [122] are described, in this appendix. Starting gates are these gates that can form manhattan hemispheres of radius l, where l is the interconnect length connecting two gates. For a 3-D circuit consisting of n planes and including $N_n = N/n$ gates per plane. For those gates located close to the periphery of each plane, only partial manhattan hemispheres are formed. Consequently, the number of gates encircled by these partial manhattan hemispheres varies with the interconnect length:

Region I. l = 0

$$N_{start} = N_n n \tag{A-1}$$

Region II. $0 < l \le (n-1)d_{y}$

$$N_{start} = n \left(N_n - l \right) \tag{A-2}$$

Region III. $(n-1)d_{v} < l \le \frac{\sqrt{N_{n}}}{2} + 1$

$$N_{start} = nN - l \tag{A-3}$$

Region IV. $\frac{\sqrt{N_n}}{2} + 1 < l \le \sqrt{N_n}$

$$N_{start} = nN_n - l - \left(l - \frac{\sqrt{N_n}}{2} - 1\right)\left(l - \frac{\sqrt{N_n}}{2}\right)$$
(A-4)

Region V. $\sqrt{N_n} < l \le \sqrt{N_n} + (n-1)d_v$

$$N_{start} = \frac{7N_n}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{N_n}}{2} - \sqrt{N_n}l - f[l, g[l - \sqrt{N_n} - 1,]1]$$
(A-5)

Region VI. $\sqrt{N_n} + (n-1)d_v < l \le \frac{3\sqrt{N_n}}{2}$

$$N_{start} = \frac{7N_n}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{N_n}}{2} - \sqrt{N_n}l + f[l, n-1, 2] - 2f\left[l, n-1, \frac{3}{2}\right]$$
(A-6)

Region VII. $\frac{3\sqrt{N_n}}{2} < l \le \frac{3\sqrt{N_n}}{2} + (n-1)d_v$

$$N_{start} = \begin{cases} \left(2\sqrt{N_n} - l\right)\left(2\sqrt{N_n} - l - 1\right) + f[l, n - 1, 2] - 2f\left[l, n - 1, \frac{3}{2}\right] \\ + 2f\left[l, g\left[l - \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{N_n} - 1, d_v\right], \frac{3}{2}\right] \end{cases}$$
(A-7)

Region VIII. $\frac{3\sqrt{N_n}}{2} + (n-1)d_v < l \le 2\sqrt{N_n}$

$$N_{start} = \left(2\sqrt{N_n} - l\right)\left(2\sqrt{N_n} - l - 1\right) + f[l, n - 1, 2]$$
(A-8)

Region IX. $2\sqrt{N_n} < l \le 2\sqrt{N_n} + (n-1)d_v$

$$N_{start} = f[l, n-1, 2] - f[l, g[l-2\sqrt{N_n} - 1, d_v], 2]$$
(A-9)

The function g[x,y] is the discrete quotient function while function f[x,y,z] is

$$f[l, y, z] = 2 \begin{cases} \frac{d_v^2 y}{6} (2y^2 + 3y + 1) + \frac{y}{2} (2z\sqrt{N_n} d_v - 2d_v l - d_v) (y + 1) \\ + 4y \left(9N_n - 3\sqrt{N_n} l - \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{N_n} + l + l^2\right) \end{cases}$$
(A-10)

 d_v denotes the interplane distance between two adjacent planes in a 3-D system.

Appendix B:Formal Proof of Optimum Single Via Placement

In this appendix, the Lemma used to determine the optimum via location for an interplane interconnect that includes only one via for different values of r_{21} , c_{12} , and l_1 is stated and proved.

Lemma 1: If
$$f(x) = Ax^2 + Bx + C$$
 and $\frac{d^2 f(x)}{dx^2} < 0$

(a) for $x_{max} \in [x_0, x_1], x_1 > x_0 > 0$

(i) if
$$x_{\max} > \frac{x_1 + x_0}{2}$$
, $f(x_0) < f(x_1)$, (ii) if $x_{\max} < \frac{x_1 + x_0}{2}$, $f(x_1) < f(x_0)$,

- (b) for $x_{max} < x_0$, $f(x_0) > f(x_1)$,
- (c) for $x_{max} > x_1$, $f(x_0) < f(x_1)$.

