# **Stealing Guitar Tones**

By J. Max Morris and Alex Kim

## Problem

- Identifying effect
- Figuring out parameters of effects without seeing them
- Replicating effects without owning plug-ins or physical gear



# Goal

- Supply signal with effect on it (wet)
- Identify it
- Copy it and apply to signal with no effect (dry).



## **NMF and Datasets**

- Baseline Dataset of:
  - Frequency Focused Audio
  - Time Focused Audio
- Effects Dataset
- Obtain useful characteristics to compare and identify



#### NMF and Datasets: Baseline Dataset



5

#### NMF and Datasets: Baseline Dataset





#### NMF and Datasets: Baseline Dataset







# NMF and Datasets: Effect Dataset

	Peaks	LPeaks
Clean	82.77	1.69
Tremolo	86.33	3.166
Delay	29.75	0
Reverb	13.5	1.5



# Tremolo







11

# Tremolo: Depth



#### **Tremolo: Frequency and Application**



# Tremolo: Results

	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Expected	Lowest Error	Average Error
Frequency	9.84 Hz	9.84 Hz	9.84 Hz	10.055Hz	2%	2%
Depth	41%	41%	42%	60%	30%	31%



#### Reverb





### Reverb: Decay Time





15

#### Reverb: Decay Curve







## Reverb: Impulse Response

STFT



### **Reverb: Results**

	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Expected	Lowest Error	Average Error
Decay Time	1.838 sec	1.835 sec	1.932 sec	2 sec	3%	7%











## Delay: Echo Curve





21

# **Delay: Results**

	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Expected	Lowest Error	Average Error
Delay Time	585 ms	519 ms	611 ms	500 ms	4%	14%
Mix	71%	73%	72%	50%	42%	44%



#### **Attempted Timbre Method**

- Retrieve frequency characteristics from wet guitar, apply to dry guitar
- Attempt to use tanh distortion as a baseline
- Results were poor





#### Harmonic Series Amplitudes



## **Timbral Identification**



MFCC of Different Types of Distortion

# **Identification Results**

Guess	Inputted Signal					
	Clean	Tremolo	Delay	Reverb		
Clean	69.83%	25.58%	50%	37.8%		
Tremolo	65.82%	65.6%	35.37%	23.66%		
Delay	25%	16.67%	92.86%	72.97%		
Reverb	33.33%	0%	33.33%	81.48%		

## **Replication Results**



# Future Work