

Block Successive Convex Approximation for Concomitant Linear DAG Estimation

Seyed Saman Saboksayr * , Gonzalo Mateos * , and Mariano Tepper $^\dag$

*Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA [†]Intel Labs, Hillsboro, OR, USA {ssaboksa, gmateosb}@ur.rochester.edu, and mariano.tepper@intel.com

inte labs

Overview of this work

- ► **Goal:** Learning DAG structure from observational data
- Recently proposed Concomitant Linear DAG Estimation (CoLiDE) framework
 - ⇒ Jointly estimate DAG structure along with exogenous noise levels
 - \Rightarrow No parameter retuning needed and amenable to non-equal noise variances cases
- **Contribution:** Deriving efficient optimization algorithm, closed-form updates
 - ⇒ Leverages block successive convex approximation (BSCA) algorithm
 - \Rightarrow Providing a provably convergent sequence \rightarrow Superior performance

Optimization revisited: Block Successive Convex Approximation (BSCA) CoLiDE-EV

• Fixing σ to its most up-to-date value σ_t the resulting composite subproblem is

$$\min_{\mathbf{W}} \left[\underbrace{\frac{\mu_k}{2n\sigma_t} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{X}\|_F^2 + \mathcal{H}_{\text{ldet}}(\mathbf{W}, s_k) + \underbrace{\lambda \mu_k \|\mathbf{W}\|_1}_{:=g(\mathbf{W})} \right]$$

- \Rightarrow g(W) is convex but not smooth, while f(W) is smooth but non-convex
- Quadratic approximation of $f(\mathbf{W})$ around the previous iterate \mathbf{W}_{t-1} $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}_{t-1}) := \langle \mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}_{t-1}, \nabla f(\mathbf{W}_{t-1}) \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}_{t-1}\|_F^2$

What are DAGs and how to learn their connectivity structure?

- Directed graph \mathcal{G} without cycles increasingly prominent in ML applications
 - ⇒ May encode causal relationships within complex systems
 - ⇒ Employ directed edges to link causes and their immediate effects
- Causal structure underlying a group of variables is often unknown
 Need to address the task of inferring DAGs from observational data
- Markovian linear structural equation model (SEM)

 $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{w}_i^{\top} \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{z}_i$, where $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_d] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ \Rightarrow DAG adjacency matrix $\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_d] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ collects the edge weights $\Rightarrow \mathbf{z}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a vector of mutually independent, exogenous noises $\Rightarrow \mathsf{Ex}: \mathbf{x}_4 = \mathbf{w}_4^{\top} \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{z}_4 = W_{14} \mathbf{x}_1 + W_{24} \mathbf{x}_2 + W_{34} \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{z}_4$

Problem statement: Given data **X** adhering to a linear SEM, learn the latent DAG $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{D}$, i.e., estimate its adjacency matrix **W** by minimizing the score function \mathcal{S} , namely

 $\min_{\mathbf{W}} S(\mathbf{W}) \text{ subject to } \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{W}) \in \mathbb{D}$



- Learning a DAG solely from observational data X is in general NP-hard
 - \Rightarrow Combinatorial acyclicity constraint $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{W}) \in \mathbb{D}$ is difficult to enforce

- \Rightarrow Strictly convex for any positive scalar L
- Instead of solving the original W subproblem, we can minimize the approximation $\bar{\mathbf{W}}_t = \underset{\mathbf{W}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left[\tilde{f}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}_{t-1}) + \lambda \mu_k \|\mathbf{W}\|_1 \right]$
- Given the proximal operator of $g(\mathbf{W})$, closed-form update of $\bar{\mathbf{W}}_t$ is

$$\bar{\mathbf{W}}_{t} = \mathcal{T}_{\mu_{k}\lambda} \left(\mathbf{W}_{t-1} + \frac{\mu_{k}}{\sigma_{t}n} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{W}_{t-1}) - 2(s_{k}\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \circ \mathbf{W}_{t-1})^{-\top} \circ \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ Soft-thresholding operator } \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(x) = \max(|x| - \alpha, 0) \operatorname{sign}(x)$$

- ► Challenge: $\nabla \tilde{f}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}_{t-1})$ is not Lipschitz continuous $\Rightarrow \tilde{f}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}_{t-1})$ is not guaranteed to be a global upper bound of $f(\mathbf{W})$
- We update the DAG adjacency matrix as

 $\mathbf{W}_t = \mathbf{W}_{t-1} + \gamma_t (\bar{\mathbf{W}}_t - \mathbf{W}_{t-1})$

⇒ Select $\gamma_t \in (0, 1]$ via the low-complexity Armijo rule

CoLiDE-NV

Similar successive approximation methodology employed for the CoLiDE-NV cost

$$f(\mathbf{W}) := \frac{\mu_k}{2n} \operatorname{Tr} \left((\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X})^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma_t^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X}) \right) + \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{ldet}}(\mathbf{W}, s_k)$$

Again, the so-termed proximal linear approximation yields

$$\bar{\mathbf{W}}_{t} = \mathcal{T}_{\mu_{k}\lambda} \left(\mathbf{W}_{t-1} + \frac{\mu_{k}}{n} \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X} \left[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{W} \right] \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t}^{-1} - 2(s_{k}\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \circ \mathbf{W}_{t-1})^{-\mathsf{T}} \circ \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \right)$$