Proof: (a) (i) f(x) is a parabola with a symmetry axis at $x = x_{max}$. Thus, $f(x_{max} - x) = f(x_{max} + x)$. For $x = x_{max} - x_0$, $f(x_0) = f(2x_{max} - x_0)$. Since $\frac{d^2 f(x)}{dl_1^2} < 0$, f(x) is decreasing for $x > x_{max}$. From the hypothesis, $x_{max} > \frac{x_1 + x_0}{2} \Leftrightarrow 2x_{max} - x_0 > x_1 > x_{max} \Leftrightarrow f(2x_{max} - x_0) < f(x_1)$, and since $f(x_0) = f(2x_{max} - x_0)$, $f(x_0) < f(x_1)$. (*ii*) $f(x_0) = f(2x_{max} - x_0)$, and $2x_{max} - x_0 > x_{max}$ since from the hypothesis $x_{max} \in [x_0, x_1]$. By similar reasoning as in (*i*), $x_{max} < \frac{x_1 + x_0}{2} \Leftrightarrow x_1 > 2x_{max} - x_0 \Leftrightarrow f(x_1) < f(2x_{max} - x_0) \Leftrightarrow f(x_1) < f(x_0)$.

(b) f(x) decreases for $x > x_{max}$. Since $x_{max} < x_0$, for $x_{max} < x_0 < x_1$, $f(x_{max}) > f(x_0) > f(x_1)$.

(c) f(x) increases for $x < x_{max}$. Since $x_{max} > x_0$, for $x_0 < x_1 < x_{max}$, $f(x_0) < f(x_1) < f(x_{max})$.
Appendix C: Proof of the Two-Terminal Via Placement Heuristic

A formal proof of the two-terminal heuristic for placing interplane vias is described in this appendix. Consider the following expression that describes the critical point (*i.e.*, the derivative of the delay is set equal to zero) for placing a via v_i , as illustrated in Fig. C-1,

$$x_{j}^{*} = -\left[\frac{l_{vj}(r_{j}c_{vj} - r_{vj}c_{j+1} + r_{j+1}c_{j+1} - r_{j}c_{j+1}) + R_{uj}(c_{j} - c_{j+1}) + \Delta x_{j}(r_{j} - r_{j+1})c_{j+1} + C_{dj}(r_{j} - r_{j+1})}{r_{j}c_{j} - 2r_{j}c_{j+1} + r_{j+1}c_{j+1}}\right].$$
(C-1)



Fig. C-1 Interplane interconnect consisting of *m* segments connecting two circuits located *n* planes apart.

From this expression, the critical point x_j is a monotonic function of the upstream resistance and downstream capacitance of the allowed interval for via v_j , denoted as R_{uj} and C_{dj} , respectively,

$$x_{j}^{*} = f\left(R_{u_{j}}^{*}, C_{d_{j}}^{*}\right).$$
(C-2)

These quantities $(R_{uj}^* \text{ and } C_{dj}^*)$ depend upon the location of the other vias along the net and are unknown. However, as the allowed intervals for the vias and the impedance characteristics of the line are known, the minimum and maximum values of these impedances, $R_{uj\min}$, $R_{uj\max}$, $C_{dj\min}$, and $C_{dj\max}$, can be determined. Without loss of generality, assume that $r_j > r_{j+1}$ and $c_j > c_{j+1}$ (the other cases are similarly treated). For this case, the critical point (*i.e.*, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_j} = 0$) is a strictly increasing function of R_{uj} and C_{dj} . Consequently, the minimum and maximum value for the critical point $x_{j\min}^*$ and $x_{j\max}^*$ is determined from, respectively,

$$x_{j\min}^* = f\left(R_{uj\min}, C_{dj\min}\right),\tag{C-3}$$

$$x_{j\max}^* = f\left(R_{uj\max}, C_{dj\max}\right). \tag{C-4}$$

The final value of the upstream (downstream) capacitance for via v_j , which is determined after placing all of the remaining vias of the net denoted as R_{uj}^* (C_{dj}^*) within the range, is

$$R_{uj\min} < R_{uj}^* < R_{uj\max}, \ \left(C_{dj\min} < C_{dj\max}^* < C_{dj\max}\right).$$
(C-5)

Due to the monotonic relationship of the critical point x_j on R_{uj} and C_{dj} ,

$$x_{j\min}^{*} = f(R_{uj\min}, C_{dj\min}) < x_{j}^{*} = f(R_{uj}^{*}, C_{dj}^{*}) < x_{j\max}^{*} = f(R_{uj\max}, C_{dj\max}).$$
(C-6)

Consequently, by iteratively decreasing the range of the x_j^* according to (C-6), the location for v_j can be determined.

