#### Release Clause of Soccer Players in FC 24 Prediction: Machine Learning Models and Exploration

ECE 208 Haotian Yang Guangzhou Cai Yicheng Shi



# Topics that will be covered

- Motivation
- FC 24
- Data Preprocessing
- Model Selection
- Model Refinement
- Results Comparison
- Conclusion and Recommendation



# Motivation

- 1. Help players the make quicker and better decisions in manager mode.
- 2. Useful tool for finding players with underrated release clause.





#### Our Data:



Source: FC 24 Third-Party data Website

Sample Size: 15000+ players

Features: 64 (Numerical: 61, Categorical: 3)

Target: Players' release clause value



# FC 24

- **Biggest Soccer Game**
- Players' attributes are correlated with their performance in real life.
- Release Clause in the game is as same as in the real life.





### Manager Career





#### **Data Preprocessing**



#### **Distribution of Release Clause**

Distribution of Release Clause



UNIVERSITY of ROCHESTER



#### Handling of Zero Values

#### Release Clause = 0 (Free agent)

Free agents in 2024 – the players who can now sign pre-contract transfer agreements





#### Handling of Zero Values

Proportion of Zero vs. Non-Zero Release Clause Values





# Logarithmic Transformation

Handling of zero values

**Reducing Influence of Outliers** 

Handling Skewed Data

mask = new\_df['Release clause(€:K)'] > 0
df1['Release clause(€:K)'] = np.log(new\_df.loc[mask, 'Release clause(€:K)'])







#### **Correlation Heatmap**





#### **Correlation Heatmap and Feature Selection**

Most related: Wage(0.76), International Reputation(0.56), Potential(0.57)

Negatively related: Height(-0.04), Weight(-0.03), Growth(-0.18)

Features Selected to our Model:

Potential,Reactions,Composure,Base stats,International reputation,Passing / Kicking,Dribbling / Reflexes,Wage(€:K)

Release clause(€:K) - 0.31 0.11 0.56 0.53 0.57 0.18 0.30 0.27 0.25 0.20 0.34 0.25 0.31 0.27 0.29 0.25 0.33 0.31 0.28 0.19 0.19 0.21 0.51 0.14 0.36 0.33 0.29 0.26 0.19



# Visualization





# Visualization





UNIVERSITY of ROCHESTER

# Here is a trimmed version of our data set:

	Potential	Reactions	Composure	Base stats	International reputation	Passing / Kicking	Dribbling / Reflexes	Wage(€:K)	Log Release clause(€:K)
0	88	74	92	398	1	70	81	34.0	11.015345
1	88	68	75	416	1	68	77	16.0	9.441452
2	85	59	57	400	1	58	77	0.5	9.239899
3	90	78	77	451	1	75	78	10.0	11.209114
4	82	75	81	405	1	75	80	65.0	10.718852
17511	70	60	45	346	1	55	62	0.5	7.170120
17512	69	54	56	348	1	57	62	0.6	6.748760
17513	67	56	54	334	1	54	60	0.5	6.284134
17514	78	73	72	384	1	56	63	0.9	9.568015
17517	71	70	66	334	1	50	48	0.5	7.170120

15762 rows × 9 columns



#### Training Set & Test Set

We split the original dataset and created a training set, a validation set, and a test set in the ratio of 6:2:2 to avoid overfitting and test the model's accuracy.



#### Model Training and Tuning



#### **Model Selection**

- 1. Random Forest Ression
- 2. XGBoost Regressor
- 3. Multilayer Perceptron Regressor



# **Random Forest Regression**



Random forest is one of the most accurate learning algorithms available. For many data sets, it produces a highly accurate classifier.

It runs efficiently on large databases.

It can handle thousands of input variables without variable deletion.

It gives estimates of what variables are important in the classification.

predict outcomes based on diverse predictor variables. This ensemble method integrated predictions from multiple decision trees, each constructed using a random subset of features and data points, to enhance model accuracy and robustness.



