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## What is the key of music

- The key is a form of music organization structure[1].
- In an octave, the notes of music can be divided into 12 semitones.
- Notes in a major scale follows the structure W-W-H-W-W-W-H
- Natural minor W-H-W-W-H-W-W
- Key refers to the note at the first

[2]


## Relative Keys

- Major and minor keys are the most common in pop and classical music
- Each major key has a corresponding minor key that shares the same notes[3]
- To simplify problem, we regard all the keys of songs as major scale

[3]


## 1. Related projects

There have been extensive research and projects on key detection
$\rightarrow$ Krumhansl-Schmuckler profile[1]
Based on judgement of music professional
$\rightarrow$ K-nearest neighbor[4]
Compare dataset with templates
$\rightarrow$ SVM[4]
Raise to high dimension to enable linear separation

## Most of the current key detection

 output only one keyProblem:
Is it possible that multiple
keys appear in a single
song?
Of course! Change in key
is called modulation
which is a frequently used
technique

We manually created our dataset and labels
$\rightarrow$ What
411 songs in English, Mandarin Chinese,
Cantonese, and absolute music
96 of them involves modulation
$\rightarrow$ How
In order to obtain specific features of keys and modulation, we divided each song to multiple clips according to beats so that clips from fast songs and slow songs contains similar amount of information.

## Short time Fourier transform (STFT)

- Analyze the waveform in both temporal and spectral domain
- Obtain existing notes in an instant frame
- 4096 samples window length, 3 times zero padding, Blackman Harris window, 512 samples hop

[5]

| 55.0 Hz | A | 58.3 Hz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61.7 Hz | B | 58.3 Hz |
| 65.4 Hz | C2 |  |
| 73.4 Hz | D | 69.3 Hz |
| 82.4 Hz | E |  |
| 87.3 Hz | F |  |
| 98.0 Hz | G | 2.5 Hz |
| 110.0 Hz | A | 103.8 Hz |
| 123.5 Hz | B | 116.5 Hz |
| 130.8 Hz | C3 |  |
| 146.8 Hz | D | 138.6 Hz |
| 164.8 Hz | E | 155.6 Hz |
| 174.6 Hz | F |  |
| 196.0 Hz | G | 185.0 Hz |
| 220.0 Hz | A | 207.7 Hz |
| 246.9 Hz | B | 233.1 Hz |
| 261.6 Hz | C4 |  |
| 293.7 Hz | D | 277.2 Hz |
| 329.6 Hz | E | 311.1 Hz |
| 349.2 Hz | F |  |
| 392.0 Hz | G | 370.0 Hz |

## Problem:

## Auditory perception of frequency is in logarithmic scale

12288 bins * $1 k+$ samples * 411 songs!

## Harmonic Pitch Class Profile (HPCP)

- Since key information is octave-independent, we can reduce the dimension by HPCP[7]
- Convert frequency bins to notes
- Put all Cs regardless of octave to common bins, and so do C\#, D, D\#, ...
- Choose frequencies in the range [ $150 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4000 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ]


## Harmonic Pitch Class Profile (HPCP)



## 3. Neural Network Model

We use Transformer as the model
$\rightarrow$ What
Transformer is basically a structure of encoder and decoder. For more details please refer to paper "Attention is all you need[8]".
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## How We Process

- build a dataset class
- Read data and label into $X$ and $y$ with torch.read_excel
- Instantiate
- Load into DataLoader
$0005910.0053750 .027548: 0.586309$ 002632: 0.0109550 .0037460 .152378 ! 005552! 0.003188! 0.025781 0.066838 010707 0.017909. 0.048932. 0.116863 007666! 0.005802! 0.00153510 .205276 ! $0120190.004728 ; 0.04185610 .720257$ : $013123 \quad 0 \quad 0.0429530 .719687$ 00675310.0381630 .0950690 .043344 $0152750.1914900 .003333 ، 0.120419$ $012923 \quad 0 \quad 0.154732 \quad 1$ $00.00366510 .158104 \quad 1$


## Pre-Processed Data

## 8077 Excel files (2-d data) with:

Row -> sequence(frames)
Column -> classes
Left is an example of data feature files
Batch size -> 128
data .shape->[128,1700-2200, 36]
label.shape->[128]

```
Batch data shape: torch.Size([128, 1800, 36])
```