To better explain this iterative procedure, an example is offered in Chapter 7 where the vias, v_i , v_j , and v_k , shown in Fig. C-1, have not yet been placed. In this example, vias v_i and v_k are assumed to belong to case *(iii)* of the heuristic. Since the allowed intervals for vias v_i , v_j , and v_k and the impedance characteristics of the respective horizontal segments are known, the minimum x_{min}^{*0} and maximum x_{max}^{*0} critical point for all of the segments *i*, *j*, and *k* are obtained. The minimum and maximum values of R_{ui}^{0} , R_{uj}^{0} , R_{uk}^{0} , C_{di}^{0} , C_{dj}^{0} , and C_{dk}^{0} are determined, where the superscript represents the number of iterations.

From (C-6), the via location of segments *i* and *k* is contained within the limits determined by (C-1). As the interval for placing the vias v_i and v_k decreases, the minimum (maximum) value of

the upstream resistance and downstream capacitance of segment *j* increases (decreases), *i.e.*, $R_{uj\min}^0 < R_{uj\min}^1$, $C_{dj\min}^0 < C_{dj\min}^1$, $R_{uj\max}^1 < R_{uj\max}^0$, and $C_{dj\max}^1 < C_{dj\max}^0$. Due to the monotonicity of x_j^* (see (C-2)-(C-4) and (C-6)) on R_{uj} and C_{dj} , $x_{j\min}^{*0} < x_{j\min}^{*1}$ and $x_{j\max}^{*1} < x_{j\max}^{*0}$. The range of values for x_j^* therefore also decreases and, typically, after two or three iterations, the optimum location for the corresponding via is determined.

The above example is extended to each of the other possible cases that can occur for segments i and k. Specifically,

- (a) *i* and *k* belong to either case (*i*) or (*ii*). Both R_{uj} and C_{dj} are precisely determined or, equivalently, $R_{uj\min} = R_{uj\max}$ and $C_{dj\min} = C_{dj\max}$. Consequently, the placement of both vias v_i and v_k is known and $x_{j\min}^{*0} = x_{j\max}^{*0} = x_j^*$. The placement of v_j is also determined within the first iteration.
- (b) *i* belongs to case (*i*) or (*ii*) and *k* belongs to case (*iii*). R_{uj} is precisely determined or, equivalently, $R_{uj\min} = R_{uj\max}$ and the placement of via v_i is known. Since v_i is placed and *k* belongs to case (*iii*), $C_{dj\min}^0 < C_{dj\min}^1$ and $C_{dj\max}^1 < C_{dj\max}^0$. The placement of via v_j converges faster, as only the placement of segment *k* remains unknown after the first iteration.
- (c) k belongs to case (i) or (ii) and i belongs to case (iii). C_{dj} is precisely determined or, equivalently, $C_{dj\min} = C_{dj\max}$ and the placement of via v_k is known. Since v_k is placed and i belongs to case (iii), $R_{uj\min}^0 < R_{uj\min}^1$ and $R_{uj\max}^1 < R_{uj\max}^0$. The placement of via v_j converges faster as only the placement of segment i remains unknown after the first iteration.
- (d) *i* belongs to any of the cases (*i*)-(*iii*) and *k* belongs to case (*iv*). R_{uj} is readily determined (cases (*i*) and (*ii*)) or converges, as described in the previous example, $R_{uj\min}^0 < R_{uj\min}^1$ and $R_{uj\max}^1 < R_{uj\max}^0$. As *k* belongs to case (*iv*), however, C_{dj} does not change as in the cases above. If the decrease in the upstream resistance is sufficient to determine x_j^* according to (C-1), v_j is marked as processed, otherwise v_j is marked as unprocessed and the algorithm continues to the next via. In the latter case, the placement approach is described by case (*iv*) of the heuristic.