#### **Tune Hyperparameter**

```
param_grid = {
    'n_estimators': [100, 200, 300],
    'max_depth': [None, 5, 10],
    'min_samples_split': [2, 5, 10]
}
```

#### Best Model Score: 0.9386381387828471



# **Results & Errors**

	Real Release Clause	Predicted	Release Clause
10618	7.549609		7.537835
14019	6.481577		6.785472
16586	6.763885		6.888598
890	8.433812		8.413368
2407	9.553930		9.917857
12554	6.107023		5.893942
1274	7.244228		7.385077
1102	8.630522		8.743559
15322	9.277999		9.116128
13689	5.953243		6.072195

[3153 rows x 2 columns]

Mean Squared Error: 0.12473438540333973 R^2 Score: 0.9380135015685438



# **Results & Errors Visualization**

Random Forest: Actual vs. Predicted Random Forest Predicted Values Actual Values





# XGBoost

• Extreme Gradient Boosting, iteratively builds a series of decision trees to make predictions. It optimizes the model's performance by minimizing errors at each step and combining the predictions of multiple weak learners into a strong model. XGBoost can handle both classification and regression tasks and is robust against overfitting.





# **Tune Hyperparameter**

```
param_grid = {
    'n_estimators': [100, 200, 300],
    'max_depth': [3, 5, 7],
    'learning_rate': [0.01, 0.1, 0.2],
    'subsample': [0.7, 0.8, 0.9],
    'colsample_bytree': [0.7, 0.8, 0.9]
}
```

#### Best Model Score: 0.9414046517932017



#### **Results & Errors**

	Real Release Clause	Predicted	Release Clause
10618	7.549609		7.532607
14019	6.481577		6.834077
16586	6.763885		6.953578
890	8.433812		8.383838
2407	9.553930		9.798126
12554	6.107023		5.985600
1274	7.244228		7.510485
1102	8.630522		8.734858
15322	9.277999		9.213490
13689	5,953243		6.080161

[3153 rows x 2 columns]

Mean Squared Error: 0.11607189882825057 R^2 Score: 0.9423183066049656



# **Results & Errors Visualization**





# MLP

A multi-layer perceptron (MLP) is a form of artificial neural network that features several layers of neurons.

These neurons often employ nonlinear activation functions, enabling the MLP to capture intricate data patterns.

Their ability to model nonlinear relationships makes MLPs valuable tools in machine learning, suitable for various applications including classification, regression, and pattern recognition.





#### **Tune Hyperparameter**

{'activation': 'relu', 'alpha': 0.001, 'hidden\_layer\_sizes': (100, 50), 'learning\_rate\_init': 0.001, 'solver': 'adam'}

#### Best Model Score: 0.8461263141257123



### **Results & Errors**

	Deal Deleges Clause	Ducalistad	Delesse Clause
	Real Release Clause	Predicted	Release Clause
10618	7.549609		7.509375
14019	6.481577		6.742514
16586	6.763885		7.185037
890	8.433812		9.206723
2407	9.553930		10.068987
12554	6.107023		5.896831
1274	7.244228		7.696889
1102	8.630522		8.667505
15322	9.277999		8.543071
13689	5.953243		6.340005

[3153 rows x 2 columns] Mean Squared Error: 0.31987905283936025 R^2 Score: 0.8410367570821269



# **Results & Errors Visualization**

MLP: Actual vs. Predicted Histogram of MLP Errors MLP MLP Errors Predicted Values Frequency -3 -2 -1 Actual Values Prediction Error



#### Model Accuracy Summary

#### In light of this, we can see: RFR: MSE 0.12 XG: MSE 0.11 MLP: MSE 0.31

#### Here is XG:

Percentage of predictions that exceed the actual release clause: 46.31% Percentage of predictions that are below the actual release clause: 53.69%



# Conclusion

- 1. Understand the the usefulness of the role in releasing clause in FC24, main objective: predicting it in the game for finding players with underrated release clause (which also applies to real-world)
- 2. Challenges: large quantity of data with attributes, hard to find what's important. Hard to find suitable dataset.
- 3. Tried different models such as LASSO R, and MLP. Found RFR and XG to be the best.
- 4. Future challenges: limited applications to both gaming and real world due to limited resources but enough to provide a general sense what our predictions mean
- 5. Need to find more accurate data from the companies and teams
   Slide: 34
   UNIVERSITY of ROCHESTER

We'd like to thank all the TAs and our Professor Duan for all the good work that you have done for us!



# who completed what

Yicheng Shi: data preprocessing, feature engineering, model tuning, report

Guangzhou Cai: data preprocessing, model training, report

Haotian Yang: data collection, visualization, model selection, report