Convergence and complexity

Concomitant linear DAG estimation (CoLiDE)

- Acyclicity characterization using nonconvex, smooth $\mathcal{H}(W) : \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$
 - \Rightarrow Relax combinatorial constraint by enforcing $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{W}) = 0 \iff \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{W}) \in \mathbb{D}$
 - $\Rightarrow \mathsf{Ex:} \mathsf{DAGMA} \text{ formulation adopts } \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{ldet}}(\mathbf{W}, s) = d \log(s) \log(\det(s\mathbf{I} \mathbf{W} \circ \mathbf{W}))$
- ► Solve smooth, continuous optimization problem $\rightarrow \min_{\mathbf{W}} S(\mathbf{W})$ subject to $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{W}) = 0$

CoLiDE-EV

- All exogenous variables $z_1, ..., z_d$ in the linear SEM have equal variance (EV) σ^2
- Inspired by the smoothed concomitant lasso

$$\min_{\mathbf{W},\sigma \ge \sigma_0} \frac{1}{2n\sigma} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{W}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \|_F^2 + \frac{d\sigma}{2} + \lambda \|\mathbf{W}\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{W}) = 0$$
$$:= S(\mathbf{W},\sigma)$$

- Score $S(\mathbf{W}, \sigma)$ is jointly convex, $(d\sigma)/2$ for consistency under Gaussianity $\Rightarrow \lambda$ decouples from σ as minimax optimality now requires $\lambda \asymp \sqrt{\log d/n}$
- Solve a sequence of unconstrained problems where \mathcal{H} is viewed as a regularizer
- **Optimization:** Given a decreasing sequence $\mu_k \rightarrow 0$, at step k we solve

$$\min_{\mathbf{W},\sigma\geq\sigma_0} \mu_k \left[\frac{1}{2n\sigma} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{X}\|_F^2 + \frac{d\sigma}{2} + \lambda \|\mathbf{W}\|_1 \right] + \mathcal{H}_{\text{ldet}}(\mathbf{W}, s_k)$$

 \Rightarrow Limit $\mu_k \rightarrow 0$ is guaranteed to yield a DAG

- Every limit point of the BSCA sequence is a stationary point of original problem
- This comes with no order-wise penalty in computational complexity

Experimental evaluation

Equal variance experiments

- We consider a single step of the sequence where $\mu_k = 1$ and $s_k = 1$
- ▶ 50-node ER graph with 50 weighted edges $\mathcal{E} \in [-2, -0.5] \cup [0.5, 2]$
- Simulate n = 1000 samples considering Gaussian noise ($\sigma^2 = 1$) via linear SEM
- Optimal solution W^* is obtained by running the inexact BCD algorithm for 10^5 iterations



Non-equal variance experiments

- ▶ Noise variance of each node is uniformly drawn from [0.5, 10]
- ▶ 50-node ER graph with 50 weighted edges $\mathcal{E} \in [-1, -0.25] \cup [0.25, 1]$
- \Rightarrow Jointly estimates the noise level σ and the adjacency matrix W for each μ_k
- Fixing σ to its latest value and minimizing score function inexactly w.r.t. W \Rightarrow One iteration of gradient descent via the ADAM optimizer
- Updating σ in closed form given the latest **W** via $\hat{\sigma} = \max\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nd}} \|\mathbf{X} \mathbf{W}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\|_{F}, \sigma_{0}\right)$
- CoLiDE-NV
- ► Noise variables $z_1, ..., z_d$ have non-equal variances (NV) $\sigma_1^2, ..., \sigma_d^2$
- Mimicking the previous optimization approach, we propose CoLiDE-NV

 $\min_{\mathbf{W}, \Sigma \geq \Sigma_0} \mu_k \left[\frac{1}{2n} \operatorname{Tr} \left((\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{X})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{X}) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma) + \lambda \| \mathbf{W} \|_1 \right] + \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{ldet}}(\mathbf{W}, s_k)$

- $\Rightarrow \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d)$ is a diagonal matrix of noise standard deviations
- Per iteration cost is $\mathcal{O}(d^3)$, on par with state-of-the-art DAG learning methods



References and GitHub page

S. S. Saboksayr, G. Mateos, and M. Tepper, "CoLiDE: Concomitant Linear DAG Estimation," In *Proc. Int. Conf. Learn. Representations*, 2024.
Y. Yang, M. Pesavento, Z.-Q. Luo, and B. Ottersten, "Inexact block coordinate descent algorithms for nonsmooth nonconvex optimization," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 2020.
X. Zheng, B. Aragam, P. K. Ravikumar, and E. P. Xing, "DAGs with no tears: Continuous optimization for structure learning," In *Proc. Adv. Neural. Inf. Process. Syst.*, 2018.
K. Bello, B. Aragam, and P. Ravikumar, "DAGMA: Learning DAGs via M-matrices and a logdeterminant acyclicity characterization," In *Proc. Adv. Neural. Inf. Process. Syst.*, 2022.