- (e) k belongs to any of the cases (i)-(iii) and i belongs to case (iv). C_{dj} is readily determined (cases (i) and (ii)) or converges, as described in the previous example, implying $C_{dj\min}^0 < C_{dj\min}^1$ and $C_{dj\max}^1 < C_{dj\max}^0$. As i belongs to case (iv), however, R_{uj} does not change as in the aforementioned cases. Overall, if the decrease in the downstream capacitance is sufficient to determine x_j^* according to (C-1), v_j is marked as processed, otherwise v_j is marked as unprocessed and the algorithm continues to the next via. In the latter case, the placement approach is described by case (iv) of the heuristic.
- (f) Both *i* and *k* belong to case (*iv*). Therefore, both R_{uj} and C_{dj} cannot be bounded. Consequently, v_j is marked as unprocessed and the next via is processed. Alternatively, this sub-case degenerates to case (*iv*) of the heuristic presented in Chapter 7.

Appendix D:Proof of Condition for Via Placement of Multi-Terminal Nets

In this appendix, a proof for necessary *condition 1* is provided.



Fig. D-1 A portion of an interconnect tree.

Condition 1: If $r_j > r_j+1$, only a *type-1* move for v_j can reduce the delay of a tree.

Proof: Consider Fig. D-, where the interplane via v_j (the solid square) can be placed in any direction d_e , d_s , and d_n within the interval l_{de} , l_{ds} , and l_{dn} , respectively. For the tree shown in Fig. D-1 and removing the terms that are independent of v_j , (8-1) is

$$T_{w} = \sum_{v_{i} \in U_{0j}} \sum_{s_{p} \in P_{s_{p}U_{j}}} W_{s_{p}} R_{uij} (c_{v_{j}} l_{v_{j}} + C_{d_{j}}) + \sum_{s_{p} \in P_{s_{p}v_{j}}} W_{s_{p}} \left(R_{u_{j}} (c_{v_{j}} l_{v_{j}} + C_{d_{j}}) + r_{v_{j}} l_{v_{j}} C_{d_{j}} + \frac{r_{v_{j}} c_{v_{j}} l_{v_{j}}^{2}}{2} \right),$$
(D-1)

where

$$C_{d_j} = \sum_{\forall k} C_{dv_j d_k} + c_{j+1} \left(l_{d_e} + l_{d_s} + l_{d_n} \right).$$
(D-2)

Suppose that a *type-2* move is required, shifting v_j by x towards the d_e direction (the dashed square). Expression (8-1) becomes

$$T'_{w} = \left[\left(\sum_{v_{i} \in U_{ij}} \sum_{s_{p} \in P_{s_{p}U_{ij}}} w_{s_{p}} R_{u_{ij}} + \sum_{s_{p} \in P_{s_{p}v_{j}}} w_{s_{p}} R_{u_{j}} \right) (c_{v_{j}} l_{v_{j}} + c_{j} x + C_{d_{j}}) + \sum_{s_{p} \in P_{s_{p}v_{j}}} w_{s_{p}} \left[(r_{j} - r_{j+1}) x C_{d_{j}} \right] + r_{j+1} l_{d_{e}} (C_{d_{j}} - 1/2 c_{j+1} l_{d_{e}}) + r_{j} x (c_{v_{j}} l_{v_{j}} + C_{d_{j}}) + 1/2 (r_{v_{j}} c_{v_{j}} l_{v_{j}}^{2} + r_{j} c_{j} x^{2}) \right]$$
(D-3)

For a *type-2* move to reduce the weighted delay of the tree, shifting v_j should decrease T_w , or, equivalently, $\Delta T = T'_w - T_w < 0$. Subtracting (D-1) from (D-3) yields

$$\Delta T = \begin{cases} \sum_{s_p \in P_{s_p v_j}} w_{s_p} \left[r_j x \left(c_{v_j} l_{v_j} + C_{d_j} \right) + R_{u_j} c_j x + r_{j+1} l_{d_e} \left(C_{d_j} - \frac{c_{j+1} l_{d_e}}{2} \right) \right] \\ + \left(r_j - r_{j+1} \right) x C_{d_j} + \frac{r_j c_j x_j^2}{2} + \sum_{v_l \in U_{ij}} \sum_{s_p \in P_{s_p U_{ij}}} w_{s_p} R_{uij} c_j x \end{cases} \right).$$
(D-4)

Since $r_j > r_{j+1}$, and $C_{dj} > \frac{c_{j+1}l_{d_e}}{2}$ from (D-2), (D-3) is always positive and a *type-2* move cannot

reduce the delay of a tree.