Batch labels shape: torch.Size([128])

```
```

Batch labels shape: torch.Size([128])

```
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([128, 2200, 36])
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8, 12])
([128, 1879, 36])
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```

output shape: torch.Size([128, 12])

```
output shape: torch.Size([128, 12])
Batch data shape: torch.Size([128, 1978, 36])
Batch data shape: torch.Size([128, 1978, 36])
Batch labels shape: torch.Size([128])
Batch labels shape: torch.Size([128])
output shape: torch.Size([128, 12])
output shape: torch.Size([128, 12])
Batch data shape: torch.Size([128, 2190, 36])
Batch data shape: torch.Size([128, 2190, 36])
Batch labels shape: torch.Size([128])
Batch labels shape: torch.Size([128])
output shape: torch.Size([128, 12])
output shape: torch.Size([128, 12])
Batch data shape: torch.Size([128, 1879, 36])
Batch data shape: torch.Size([128, 1879, 36])
Batch labels shape: torch.Size([128])
Batch labels shape: torch.Size([128])
output shape: torch.Size([128, 12])
output shape: torch.Size([128, 12])
Batch data shape: torch.Size([128, 1884, 36])
Batch data shape: torch.Size([128, 1884, 36])
Batch labels shape: torch.Size([128])
Batch labels shape: torch.Size([128])
Out (a) ([128, 2200, 36])
```

Out (a) ([128, 2200, 36])

```

\section*{Each Clip has different sequence} length.

\section*{We use pad_sequence from torch.nn.utils.rnn (zero padding used)}

After padding, each batch have difference sequence length, which is the longest sequence in the batch

Split data into 80\% train, 10\% val, 10\%test

\section*{Model Architecture}

Input Embedding(36->512 d_model)

\section*{3 Encoder Layer}
- 8 heads, 2048 dim_feedforward

Aggregation([128,1900,512]->[128,51 2]) 512 is dimension of model, d_model

Fully Connected(512->12) 12 classes


\(\uparrow\)


\section*{Tip}

If one example isn't sufficient to help people understand the breadth of your idea, pick a couple of examples.

\section*{Model Architecture (Data Flow)}


\section*{Optimizer and Criterion}

Optimizer -> Adam, Ir=0.001
Criterion -> CrossEntropyLoss
- Input Shape ([128,12],[128])
- First input: logits for 12 classes with 128 data per batch
- Second input: 128 labels from 0-11 for 12 classes

Ideally, speak of people
in very different
situations, but where
each could benefit from
your solution.

\section*{Training}

\section*{Device: A100 from Google Colab}

We train three times (16 hours)
- 1st 1 epoch (test run 12.62\%)
- 2nd 6 epoch(24.38\%)
- 3rd 3 epoch (25.87\%)

First training does not print out training loss and validation loss. Second training print out train and val loss. Third time the same. Every time after train we save the model and reload it back.

\section*{Training Result}
- Epoch 1/10, Training Loss: 3.4215 , Val loss: 2.4502
- Epoch 7/10, Training Loss: 1.9819, Val loss: 1.9580

- Epoch 10/10, Training Loss: 1.9477, Val loss: 2.083

- 25 more epoches on training

\section*{4. Prediction}

We use the model trained to predict all the existing keys of a new song and use a greedy algorithm to find the modulation point.
\(\rightarrow\) Preprocess
Apply the similar procedures as that of the training set: cut a song to clips by beats, apply STFT, and convert to HPCP
\(\rightarrow\) Predict
Use the model to predict local keys of clips and detect the modulation point

\section*{Modulation point locating}
- After we find the local key of each clip, we observe whose key is different from that of the previous one.
- We move the the clip in which a new key appears from the time of half of the previous clip to half of the current one and find the probability vectors
- We assume that the time when ratio between the two elements in the probability corresponding vector reach a certain threshold is the modulation point

\section*{Potential Problems}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Musical Problems: \\
Percussion interference \\
Dominant keys confusion \\
Tonicizations and mixtures \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Preprocessing \\
Problems: \\
Peak threshold \\
Normalization \\
Weight width \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Model Problem:}

No Positional Encoding
Didn't use Decoder
Sequence Aggregation
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